## USAID BFAD PUBLIC MEETING ON "THE NEXT GENERATION: GLOBAL FOOD SECURITY THROUGH HUMAN AND INSTITUTIONAL CAPACITY BUILDING"

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

Tuesday, October 11, 2011

Des Moines Marriott
700 Grand Avenue, Salons A & B
Des Moines, Iowa

9:00 a.m.

BIFAD PANEL:

BRADY DEATON, BIFAD Chair CATHERINE BERTINI JO LUCK

WILLIAM B. DeLAUDER
ELSA MURANO
GEBISA EJETA, Panel 2 Moderator

ALSO PRESENT:

JULIE HOWARD, Panel 1 Moderator

CONTENTS			Page 2
Opening			3
USAID Feed the Future Research Programs	•	•	5
			E 7
Rob Bertram			
Public Comment			
Adjourn to Luncheon	•	•	.115
Panel 1: Perspectives on Human and			
Institutional Capacity Development from	th	ıe	
"Next Generation" of Hunger Fighters			
Julie Howard	•	•	.116
Haroon Sseguya	•	•	.130
Grace Otitodum	•	•	.146
Anabela Manica	•	•	.148
Q&A		•	.151
Panel 2: HICD Experts and Perspectives			
Gebisa Ejeta		•	.164
Monty Jones			.173
Cornelia Flora			.187
Mywish Maredia			.200
David Nielson	•	•	.218
Adjourn			267

2.0

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(8:53 a.m.)

DR. DEATON: I'd like to welcome everyone to this second BIFAD Board meeting of this year, and I'm Brady Deaton, Chair of the Board as of June when I was inducted and I'm also Chancellor of the University of Missouri. Let me take just a moment to ask that my fellow Board members introduce themselves and any word they would like to offer at this time, and then I will review the agenda at that time. Let me start with Gebisa.

DR. EJETA: Gebisa Ejeta, Purdue University. I joined the Board early this year.

DR. MURANO: Elsa Murano, Texas

A&M University. I'm one of the old-timers on

BIFAD which is hard for me to believe. Seems

like I just joined in and I'm excited to be a

part of this excellent team that we have here

in BIFAD. And thank you all for coming.

DR. DR. DeLAUDER: Good morning.

I'm Bill DeLauder, I'm President Emeritus at

Delaware State University. I'm a continuing

member of BIFAD and we're delighted to see the

audience that we have this morning and we

thank you for coming and supporting our

efforts.

MS. LUCK: My name is Jo Luck and I was President and CEO of Heifer
International 22 years. And first, left
there, and I am working on boards and speaking
and lots of things in a larger scope but
continuing the work around the world to end
hunger and poverty.

MS. BERTINI: I'm Catherine

Bertini and I'm glad to be at my first meeting

since I was honored to be reappointed to BIFAD

by President Obama. I'm with Syracuse

University.

DR. DEATON: Thank you. Let me also introduce an honorary member of our Board, Bob Easter, he's former Chancellor at the University of Illinois. And Bob, we're

glad to have you with us today. And we have
Susan Owens who is the Executive Director of
BIFAD Secretariat, Malcolm Butler with APLU
who works with us, and the other staff in the
room. We thank all of you and their staffs
for the work you do in so many ways to support
us. John Becker, Senior Policy Advisor, and
Paula in the back who handles all the
logistics, and Aaron who is here to make sure
that we get this done.

Let me welcome all of you, the public, to this public session of BIFAD and an opportunity to share with you the perspective of the BIFAD Board and to get your input. You will have an opportunity at each juncture to provide an opportunity for you to speak to us because we take our roles very seriously and we take the interaction with the public and getting your voice brought forward very, very seriously.

You may, you have the agenda I believe and you'll see that, let me just

briefly review it. This morning, we've devoted the first hour of this morning to a summary of sort of old business, context setting, where we are, you'll hear from any members of the Board. And let me say to my colleagues here on the Board, they are welcome to interrupt at any time and engage in discussion with us. We see this as an interactive process throughout.

At 9:45, we'll have a program that Rob Bertram will give us on the USAID Feed the Future Research Programs. Rob is Director of the Office of Agriculture, Research, Policy for the Bureau of Food Security for USAID.

And then at about 10:15, we'll have a public comment period that will give you an opportunity to interact with us. We will then adjourn for lunch.

And at lunch today, we have a session on Higher Education in Africa's Agricultural Transportation-Emerging Policy Trends and Opportunities. And Paul

Weisenfeld, the Assistant to the Administrator of Bureau for Food Security at USAID will speak. And also, our keynote speaker is Calestous Juma of Harvard University.

We will then come back to a public session at 1:00 o'clock, and that perspective is on Human and Institutional Capacity

Development from the next generation of hunger fighters. And that will be led by or moderated by Julie Howard who is the Deputy

Coordinator for Development of Feed the Future and Chief Scientist, I believe. Julie, I've got that right? Okay.

MS. HOWARD: So, I'm now Chief
Scientist for Bureau for Food Security and
Senior Advisor to the Administrator on
Agricultural Research, Extension and
Education.

DR. DEATON: Wonderful, congratulations! We'll get that straight before this afternoon. Thank you.

And then again, we'll have a Q&A

session with you. And then at 2:15, another panel on HICD Experts and Perspectives. The moderator will be Gebisa Ejeta, Distinguished Professor at Purdue University who is a member of the BIFAD Board.

So, that is the agenda for the day. And as I said, there is ample opportunity for interaction with you, those of you that have looked at these processes for some time, and we'll have comments I know to make.

thrill for me driving up from Missouri into
Southern Iowa. But to be here in the
heartland with a state that really reveals the
assets of our nation, that has been so
critical to the geopolitical importance of the
United States over time and it illustrates so
thoroughly the tremendous role that our major
research universities and particularly our
land grant universities have the role that
they have played in getting us to where we

are. And so, I think the setting could not be better for a reminder of that as we reflect on the institutional changes involved, the tremendous science that has been brought forward, and the international training programs that AID has funded over the years and that we will see so clearly in the program as we go forward today because we're going to hear from a number of those individuals who have been involved in those training processes.

And you'll hear examples
throughout this session of BIFAD of the
progress being made under Feed the Future to
develop the science and technology, the
agricultural institutions, the innovations,
the training, the human and institutional
capital in the agricultural sector that bears
on food security and the improved quality of
life of people around the world, particularly
the more vulnerable ones. And drawing on the
formidable tools of partnership with US

universities, with the private sector, with the non-governmental organizations and foundations will enable that country-led approach that marks what USAID is doing today to accomplish those objectives of empowering women scientists, strengthening higher education in agriculture, enhancing access to the market chains that are so fundamental in the innovative entrepreneurial world that is developing everywhere in those target countries that AID is involved in, and particularly reducing weather-related risks over the time of fluctuations and food prices that has led us to reconsider the role of agriculture and agriculture institutions in achieving the food security that is so vital to our future as we look to 2050 with such an incredible growth in population projected with a world of over nine billion people requiring essentially a doubling of the world's agricultural productivity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And with that comes the ability,

we hope, if we do our work properly, to ensure that the most vulnerable and the most severely affected regions of the world with food shortages can be brought into the full scale of what our human society is all about. And I think this Board takes all that very, very seriously and we want to call attention to every step that we can. And certainly in our role as independent, Presidentially-appointed advisors to the Administrator of USAID, we will be drawing with the charge of the Administrator to draw on all perspectives from our backgrounds and your work and the work we see going on around the world in all sectors that can improve what we are doing in USAID. And fortunately, we have wonderful partners to bring that about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I would just emphasize that the

Title 12 Act authorizes five different program

components that we need to give attention to

as we undertake this task. And one of those,

I mentioned earlier you'll hear from it in

depth later today, the Human and Institutional
Capacity Development (HICD), that will be on
the program. Second is the Collaborative
Research Support Programs (CRSPs), you'll hear
more about those a little later as well.
Third is engaging international agricultural
service. Fourth is program support to
research. And fifth, the special programs
particularly as related to agricultural
projects and activities directly and
indirectly.

If you look at the, on the website of BIFAD USAID, you will see the Report to Congress that was just completed of '09 and '10. That described the 54 active Title 12 projects or activities across those five program areas. And 25 CRSP programs were described, and as I indicated, we will hear a bit more from the CRSPs related discussions that we have had even yesterday.

So, we are as a Board, I think I speak for the Board, are very excited about

the challenge that's before us. And the reforms that were set in motion by the Obama Administration and Administrator Shah in the fall of 2010, we announced USAID FORWARD covered seven key program areas. And I'm saying all this as context because this Board sees itself in a broad arena to engage higher education in science and technology here and abroad with the mission of AID.

And so, that USAID FORWARD's seven key areas were: implementation and procurement reform; talent management; policy capacity; monitoring and evaluation; budget management; science and technology engaging the full range of the land grant universities and Title 12 activities broadly; and then the seventh was innovation. A very broad-based set of agendas that are essential to all that we will be hearing from USAID staff about moving forward. So, we will monitor and advise the Administrator with those perspectives in mind as we go forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And we wanted the first thing this morning to take a moment on reviewing some items of old business that we have covered over the last, that have been actually covered over the last few years that are carried forward in the work we're doing today and into the future. And we will begin, I want to begin with taking just a moment on issues associated with our budget which in our June meeting the Board met and realized that not only has the role of agriculture and USAID have been, many would say, diminished in the past, and we're now trying to revitalize that with the support of the Administrator and But also, the budget of BIFAD had been USAID. squeezed down to a point where we felt if we were to undertake the forthright role that was envisioned for us, that a more robust budget was needed.

So, I'll just share with you a letter that on behalf of the Board I wrote.

I'll just read a paragraph or two of what I

wrote to Administrator Shah on behalf of the Board. And I pointed out that President Obama and Administrator Shah had provided an extraordinary vision for BIFAD and we felt that we had the kind of background and experience as a Board to marshal support across the institutions of higher education and the private sector to help implement that vision that had been delineated. And then, Administrator Shah had expanded the agenda for BIFAD, asking us to address a broader range of issues in greater depth and provide timely recommendations to the Administrator.

So, we formed a budget committee that Marty McVey who unfortunately was not able to be with us today chaired that committee and DeLauder and I worked with the entire Board to develop a budget request that would enable us to move forward more aggressively. And we simply scaled up the work of BIFAD and we asked for a substantial improvement in our budget as we saw the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

potential expansion of commission studies, priority problems that needed to be addressed, mission visits by BIFAD Board members with the Administrator and other USAID mission staff, and to build on the earlier work of BIFAD with minority serving institutions of higher learning and the Haiti program that had been the focus of past programs.

So, all that combined, we asked for a budget, additional budget support that USAID granted in a modest increase that was helpful for us. And Administrator Shah in his response to BIFAD indicated that he pledged himself to continuing to support a wellinvolved BIFAD over time, that if we look over time we begin now with a modest increase and we build the kind of agenda that I think will serve the entrants to BIFAD and USAID as we look into the future. And he indicated that the more direct role of BIFAD in support of key countries and programs addressing special issues, special studies, working groups, and

1 participating in US and international

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 conferences as requested by AID would be part

of a future BIFAD agenda. And he said I

4 agree, and as BIFAD ambassador, as an advocate

for Feed the Future, you have my full support

6 for BIFAD moving forward in this vein.

We discussed in June the need for a consensus document for the Agency's Food Security Human and Institutional Capacity Development Strategy, and to be effective in this and other initiatives additional resources will be provided. So, I say that as a way of emphasizing the responsiveness of USAID to an enhanced role for BIFAD as we look to the future. We're excited by that. understand the limitations and the fledgling processes that lead to modest steps early on. But this is a group that's committed to moving forward and will be continuing to work with the Administrator, USAID, and a broad public across the United States to ensure that we have the highest talent and the best research

and the most involved and effective extension systems that can inform what we are doing around the world with our USAID mission.

And I concluded with Administrator
Shah's call for a new food security based on
Human and Institutional Capacity Development
Strategy as a segue into a report of, under
the old business criteria that we're talking
about here, of that Human and Institutional
Capacity Development Task Force or working
group that we have had ongoing with our Board.
We kicked that off in June. It has been
moving forward very aggressively under the
April leadership of Gebisa Ejeta who has
chaired that group and many others who have
been involved in it.

So, with that, Professor Ejeta, I would turn to you perhaps for an update for this group on the ongoing work that BIFAD is carrying forward very aggressively.

DR. EJETA: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I'll be brief. As the Chair

indicated, in June 24th, right after we were sworn in, the Administrator challenged us to take up Human and Institutional Capacity Development and provide to him a consensus document in this topic. And then the Chair appointed a subcommittee and asked me to chair the subcommittee, and the members of the subcommittee are Dr. Murano and Dr. Easter. And so, we had a phone conversation between the three of us, among the three of us, and then followed with a request to the BIFAD office for getting some more information about the extent of human capacity development activities that have taken place within the Agency in the last several years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And we were surprised, there were a lot of documents, a lot of studies done, a lot of activities suggesting to us the continued, sustained attention that the Agency has been providing to the issue of Human and Institutional Capacity Development. So, we had a teleconference with the staff and APLU,

and following that, we requested that a couple of things could be done. And that was we asked who commissioned the studies and reports that have been done, why were the reports done, what substantive findings and results had come of those documents, and what follow-up report has been accomplished.

And so, we had back and forth in trying to sharpen where we were coming from and where we're trying to get to in getting this. And so, with the support from the BIFAD office, and Dr. John Becker spent an awful lot of time going through these documents with support from APLU and developed an outline on what we would undertake, an outline for the draft.

In this draft, just to share with you and to get your inputs here, we will have another -- in agricultural development. We will try to get information, insights from all agricultural institutions, particularly the land grant universities and agricultural

research centers, and the human capacity needs and institutional strengthening needs and activities that have been taking place. And then from that and from the analysis of the documents that have been prepared already, get some clarifications on HICD policy and implementation strategy, clarification on HICD Feed the Future investment strategies, clarification on the USAID procurement reforms through HICD implementation, and identification of HICD activities in Feed the Future program planning.

We will have, as the Chair indicated, we will have Feed the Future focus country attention, Feed the Future non-focus country observations to be made, and Feed the Future trilateral cooperation activities, and Feed the Future multilateral cooperation activities. From all of this, then we will have a four-step process to build, to eventually get into a recommendation that we would provide the Administrator. And I must

include in here that there are additional exercises including what is going to transpire this week that would inform the process.

We will have panel discussions
that will be taking place today. And tomorrow
we have requested a listening session for US
university community, the international
agricultural research community, and efforts
were to be made even to draw in some private
sector in terms of getting the overall human
capacity needs in agriculture and agriculture
development. And so, this four-step process
with the engagement of the entire BIFAD Board
would provide this document and maybe I will
stop there, Mr. Chairman.

DR. DEATON: Thank you. Any other members of the Board like to make a comment on that at all?

DR. DeLAUDER: Thank you very much. Will this also address the issue of why this is important and must be a priority of USAID?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

I think it goes without saying, in my view, that the basic premise for this document is the Administrator's intentions and concerns about the need for human capacity building and institutional strengthening. And the premise of that is that there are other documents, for example, that would come up later on. Lugar Casey bill, for example, when that was done, there was a deliberate effort from the Senate to get inputs from the developing countries on what the needs were. And that really informed a lot of the discussion there.

DR. EJETA:

DR. DeLAUDER: I raised the question because, you know, for you and I and for the higher ed communities, there's no question as to why this is important. over the years it has not been a priority of USAID and I had the sense that, and there are certain reasons for that, that the Administrator is also seeking help as to how he can make the case of why this is an

important activity and should be a priority of USAID.

DR. EJETA: I was going to ask,
Mr. Chairman, if John Becker would fill in.

MR. BECKER: I think it has a priority in the Feed the Future strategy documents and the global food security. Now, in the past we've clearly recognized there is a major initiative coming from the CAADP in Africa recognizing the investments that need to be made. So, I think --

DR. DeLAUDER: Yes, but I'm just saying though that there are political pressures that sometimes, particularly through the Congress though where they want immediate results and so forth and perhaps don't have the understanding of why these longer term efforts are important and how is the best way to approach that so that you have some short-term goals that shows that progress is being made. That's the sort of thing that I'm trying to get at, as to whether or not that's

going to be a part of what we're going to

develop or should it be. In my mind it should

be.

DR. DEATON: Elsa?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. MURANO: I just wanted to add, as member of the subcommittee, as Dr. Ejeta said, you know, what struck us at first was all the many, many documents that had been put together over time, fairly recent time I might add, since '09 to the present by AID for various purposes but all dealing with capacity building. So, that was very encouraging. you know, what we want to do is make sure that we are familiar with all that has been proposed and drafted in all of those documents. But more importantly than anything is to then determine what has been done because, you know, so many times we all tend to paper our walls if you will with so many reports and documents and so forth and we can't afford to let that happen with this particular initiative.

1

2 than that is, after we determine what has been

And then more importantly even

done, if there are things that haven't been

4 done and I would expect that there are plenty

5 that hasn't been done for a variety of

6 reasons, political some of them as Bill was

7 alluding to, then how do we help alleviate

8 that? How do we help move this forward so

9 that it matches, you know, our progress and

10 our activities in capacity building to match

11 really what AID intended to do when they

published their policy paper back in 2009?

DR. DEATON: Yes, Catherine?

14 MS. BERTINI: I think we should

take to heart what Bill had just said which is

as we talk about strategies forward, not only

is there an end result that we'd like to have

but really we should show some benchmarks not

only I think for ourselves and for AID but for

20 the political support for the longer term

21 which is harder to convince people without

22 such things.

DR. DEATON: I think these are excellent comments because if we're going to gain efficiency in what we do as an agency and institution and learn to leverage what we're doing from one case to another, it's this kind of synthesis of past efforts and so we know where we are starting from and can then take steps forward rather than repeating so much of what has already happened in the past I think can be extremely valuable here.

DR. EJETA: And just for the record, I think something I did not specifically mention, there are Title 12 type activities that have been going on that have contributed significantly to Human and Institutional Capacity Development such as the CRSP programs for example. And then there have been a flurry of activities within USAID focused on Borlaug fellowship that have really been expanded. And so, those would also inform the synthesis that we would do.

And the one thing that we would

like to get insight on how to do this is if it is going to be a consensus document, one significant thing that we need to achieve would be how to bring in the visioning both from Washington and the missions together towards Human and Institutional Capacity

Development, and that we have deliberate attempt to do that but we also realize that we're going to need a lot more help from the Agency in finding our way through and how to write that down.

DR. DEATON: Okay. So, next steps will be to explore those four dimensions that you mentioned, Gebisa, and so by our spring meeting we will have --

DR. EJETA: Yes, and the deadline that we have set for ourselves is to provide a draft for April, a draft before that and provide the documentation by April.

DR. DEATON: And as we talk about future meetings, let me say to everyone that part of our budget request was to enable this

Board to meet more regularly or more

frequently perhaps than in the past. And so,

we will be undertaking meetings at whatever

pace seems to us to inform us most effectively

to be in a position to work with Administrator

Shah and USAID. So, Gebisa, thanks. Thanks

very much.

Now, the earthquake in 2010 in Haiti had led to a very strong response by BIFAD, certainly in USAID. And BIFAD member Elsa Murano led a task force at that time to identify agricultural priorities and ways in which the agricultural system could be dealt with there to address not only a crisis situation but to lay the foundations for longer term sustainability as well. So, Dr. Murano, if you would like to just provide us with an update on progress there?

DR. MURANO: Certainly. Yes, we submitted our report from BIFAD, first, our task force completed a report and then sought approval from BIFAD at large to then submit it

to Administrator Shah. And we did so, I

believe it was January of this year. And in

it, in that report, we specifically

recommended not so much the what to do in

Haiti but how to do it. We recommended that

we, in learning the lessons from the past in

terms of having spent a lot of resources in

Haiti over decades and yet seeing very minimal

progress, we discovered that there's a lot of

reasons for that, some of them not within the

control of AID or anybody else for that matter

in the United States.

But the way that we can help countries like Haiti best is to develop a long-range plan. And then whatever projects that are funded to accomplish the goals of that plan can be then pursued very deliberately with metrics and accountability and progress reports and so forth. Because what we had discovered is that project objectives would change fairly quickly, and so the continuity from one project to another was

just not there. Also, coordination was lacking in projects that had been done over years in Haiti.

3 years in Haiti

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, all of it leading to just very minor gains in terms of advancing agricultural productivity and in just a few regions and very short-lived. So, and the recommendation to the Administrator, the main thrust of it was to help Haiti in a different way by establishing, and this is our recommendation, a consortium basically of land grant universities that would help be kind of the independent agent if you will between the funding agencies such as AID, USDA, others that would fund projects in Haiti, as well as the government of Haiti and other entities, NGOs, foundations, private industry. bringing both of those groups together in terms of developing a plan for Haiti and then establishing a very robust structure in which progress would be marked very specifically and having it be very much science-based is why we propose it to be a university land grant

consortium so that we would have scientists

basically engaged very much in the drafting of

a plan but also in pursuing the progress

towards the objectives of that plan.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And so, to make a long story short, Mr. Chairman, we submitted that report to the Administrator and we did hear back a few weeks later that the Agency was considering our recommendations. And so, we are at a point right now where we are looking to engage very specifically with the Agency in terms of, if not the recommendations presented in that report then we certainly would like to learn and help the Agency determine how best to move forward in Haiti. It's been almost two years since that awful earthquake and, as probably all of you know from reading accounts in the press, there hasn't been as much progress for a variety of reasons in that country. And so, we're kin at BIFAD to help figure out what are the obstacles, what needs

to be done, how can we help, if not through our recommendations then what are some other ways that we can do this, but help the Agency really move forward in a good way.

So, our first step is to get a report from the Agency as to what has been done in Haiti, what is being done, what are the plans there so that we can engage with them and see how we can help remove some of those obstacles.

DR. DEATON: Elsa, thank you very much. And I think my understanding is that we are going to be scheduling a meeting with USAID as soon as we can do that, and that's for the future. John or Susan, anything?

MS. OWENS: Yes, we're planning to set up a call as soon as we can to follow through.

DR. DEATON: And for some of us who were in Haiti 20 years plus ago and have observed some of these issues, it's formidable. And I admire so much your

leadership, Dr. Murano, in leading forward in a challenging area where we really need to address where we're going and get clear what we can do. And there's times that we may throw our hands up in frustration, Haiti can do that to people, let me say, but we need to address that as comprehensively as possible.

And I appreciate very much so that report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Another major area of involvement and it was also reported in the last report to Congress that we're, obviously it's an ongoing issue for USAID and BIFAD because of the tremendous importance of minority serving institutions and the land grant system and what we're doing in this country and what we have done and will continue to draw on. I think many of us can just look to project after project around the world that we've worked where the minority institutions have served such a critical role. We were talking about Edgerton University this morning and just let me speak from first-hand experience

1 there in working with Virginia State

as well.

University as I did several years ago at
Virginia Tech, it just makes you proud to see
what you can accomplish. The BIFAD had taken
on the role of reexamining the importance and
potential contributions of minority serving
institutions. And Bill DeLauder had led this
process and reported on it to some extent in
the June meeting, and we wanted to give him a
chance to continue to update us as to where
ideas that maybe we can take forward from here

DR. DeLAUDER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. The initiative with the MSI group

was a unique one because we were able to bring

together three major minority serving

institutions together, to work together on

looking at some commonalities and common

strengths within those institutions and to

come up with I thought was an excellent report

that then conveys in that report the strengths

that we have with those institutions that can

make a difference in the work of USAID. That included the 1890 land grant institutions and other historically black colleges and universities. It included the Hispanic serving institutions, many of which have agriculture as one of the programs that they offer. And then the tribal colleges who are of course land grant institutions. were able to come up with a document that had been circulated and I hope many of you had an opportunity to see that, that laid out the strengths of those institutions and provided some recommendations to USAID that we believe if those recommendations are followed that they will lead to enhanced engagement of the MSI communities in the work of USAID.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

One of the positive results to come out of that is that in the Title 12 report I noticed that one of the priorities stated by USAID was in the coming years to work on enhancing the engagement of MSI institutions in USAID programs. The working

group is still in tact though our primary work
has been completed. A report has been
submitted, it is within USAID. There are some
things that they're following up on, and we
will continue to monitor that and work with
them as we go forward. And the task force is
available if we need to re-engage with them,
and I think, Mr. Chairman, we want to make
them available until we think things are
moving in a direction where their work is no
longer needed.

2.0

DR. DEATON: Okay, Del, thank you.

Any other comments?

Thank you. Thank you very much.

And that was an important component. We'll

continue with strength and we appreciate that.

I've referred several times to the Title 12 report to Congress which is required each year. A report was just completed this summer on the '09 and '10 fiscal years. And the staff will be working on developing a report over this next year and we'll have a

draft by April for our next report to Congress that BIFAD will then respond to. And so, again, we take this as very important in sort of a summative view of what has occurred with BIFAD under Title 12 related activities, BIFAD's role in that and our response to what the Agency has achieved under Title 12. that report is ongoing for those of you that are monitoring these systems. I know you will be interested in looking at those reports because I thought the last one was extremely well written and the BIFAD response is in there, will be again this next year and we'll be working on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

Let me turn to one other item of old and ongoing business. I'm moving from old now into new a bit, but I wanted to report on the research forum report. And many of you were involved in the research and Feed the Future initiative final report of consultation with the international research community.

That report is available now to everyone, and

I believe, Susan, that is posted on the web also?

MS. OWENS: Yes, it is.

DR. DEATON: So, everyone has that report. From the standpoint of BIFAD, it is an ongoing effort. We will be developing a BIFAD response to that report that we will provide to the AID administration. And that process will take place between now and our April meeting as we look at that. And we don't have anything specific in schedule in terms of timing but we will have a workgroup that will look at that, share it with the BIFAD Board and then make that available to Administrator Show.

But as you know, that began with the Purdue workshop, the e-consultation, the June forum in Washington, D.C., that led to a tremendous interaction at all levels between BIFAD, APLU, USAID, USDA, and the entire research community I think better said. We had involvement from scientists around the

world who are working with the various international research systems that were involved in this report. And I just commend it to you for your reading, it's a very exciting report. And it will be an effective background as we determine how we can most effectively, working with the research community, advise AID administration on steps that can be taken from here.

And I open up to any members of the Board who would like to make comments either about that process or the product itself that's evolving.

DR. DeLAUDER: The only comment that I have and I think I made this with the committee is that I don't b believe that we've captured all of what we considered Title 12 activities in the report. And I think that's a challenge that we have really to identify in a more comprehensive way all of the activities that can be classified under Title 12. And I realize that that's not an easy thing to do.

DR. DEATON: Yes, Susan?

MS. OWENS: There is an issue with several awards. The direct funding for Title 12 we captured but all the university work that's drawing on the funding as a supplement were not captured. So, this year we are going to find ways to capture that additional amount of funding and activity.

DR. DEATON: Any other comments you or John or Malcolm? Malcolm?

MR. BUTLER: Yes. The report, the forum report is not online yet.

DR. DEATON: Oh, sorry.

MR. BUTLER: But it will be within a matter of days. There's been formatting issues. The report is finished but it's not online yet. But it will be by the end of the week.

DR. DEATON: Okay, thanks. Aaron, did you want to make a comment? Okay. John, anything else on that? No, thank you.

Well, that report obviously

represents a tremendous effort on the part of the research community to move things forward. It was very actively participated in by USAID administrators and scientists. refreshing nature of opening up everything to the public, public researchers, I feel was a very, very important step. All the CRSP involvement, faculty members, all our campuses who had not been that involved in some ways but had substantive contributions could make those. So, as an exciting and perhaps even a model as we look to the future for you to tap ideas and bring them forward, and that will be an ongoing product, a foundation that leads to ongoing processes throughout the research community. So, BIFAD will be monitoring that carefully as we look to the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Those were essentially, I believe we have touched on all the aspects of old business that we wanted to sort of bring you up to date on to let you know that as this new Board, so to speak, takes off, we very much

have been embracing the work of the past that Bob Easter as former Chair had led and it's provided a foundation for some incredibly important steps as we go to the future. under new business, I wanted to just touch on the BIFAD consideration of the CRSP listening session that we were involved in yesterday. And we had great discussions with CRSP, we met with the entire council and had a very engaged discussion that was just exciting for us. I wanted to express again appreciation to so many of you in the audience who are from the CRSP leadership around the country. found that, I know, to be a very, very important agenda item and I'm going to open that up for any comments from Board members.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me just say though, a great suggestion that came out yesterday from the CRSPs was a recommendation that we apparently re-institute an awards program where there would be a BIFAD Chair Award given to a principal investigator among the CRSPs, and

then perhaps a graduate student as well, one of the top graduate students. So, we will obviously, we will need to set up a process for that. And our Board had only a limited amount of time afterwards to consider it but it met with unanimous support by members of the Board. So, I thank you for that wonderful suggestion and we embrace it and we want to reward excellence in every way possible. And this will give us a chance to do that.

So, thank you, and I will immediately appoint a subcommittee of the Board to pull together the appropriate processes, the review and nomination process involved, and then the format by which this could be brought to public attention and recognizing the incredible work that goes on. And if you've been like me, you look at these CRSP reports and they are absolutely phenomenal. I have to say my last graduate student, a doctoral student before I got totally swept up in administration was

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Supported by the small ruminant CRSP at the University of Missouri and doing work in North Sumatra. And it was incredibly well supported with a great framework, global connections for undertaking the research. And many of you are much more involved with the CRSPs than I have been but it's been a wonderful group to work with.

And your work is ongoing and I know it is being reviewed by USAID. BIFAD is authorized to be involved in discussions with USAID on those principal issues that affect the funding of CRSPs. We expect that to be a robust involvement as we look to the future. And again, yesterday when you saw the quality of work going on, and I know that we will hear more in this session and later on, but the USAID is particularly interested, and Paul Weisenfeld had briefed me earlier on this, and Paul, I appreciate that opportunity that you and Sahara had given us an indication of the way in which you're looking for those

crosscutting themes that can be identified across CRSPs and that can tie together unified research processes. Yesterday in the consultation with you, we talked about nutrition as one example. You have a nutrition CRSP, you also have nutrition occurring in a variety of the CRSPs, and making sure that that knowledge is brought together in the most expeditious fashion. And if one can feed the other, it's the way in which research progress is made of course.

And so, I know, Julie, you're going to be in a key leadership position for looking at this across USAID. And we certainly are looking forward to working with you and engaging on those kinds of issues because those of us that work with the universities and with private sector entities have grappled with this throughout most of our careers. And so, we're very eager to share in any way we can for our learning to listen and then to move forward in ways that can elevate

1 the processes that we're all involved in.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

One other thought, and I expressed this yesterday in the discussion with the CRSPs that I think is worth emphasizing. we have been so taken by the Administrator's emphasis on a whole of government approach to USAID work around the world, something I think we all admire in concept and see the absolute essential nature of it. And I suggest that, and it came up in the discussion really, that when we look at what CRSPs are doing, we need to recognize the whole of university approach Because I know in my background to CRSP work. in working with the CRSPs, the CRSP effort in terms of funding was multiplied many times on our own campus by the researchers who had a small link on a very powerful research idea but their funding was coming from NSF, NIH, World Bank, some of the other collaborations with CGIAR system and on and on and on. this is the magic of what research collaboration is really all about.

whole of university approach shortchanges the effort that, shortchanges our perspective on the effort. The effort is getting a lot of mileage beyond what the funding provides for. That's important as we look at our own states and how we're promoting economic development in our states that we represent as universities or foundations. When Jo Luck gets involved in countries around the world, it isn't just what Heifer International might be doing. It's what you're doing with that partnership, it was so many other endeavors

So, I think not recognizing that

So, I think we need to recognize that because you have a tremendous willingness on the part of universities, particularly land grant universities as we approach the sesquicentennial of the Moral Act. It's important to look at those roots and to recognize what power that is of that federal, state, local collaboration. It is a model

with the public and the private sector.

that is still I think respected and emulated in many cases around the world.

2.0

So, the CRSP session yesterday gave us all I think a little bit of adrenalin and we recognize the potential that was here for really cutting edge work and for leveraging a powerful system of research and education in our country. So, with that, I didn't mean to go into a monologue so much, I wanted to open it up to other members of the Board because we found that exciting. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. LUCK: I'll say ditto. I mean you're right, it was a great day, a great afternoon. We were so energized we didn't wait until 7:00 to go to dinner, sorry. We went on because we want to talk about this and we did. It was just great.

DR. DEATON: Well said.

DR. DeLAUDER: I just wanted to point out that I believe it was the first time

that the BIFAD group had met with the full CRSP council. And I think it led to a very rich dialogue. It's something that we must do more often.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. LUCK: Mr. Chair, there was an informal comment toward the end of the meeting of course that will be discussed with the Board at whatever time you choose to put down the agenda about sending a representative, looking at our budget and sending a representative to some of the upcoming meetings. And there were one or two specifically mentioned, I didn't mean we're just going to one or two so I don't mean everybody coming up and have to share with what we're going to need, but we really want to look at having a representative there to bring back information to this Board. that was one other informal comment.

DR. DEATON: Thanks very much.

And I think the Board, the Board I think feels very strongly that the more we can do to

interface with the various CRSPs, the stronger we will understand the various dimensions of any programs. Any other comments on those?

And to Jo, thank you for calling that to our attention. So, that's something we will be looking forward to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

At the same time, we recognize the need for a comprehensive evaluation of the CRSPs. And that's something that I said, so CRSP people can target me if there's any problem that comes up on this. But we'd expect that because, as I said, all university programs are very accustomed to being thoroughly evaluated. We look at those as formative kind of processes where we want to know what we're doing right, how to realign, how to focus on priorities, very much of the strategic planning process that businesses and government agencies and I know USAID will be undertaking generally.

And certainly for CRSPs as well because we've been working in Missouri and I

think many other states are also with

shrinking budgets in our university, yet we're

doing more in research. We're doing more with

education. We're doing more with quality in

all aspects because of the way in which we've

been able to leverage resources, gain

efficiencies and really move forward. That's

the challenge we have as a whole right now

given that we're not in a very rapid, massive

growth path on public funding.

So, that's the challenge that we have. And the promise is that we know we can do better. And so, as we look toward a comprehensive evaluation of CRSPs, we will be looking at it I think from that perspective.

And BIFAD is involved in ensuring that those, as I understand it, and John or Susan may want to correct my language here a little bit, but ensuring that -- I'm sorry?

MS. OWENS: BIFAD has a review and recommendation.

DR. DEATON: Review and

recommendation, right. Yes, correct. So, we do want to undertake that appropriately and that will be a subject of discussion by our Board as well.

And on that, any comments any of you would like to make who have been involved in this longer than I? No one else.

Okay. The last item of business, the April 2012 meeting, and I was going to turn to Jo Luck for any discussion or presentation of ideas.

MS. LUCK: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I know the others in the room are eager for the 9:45 public comment so I won't tell you all the fabulous things about having you to Arkansas. But I would like to say I again extend that invitation for you to meet in Little Rock Arkansas. I have talked with the governor's office, the mayor's office, Senator Prior, Senator Boseman, the Clinton Library and Presidential Center, and the School of Public Service, part of our

university system, because, you know, we want to give you that southern hospitality when you're there to be sure that they want to host you. I'm going to ask for some things to be donated of course but I didn't want to push too hard until I knew if that was the month we're going to work on, if we're going to move it to the fall. And the reason for that is not any hesitation, I know you all seemed interested and we're very interested, but we have to look at the agenda coming out of this meeting, I know, to see if it's inconvenient. We don't want to meet in Arkansas if we need a lot of people involved in the D.C. area or whatever it might be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, that invitation will stay open if you cannot do it in April. I have checked with a major hotel, we have the rooms, the meeting rooms available at this time and they're holding that until I come back and let them know. But if we need to move it to the fall or something, we can do that. But I

think it would be good for a public hearing session, again like today, out in the country, and also to let some of the people see what BIFAD and hear more about USAID and CRSP and so forth in that area.

So, we'll consider that if it's convenient for your agenda. And I can give you a lot more detail at that time.

DR. DEATON: Thank you, Jo, very much. Any other comments about that from members of the Board at this time? We will be taking that up, assessing the agenda after this meeting. We want to gain a sense of sort of what next steps we can take and then we will determine sort of strategically whether a meeting in Little Rock or D.C. may make the most sense, or somewhere else for that matter. But we have those options.

And having recently visited Little Rock, Jo, I can tell everybody it's an incredible place. Incredible amount of international activity emanating from the

1 State of Arkansas. It's just very impressive.

MS. LUCK: And Mr. Chairman, I'm excited that Catherine is going to be speaking at the Clinton School of Public Service later this month, and so she can come back and be a cheerleader for what a great place it is, too. We always want you, I mean that's great, but I also know it isn't very practical and there is a lot of international connection that would make it very interesting for you to see.

DR. DEATON: Thank you. We will,
Jo has alluded to the transition here, we've
sort of completed a summary of like old items.
We want to bring before you some perspective
on where we're going from here. And we wanted
to move to the next item on our agenda now
which is the USAID Feed the Future research
program. We will have a presentation and then
there will be an open public comment period
after our next speaker.

And it's a pleasure for me to get a moment to introduce Robert Bertram, Director

of the Office of Agriculture, Research and
Policy for the Bureau for Food Security USAID.
Robert, I get to say a little bit about you
here. You've been with the USAID for over 20
years and you come from a plant breeding and
genetics background which I thought was real
fascinating, and degrees from UC Davis,
University of Minnesota, University of
Maryland. And he's worked around the world
with trying to develop international linkages
and research, and particularly Europe and
Japan with the CGIAR system and US
universities and USDA.

So, he's very active in plant genetics and served as a technical advisor during the negotiations with International Treaty on Plant Genetics Resources. He chaired the FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture 2002-2004. So, with that rich scientific background and experience in USAID, we welcome you.

MR. BERTRAM: Thank you very much,

very nice introduction. I'm very happy to be here with all of you this morning. I think what I would to say about -- presented the processes that are going through with USDA in developing strategies. And what I will do this morning I hope is to lay out the -- I'm sorry about that. Is that close enough?

Okay, sorry about that. Coming through?

So, what I will do is walk the next step on what we've done as a strategy, what the upshot and the implications of it are. So, I'm going to start by taking just a couple of minutes, I'm going to, I'm a little tall for this. I would like to begin with the strategy consultation process just to refresh for you. And you can see here that this is the process that our two agencies did together. Let me redirect this here.

Coming through? Great. All right. Thank you very much, Aaron.

And as you can see, various things came out of that in terms of prioritized

geographies, research book constraints, and some of the crosscutting issues that are actually all across Feed the Future as an initiative. And then we went through a process jointly with BIFAD and our colleagues at APLU. And that culminated in the research forum in June which Dr. Deaton has already mentioned. And we learned a lot in that process. We listened a lot to the members of the US university community, to international partners, and to non-researchers as well.

So, we took all of this back home this summer, and with our colleagues from McKenzie did a further review and refinement of where we were going. And what came out of that really over the last couple of months was a restructured research portfolio that you'll see how I'll be talking about this model that we developed when we were conferring this summer with in-house at AID. And at the bottom there, I'll say quite a bit more about that, but those are key aspects of that model,

the big ideas, the translational research which I'll say more about, and these four target production systems.

Let's see here. Okay, this is just a little bit more in-depth. I won't spend a lot of time on it, but for those who did not see the previous process, we looked at poverty and malnutrition, we looked at the major systems and the kinds of people who were farming in them, and we looked at the poor farmers and the slightly wealthier farmers, farmers that were more able to innovate and invest. We, again, looked at the crosscutting issues of gender climate change in the environment. We took into account some strategic interests of the United States.

And this led ultimately to the emergence of key priorities that we thought would break down obstacles in terms of moving productivity and sustainability forward. And at the end of the day, we came up with the new Feed the Future research portfolio. And that

is, in a sense, a long way from this which you might remember which was the three big categories within the research strategy.

We're still using those, but those are very broad categories, so what I'm going to be focusing on now is how we've integrated those.

So, this slide is really sort of the summary. And what you can see here are the big ideas at the top, I'll say a little bit about those, each of them. And then what we call this productivity and resilience, or another word for it is the translational research where we see how technologies fit into systems with things like policy and nutrition and other kinds of interventions. And then we have, at the base of the slide, we have the sustainable intensification programs in our four priority geographies. And there will be a slide on each of those.

And then all the way down at the bottom, we have what we call our deep dive countries, Bangladesh in South Asia, Tanzania

in East Africa, Ghana in West Africa, and
Ethiopia is sort of a special case because
it's one country but it's such an important
system. And then the other important point
here is to think of a temporal access on the
left with longer term investments being up in
things like drought-tolerant cereals, and
nearer term investments being down here in the
deep dive countries where we want to see how
things work as a system and include both
research and dissemination with our mission
partners.

So, just to take a quick look at each of these, here on the, we're talking about heat and drought impacts on cereals, irrespective of climate change, this is a big problem already. And we expect it will get worse. So, we have, we're looking at new programs in maize, we have major programs in rice and wheat on what we call abiotic stress, that would be heat and drought tolerance for example. We have our sorghum-millet work in

both the CRSP and INSORMIL and also in the CGIAR system.

And I want to mention one innovation in terms of management. We will increasingly have the same person manage the CRSP program, say working on sorghum and millet, with the new CGIAR on sorghum and millet. We'll see how we can work those together since we think there is so much opportunity and complementarity and potential synergy there.

Now, the second big idea is addressing specific diseases of key food security crops and livestock, or animals I should say. And this represents in a sense the United States being such a strong agricultural research powerhouse. And we are able to marshal scientific resources that in many cases other countries can't, even in situations where the crops are not necessarily important here.

So, for example, in cassava, we're

working with the Dan Forest Center on virus
resistance. We're working on East Coast
fever, a terrible cattle disease. But there,
USDA has an interest and we are partnering
with them. And you know, it basically
reflects just one of our strategic priorities
around biotechnology. And we think that
through these targeted efforts, we can make
potential breakthroughs and transformational
changes in some of these food security systems
that support a lot of people in the developing
world.

The next one is our grain legumes effort. Grain legumes have become increasingly expensive over time. Yields of legumes have lagged, cereals for example. And what we see when that happens is that the incidence of anemia increases, and women and children are particularly affected. Grain legumes are also key crops in terms of environmental sustainability, and they are key crops in terms of income. They are highly

valued, they fetch a good price in the market, and markets are established fairly easily.

So, I'm very pleased to say that, well, just along with some of our opportunities, we know that biological nitrogen fixation is a critical area. We think we can do more there. Pests and diseases are a problem, particularly insect pests in many legumes. And there's also great opportunities for increased heat and drought tolerance. Some of the legume as crops, for example ground nut, are highly drought tolerant.

I'm just very pleased that we were able to support the dry grains pulses CRSP at a meeting recently at Penn State where researchers from all over the world on grain legumes came together about what are the priorities. And Irv Widders and colleagues at Penn State, Jonathan Lynch and others, really drove this, but there were also people from CIAT on the planning committee. There were

1 people from ICRISAT, the other CG centers.

And it really was I think a model of how we should be approaching some of our investments in things like commodities.

Another thing I want to mention
here in connection with legumes is that USDA
is a major partner in this area. One piece is
with ARS, but we have a new sort of
experimental program with NIFA, the National
Institute of Food and Agriculture where we
will actually transfer them substantial
resources, in this case five million dollars,
and they will work with us to develop a
competitive approach that of course will
certainly involve the US university community.

Now, the next slide is about that middle band that I talked about. A lot of the policy work that we do, nutrition research, remember in Feed the Future at the end of the day we're going to be judged around child stunting reductions which is a very high bar for those of us who are, things like plant

breeders or agronomists, or even social scientists. And so, we want to work very closely looking at things like, with our nutrition colleagues, looking at things like food utilization, nutritional information, the role of gender of course is critical in that regard.

And then we want to also see how we can use agriculture to drive the diet. In other words, making sure that legumes are available and affordable, integrating animal source foods into systems, and hence, into diets. Also, using some of these things to drive income growth which has its own benefits in terms of the outcomes we're seeking. And also, a broader array of foods, for example, horticultural crops or bio-fortified crops.

There may be a few other things
that fit into this category. For example,
there are some aspects of water management and
conservation agriculture that aren't
necessarily site specific that would still be,

in a sense, a global public good.

2.0

Then we're going to come now to
the four sustainable intensification programs.
This is the idea that if we are going to
really drive down poverty and foster
nutrition, we have got to get these systems
more productive than they are. That means
more nutrients flowing through them. It means
more biomass. It means more efficient use of
water. It means use of fertilizers in an
efficient way. A whole range of things need
to come together, and of course they need to
come together in a context.

So, you could have a great drought-tolerant rice or heat-tolerant rice, it has to fit in somewhere. And this is the way we want to do this. And we see this as an area where we will have strong partnerships with our mission colleagues. That was one of the things that came out of our summer workshop that I referred to earlier, our inhouse consultation.

And this is a good example. This is the cereal systems initiative for South

Asia. There are several, two of the focus countries are there. The Indo-Gangetic plains are of course hugely important from a global food security standpoint.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Now, in this case, we have an existing partnership with the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. And over those several years of that, this is led by Sahara Moon, our missions, three of our missions in the region have bought in and are now partnering with us. And they're not necessarily paying for abiotic stress-tolerant rice or even some of the upstream systems research. But they are helping us in terms of the partnerships, the local connections, the markets, some of the research about impacts in terms of households and utilization. So, we see a real opportunity to bring the Feed the Future research agenda to our focus countries through this kind of effort.

1 You can see some of the goals

2 there. Basically, we're talking about

3 conservation agriculture, you know,

4 integrating legumes, other kinds of approaches

5 that increase water use efficiency, minimum

6 tillage. And we have some specific goals

7 around key crops. We'll also be hoping over

8 time to see more integration of livestock

9 which is there, maybe fish, other things that

10 can come in to an integrated approach.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

a number of years.

We also, I think, just want to point out here that you'll see of course that Pakistan is an important part of this system. And although it's not one of our focus countries, we think this investment could pay off handsomely for Pakistan and we're actively discussing with the mission how they might want to be involved. And in fact, Pakistan's own national partners, the national programs there have been involved with this program for

The other thing to point out here

is this is very much a country-driven effort.

We have a lot of strong involvement from the

national partners, public and private sector,

extension, and also CGIAR partners. Now, what

we'd like to do is build on this approach in

6 Africa.

And so, I'm going to go to our second system, and this is the mixed mid elevation maize base systems in Eastern and Southern Africa. This is a large and diverse system, we recognize that. But it's also one where there are very important challenges that are common. How do we integrate livestock in a system without depriving the soil of some organic matter? How do we bring in drought-tolerant maize? What about integrating high value legumes for sustaining and income and nutrition?

A lot of the same kinds of issues, not necessarily with as much research base as we have had in South Asia. But the same idea, except here we're going to do it in a way that

is very much from the ground floor up bringing in our other partners. So, the CRSPs will be involved, other partners, Michigan State or perhaps a number of CGIAR centers, as well as the local organizations, not just at the national level but some of the regional research organizations like ASARECA in Eastern and Southern Africa, or CORAF in West Africa.

We want to, in a sense, have this fit under an umbrella that reflects things like CAADP, the Comprehensive African Agricultural Development Program. And again, we want to do this hand in hand right from the beginning with the missions. And in this case, our deep dive will be in Tanzania. We want to work in the SAGCOT, we will do something certainly in the rice. We will support the missions' value systems. I should have mentioned that in the case of Bangladesh as well.

But we also, there is also a maize value system in the SAGCOT where we see good

opportunities for spillover to our missions
work in Zambia, Malawi, and possibly other
countries in the region. So, we're looking at
this as a regional program although there will
be a focused investment in Tanzania but there
will be also investments from our neighboring
missions as well in their own countries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, this is how we're trying to thread that needle of being responsible for what are essentially global resources in the Bureau for Food Security, but taking it to the level that it really can pay off and engage the local partners. And again, here we hope to bring in mission funding as well for capacity building, for dissemination, for empowering the NARS, empowering the local university, and we have Ohio State leading a consortium of universities in Tanzania to build capacity of both the university and the We want to bring them in right at the NARS. beginning. And we think that our investment is going to be very helpful to them in their

1 investment, and we know the reverse is true.

Now, the next system is the

Sudano-Sahelian zone which, again you can see

we have several focus countries in West

Africa. So, the work we do there will have

good opportunities for spillovers in other

countries in the region, Burkina Faso and

such. But our real focus will be heavily in

Ghana with a secondary set of efforts in Mali.

And these will be looking at the Sudano
Sahelian transect.

At the southern end of that,
you're looking at almost the Guinea Savannah
zone, lots of maize, you know, well watered.
As you go north, livestock become more
important. Everywhere there is good potential
for greater integration of legumes. I've seen
some beautiful work on striga, integrating the
kinds of striga-resistant varieties, sorghum
varieties that Dr. Gebisa and INSORMIL have
developed with cowpeas that suppress the
striga which provide very valuable fodder for

livestock and which add nitrogen to the system. Lots of opportunities there for that.

Also, in the areas where, in the lower-lying areas, we can look at improving the rice system. We can also look at bringing in vegetables and other higher value crops as the waters recede.

So, again, it's a matter of bringing all the partners together. We've had some initial discussions with the CGIAR partners in the region about this. We'll be asking them to lead this effort in a sense along with the NARS partners, and they're very excited about it.

Everybody talks about working together but then they go off and do their own thing. This way we're going to force it. And they're happy to be forced, and they're happy that we're listening to them on the ground.

And the NARS partners are going to be happy as well, so we firmly believe that. And our CRSP colleagues will be there. And so, everyone is

going to be on the same page and then we're going to see how we can put the pieces together and do it in a way hand in hand with the missions.

Finally, I'll just, how am I doing on time? I want to go to Ethiopia. This is a special case. The highlands of course are incredibly rich and important, and that's why there are so many people that live there.

There are also some real challenges there, as one of our BIFAD members and probably others know.

There is also a lot of innovative things going on there. There's the Agricultural Transformation Agency. We have a strong involvement from some of the other donors. So, we're going to feel our way forward on this. We won't have quite as much money in this because it's one country. I could have mentioned that the other two areas will have three and a half million dollars for our initial purpose for year one. That will

be primarily for the CGIAR partners. We hope to attract mission funding, we hope to also attract our CRSP partners and other partners as part of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But in Ethiopia, we will work closely with the ATA, the transformation agency, and other partners. We're fortunate that the International Livestock Research Institute has a substantial base there. again, livestock will be critical, biomass critical, water management, soil management, and a lot of these things that you can't do at a global level but you have to work in a system. And I know, I hope for example that Dr. Ejeta will be able to be a close partner, and we'd also like to explore the possibility of others, the BIFAD members being engaged with us going forward so they have a sense for how this is looking.

So, finally, the last slide, this basically just reviews the last couple of months and moving ahead. I won't spend too

much time on it but I do want to sort of flag some, I mean for example at the bottom there, it talks about those inception workshops. We will have an inception workshop for each of the three new sustainable intensification programs where we will have CRSP colleagues, we'll have CGIAR colleagues, national colleagues, and some of those regional bodies I mentioned.

So, I wanted in a sense highlight, just to kind of skip around, a couple of things that are new. We will be having a new competitive grants program on drought-tolerant cereals. This is something that Dr. Shah is very enthusiastic about. That will be coming on in the next few months we hope.

We will up the level of our cooperation on drought-tolerant maize for Africa, hopefully in a way that engages the missions. This is an ongoing program funded by the Gates Foundation.

We will be looking at launching a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

new policy research program. We have an existing program with Michigan State that is coming to an end, so there will be a new policy initiative discussed and available we hope.

We are changing how we're funding the CGIAR system. We will not be providing funds to the system level programs. These are these new CGIAR research programs. They're very broad, dry lands, humid zone. instead, we will be funding similar kinds of work at the level of specific agro-ecosystems important in Feed the Future. And I think that the centers are very excited about this because they see this as a way that those new programs which haven't really been developed yet can coalesce around the places we're already going to be supporting. So, USAID is really leading in how we approach the systems and I think we're going to attract other donor funding and other partners to these efforts.

The CRSPs have also made changes.

1 They have trimmed back their programs in non-2 focus countries. They have upped the level of their focus on specific themes. 3 I think there is an effort to really choose, make hard 4 5 decisions about where we should be putting the 6 bulk of our resources. Of course, as I 7 mentioned, we have a new program with the 8 National Institutes Food and Agriculture at 9 USDA. We also, in terms of USDA, some of you 10 know that we are providing the construction of the stem rest greenhouse at the Cereal Disease 11 12 Lab in St. Paul Minnesota which is a critical thing that's going to enable USDA to play a 13 14 much larger role in terms of screening global genetic resources for the world as a whole but 15 in ways that will also help US agriculture 16 consistent with their mission. 17 18

And then, we also supported some capacity building work with USDA but I think Paul is going to mention that later.

19

20

21

22

Let's see. A few things, you know, we used to fund the CGIAR as separate

institutions. Now we'll be funding the programs, mostly the programs on breeding and genetics policy, agriculture nutrition and health. Some systems will not get the attention they have before. The Middle East for example, not a priority for Feed the Future. Speaking personally, I would love it if some resources that are focused on the Arab Spring could somehow come into agriculture, but that's something we'll try to engage on in going forward.

We will be very strongly focused on M&E and impact. This is true of Feed the Future as a whole, research is no exception.

And I think the next couple of months actually we're going to really turn our attention to this as we've been very busy putting this kind of portfolio together. But we've really got to think now about how we're going to handle the M&E.

And let's see. I mentioned the changes in the CRSPs, I mentioned also earlier

that we would really like to see the CRPS and CGIAR and other partners where appropriate, where advantageous, linked to each other. I hope we'll be able to do more of the kind of work that Irv did with colleagues at Penn State and some of the other CRSPs as well.

And finally, I just wanted to give you a little sense about the numbers. Now, we can't, right now we still have some funds that are not fully obligated, so we're not going to put funds on the table. But what I can do is tell you the breakdown of the percentage, I think that still gives you an idea that might be useful.

Some of our resources have to go for things like staffing.

(Ring sound.)

MR. BERTRAM: Is that telling me that I'm -- okay.

Some of our resources in the research budget have to go for some things that are important contributions, not

necessarily in the research programs we
manage, in the research programs that AID
manages specifically. In terms of our big
ideas on climate resilient cereals, 28
percent. So, a big bet, rice, wheat, maize,
sorghum-millet, critically important in the
world.

The second one, legume productivity, 13 percent, just under half as much, but again a substantial amount of our money. And this would include things like the bean cowpea CRSP, DGP CRSP, peanut CRSP. But it could also include CIAT and ICRISAT, some of the work there.

The animal and plant diseases, 12 percent. So, if you add those up, you've got over half the portfolio in the three big ideas, the global public goods, so the heart of the global public goods agenda.

Policy and social science research, 12 percent, about an eighth, same as we have in animal and plant diseases.

Sustainable intensification, the money that we are putting into those four systems that we talked about, 16 percent. So, a substantial bet on our part, a substantial amount of money on the table to attract mission funding, to attract other donors to lead the changes in the CGIAR system and to bring our partners along with it. The nutrition and nutritious foods, 17 percent.

So, I think if you add those up, it should be around 100 percent. I just did this penning it myself before, but if it isn't I'll fix it. Come see me after the talk.

Don't ask me now.

Anyway, so I'll end there. But I just want to express our thanks again to all our colleagues in the university community, overseas in the CGIAR, at USDA. This has really been a team effort. It's taken a year and a half. I know some people, all of us wish it could have gone faster, but I think we've managed to bring a whole community

along. I hope, I'd be very interested to see
if you think we're on the right track, you and
the other BIFAD members. And of course, this
is always something that's a work in progress.
We will be continually refining it so there's
plenty of room for discussing and input.
Thank you.

(Applause.)

DR. DEATON: Bob, thank you very much. That was a very exciting presentation.

And I think, for me, let me say, seeing the specificity at the operational level where this work gets done out there in the field and the missions, I mean just hearing you describe that gave me great hope for the tentative process that we've been engaged in. So, thank you and thank you again.

Other comments from other Board members?

MS. BERTINI: Thank you. Yes, that was really impressive and encouraging.

And so, thank you very much for that. I tried

to keep some notes in two places so excuse me for jumping.

The fact that you're gearing on stunting as a key indicator is really, really important because that will drive everything else. And as you said, it's challenging but, I mean that's something I'd like to know just a little bit more about is how the different entities working on this or focusing on that as an objective, can I give you my list? Is that okay? I mean is this now --

DR. DEATON: Sure, yes, yes.

MS. BERTINI: The second thing is gender. And again, the fact that stunting is the focus is going to end up making people deal with gender whether they want to or not. But I still would be interested in hearing, especially with the scientific community with whom you're working, how they are building in gender in their thought process about what they're doing, especially people developing new potential kinds of food.

And then the CG, it's great that they're virtually everywhere in every example that you showed. But could you say something about the funding? Because although it's great that you're going to fund what you want to fund, or we're going to fund what we want to fund, CG for a long time has had a problem with people funding only what they want to fund, and then who funds the payroll? Who funds the admin? Who funds the overhead?

So, is AID no longer in that business? And if so, is that a problem for CG? Or is it they figured out another way to do it?

And my final question is about drought-tolerant maize and the obvious sensitivities with some of the countries about that issue and how you're dealing with that, I assume on a country specific basis. Thank you.

DR. DEATON: Wonderful. Any other comments? Or Rob, did you want to respond at

1 this point? Yes.

2 MR. BERTRAM: Whenever you want to 3 put me in.

DR. DEATON: Okay. Why don't we go ahead with other comments from Board members?

DR. DeLAUDER: And part of it might be already involved in Catherine's question, and that is USAID emphasizes country-driven approaches. And if you could comment on the involvement within countries in terms of this research agenda and a plan in moving forward?

MS. LUCK: I really think

Catherine covered mine, I do. I feel like

it's done. I'll ask another question if not.

Thank you.

DR. MURANO: My question was just

I understand fully, you know, the approach and

I think it's very good that it seems like, you

know, there's finally a focusing and zeroing

in on some very specific specifics, if that's

not a redundant statement. But my question
has to do with the cereal systems initiative
because obviously there is interplay between
that and everything else. So, how are you
going to manage to focus on cereal systems and
of course the drought tolerance and the
disease and pest tolerance and resistance and
all that and be able to, you know, parcel out
your funding so that you're focusing on that
but you've got all these other things as well?

You know, I guess I'm a little concerned about a dilution effect I guess.

DR. DEATON: Gebisa?

DR. EJETA: Thanks, Rob, for that excellent report. I would like to get clarification on a couple of things with regards to more for the packaging and eventually how it would show in the eventual report. I have not seen the final report.

I know you believe very strongly on partnership. And in your presentation and in a lot of the discussions in Feed the

Future, that has really gotten good in-depth consideration in a lot of the deliberations that have taken place. But I think for the larger community of stakeholders, it may be good not only to accentuate the partnership that is involved in getting the work done but also the belief and potentially the convening power as an agency that you have in bringing in partnership in the part of the work that you're not doing. We went through that a lot and I'm not sure if we would eventually, because you have parceled out specific target areas of emphasis and I think it would be good to indicate that and that there is hope and effort that will take place in working with the other partners to take up those other issues that are not taken up in the Feed the Future initiative.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The second part, again you and I had played a tag team within the CGIAR community and in focusing on the systems approach with different degrees of success.

Even as I listened to you and, as you know, I have been involved with you in learning more about how this eco-geographically focused systems approach is going to be conducted and hopefully draw on the efforts of the CGIAR as well. And even with the packaging of McKenzie, I'm not sure I see the delivery component, whether that is really, there's still a lot in research, but if we go through all the effort and the pain and bringing in the partnership to get the job done, if at the end of the day that is really not connected where the needs are, it must be just an additional exercise we're going through and still not getting us to where we want to be.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You may have already addressed that but it just doesn't --

DR. DEATON: Any other comments from the Board? What I'd like to do is, when the Board completes its comments, Rob, I'll ask you to respond and then we'll open it up to the public for comments as well. If there

are no other Board comments, Rob, let's give you an opportunity to respond.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. BERTRAM: Thank you, Brady. Catherine, with respect to stunting, what we plan to do is build in at all levels nutrition, and we can do that through some of the research whole approaches that, you know, stunting is so much about a child getting enough food in the first two years of conception or the thousand days. So, what we want to do in the context of sustainable intensification program, for example, we would like to have our nutrition colleagues from the Global Health Bureau as well as our nutrition colleagues from the Bureau of Food Security be with us from stage one in terms of the design. We'd like to collocate wherever possible with nutrition programs that are missions are operating. We're seeking those opportunities in these target regions of the countries. Missions themselves are thinking about this.

We are taking, if we make a

connection to gender which is very, very critical and you agree with that, we're trying to look at women as economic agents, managers of resources, as well as leaders whether they be scientists of extension leaders or community leaders. And there I have seen some really innovative work where you look at how you make your choices in terms of women's time allocation, what do women manage. example, in the Sahel, women managed the sorghum and the legumes. We're looking at a program where we're going to have a little more sorghum in that system. Usually, but the women have a sorghum-legume mix. If we get a little more sorghum in there, we think we can have an impact on the hungry season, because they control that sorghum, it's not the men controlling it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, it's a lot of things, there's a lot of social science involved. What I say is that, I was saying it to some of the members yesterday, I really hope that our

1 colleagues in global health will meet us

2 halfway. The nutrition money is substantially

3 over there. And the great thing is there has

4 been a change in AID in the last couple of

5 years around how nutrition is seen, moving

6 from a micro-nutrient focus sort of vertical

7 intervention to much more of a food-based

8 approach and which is fully in line with Feed

9 the Future, and Feed the Future reflects that.

10 And I'd like to make it a reality

on the ground. You know, we'd love to help in

12 doing that.

22

MS. BERTINI: But not only that,

14 they have more money than we do, yes.

MR. BERTRAM: So, you know, where

16 | we have three and a half million say a year in

these systems, rather than take some of that

and fund nutrition research, I'd like them to

19 be in at the beginning, help us design a

20 program, and then hopefully feel good about it

21 that they want to bring some resources, too.

Gender, I think basically what I

said, we're looking at it at all three levels and we've got a lot of people thinking if that could work with that. That's something maybe in a future meeting we could get some of our colleagues from other offices and other people who aren't here today to really show you what we're doing around that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CGIAR -- really grappled with this. What we have is about a third of the resources, maybe a little less going into the new sustainable intensification programs. will still have more than two thirds of our CGIAR money going into the new CRPs CGIAR research programs, the difference being that instead of funding the say ERI and CIAT -rice, we'll have one rice program that unites the rice programs in those three centers, a very good thing. We will fund that, we will fund wheat, maize, we will fund livestock and fish, we will fund -- agriculture nutrition and health program, I hope that they can help us with some of these issues that you were

1 talking about earlier.

And so, I think we're still doing the right thing. I do think that they don't feel abandoned by us even though we're not putting money into the systems program because we're actually giving them a thing that they can coalesce around very tangibly as they develop those programs. Those systems programs are still works in progress. So, maybe we can have a salubrious effect on those, particularly also with the clear focus on the outcomes in terms of nutrition and productivity intensification in a sustainable way.

Drought-tolerant maize, this is not transient. This is the result of molecular markers and years of breeding. So, there's a lot of -- for drought resistance that we're introducing. And what we will do, well, we aren't putting a huge amount of money in this, but what we will do is potentiate missions being able to piggyback on that and

get these drought-tolerant varieties to the local seed systems most of which are private sector. So, it's to really help build the private seed sector which are often maize driven around the countries.

Bill, you were talking about country driven. What I can say is when we started our research strategy analysis, we took into account all the information you could get including all the analyses from FARA and from the sub-regional organizations in Africa and Latin America. We took into account national academy studies, the CGIAR studies that existed. And then we did invite and try to bring key people like Monty Jones from Africa in the process, he was at our June workshop as you remember.

We would have liked to have had more, but it was a cost and a time factor.

But now where we're going forward as I mentioned, there will be a lot of country-led aspects to this. This is, all the national

partners will be at these inception workshops.

And our missions programs already reflect those country implementation plans. So, in a sense, we are building on what the missions are doing in some ways, and that is also based on a country-led approach. We could do the same thing in context to the CGIAR, CRSPs and the local partners, but it's, you know, a very

important point we have to keep in view.

Also, what I didn't mention about CESA in South Asia is the diversification is a big part of that. It's a rice wheat system but it's actually increasingly got maize and grain legumes and sugar cane, and a whole branch of things that come into that system that add value, diversify it, intensify the system. We have grain legume crops going in where there just used to be a battle between the richer wheat and the maize. So, a lot of things happening, but all being driven by family and farmer decisions and very much again with local partners, the private sector.

I think based on that alone I'd like to say something about the dilution, that we're getting too diluted, but I think that really our intention is to try to drive that diversification. And to do that, we've got to sustain the productivity of the staples because if people aren't sure about their maize or their rice or their wheat, they are not going to take risks on a legume crop or a higher value horticultural crop. And we have livestock in there, too.

And then, Gebisa, the partnership,
I think I tried to cover it really in response
to Bill's question going forward, but we'd
very much welcome the continued guidance from
the Board, well, the delivery component, yes,
I can read that now. The delivery component
is critical and that's where the mission money
comes in I hope because that's where we're
going to get those local partnerships. That's
where we're going to empower and connect to
the local organizations, both the extension

services, public and private and markets. And the value chain investments of the missions are going to be all around those local partners.

So, we're going to try to do our best to both benefit those but also draw on them. Again, we're really just getting to that but we will keep it very much in view and we'll be glad to report at the next meeting. By that time, we'll have had these inception workshops. CRSPs will have participated and I think we can probably have a great discussion about what we're learning so far and make some of you also participate in those workshops.

DR. DEATON: Okay. Thank you,
Rob, very much. Let's go to the open comment
period here. And yes, there was a hand up
here? If you would, yes, the mics are back
here, give us, tell us who you are.

MR. McWHIRTOR: Mike McWhirtor,
Texas A&M. Rob, good presentation. I had an

opportunity last week to talk with Dr. Murano about the issue, and I come from a plant protection and animal protection background, so I -- idea that we improve our production capabilities and front load production technologies and that sort of thing. every now and then I go to various conferences and meetings and I hear presentations about post harvest loss, and I'm stunned at the percentages. I mean upwards to 30 or 40 percent at some of these countries, and I don't know a lot about that but I'm just wondering what kind of impact would we have if we could somehow turn a bit of focus on post harvest losses because I think made tremendous amount of progress in some of these, some of the nutritional elements, some of the other things that we're concerned about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And so, I'm just wondering is that an issue of any merit as we begin and kind of move into this whole new way of thinking about things? And I know those losses have been

directly compared or connected to some of
these countries that are the countries of
focus. So, just curious about that. I think
it's something that needs some attention in
the future.

DR. DEATON: Excellent, excellent point. We'll keep comments coming at this point and, Mike, I've equally been shocked by those figures as well. And it's sort of like the gains you get from gender control on the nutrient side that we've seen in some of our research, too, massive gains to families and children if the women are really involved in the resources. I mean just putting those things together, there's a lot of programmatic content to some of these research findings.

Yes, let me stop.

MR. BINNS: Yes, Patrick Binns,
Westbrook Associates in Seattle, Washington.
I was pleased to see that in the briefings
about what USDA will be doing conservation
agriculture was listed in all of those cases,

but it wasn't clear to me exactly what are your programs that implement research, capacity building and delivering of conservation ag in the context of that whole intention. Because as you know, it's a fairly wide set of practices. A lot of them are well known, but a lot of them can also be further enhanced with more research and field demonstrations. And I think it really merits a very high priority and yet it's not showing up as a programmatic area in terms of where is the funding and what's the action.

DR. DEATON: Yes, excellent point.

Other questions? Yes.

MR. ERBAUGH: Yes, my name is Mark Erbaugh from Ohio State University. And while you mentioned, well, my question is how does HICD fit into your restructure of the research portfolio? What's the strategy or emphasis that's going to be put on HICD and for building this capacity in the country?

My second question is as you, many

of the Board members emphasized this need to bring about various synergies between the universities, CRSP programs, CET centers, you particularly mentioned the Tanzanian effort.

I'm right there, I want to go, I want to do this now. So, you know, this conversation needs to happen very quickly. I'm going to Tanzania on Friday for an inception meeting.

And we need to start selecting people for training.

I think training provides a wonderful mechanism for bringing about this type of synergies. But do I, how do I get -- Thank you.

DR. DEATON: Excellent.

MS. SIMMONS: Emmy Simmons on the boards of ILRI and IITA. And I just wanted to echo Mark and ask the question about the alignment with HICD strategies which was the prior topic of conversation. Because obviously in laying out what seems to be a really viable and somewhat near term on

strategy for achieving results in the field and demonstrating progress based on research, the whole notion of sort of the capacity development of those partners is obviously a different question. So, some transparency in that alignment would be helpful.

I think also with regard to your comments, Rob, with regard to the role of the CGIAR, again I think that that role is, you know, still in the process of developing with the new consortium research programs. But I'm just a little surprised to hear you say we're not going to fund more of that in ERI and whatever, that we're going to fund rice research. But then of course, it's the whole point of the CRP. So, I got an impression that I felt it was probably not carried forward.

So, again that issue of alignment and sort of integration of the HICD strategy which is obviously as Bill DeLauder said a much longer term in terms of trajectory and

sort of integrating it with this more near term --

3 DR. DEATON: Thank you.

2.0

MR. MAZUR: Yes, I'll keep it very short. Robert Mazur, Iowa State University.

I think it must be implicit in the work that you're setting up but I didn't hear a mention to value chains and it seems like it's everywhere in recent years. And also, specifically value chains for nutrition, so maybe just a highlight how that's integrated in the system. Thanks.

DR. DEATON: Yes, thank you.

MR. AMBALI: Yes, my name is

Aggrey Ambali from the -- as well as the

socio-ecological issue of value chain. Thank
you.

DR. DEATON: Thank you very much.

Any other comments or questions from the audience? We're going to be a little flexible on time to make sure everyone who has a question can get it in here. We're not

rushing so to speak. I did want to provide that opportunity. Yes, Gebisa?

DR. EJETA: I think Rob, maybe if I may push back, just for clarity and for the record, some of these things have been raised, for example, post harvest and so on, that was what I meant with regards to your documents, your strategy deliberately is not inclusive of everything that needs to be done, but you would continue to advocate all those needs and you would work with partners to make sure that those are done. I think it would be very good to say that.

DR. DEATON: Okay, any other comments from anyone? Yes?

MS. EGNA: Hi, I'm Hillary Egna from Oregon State University. I very much appreciated your presentation, Rob, and I hope that I can get a copy of it as far as to follow everything. But one part that didn't come out more clearly was fisheries and aquaculture. And aquaculture is one of the

best if not the best agriculture around the world. And most aquaculture -- for countries around the world. The US has the second largest deficit in receiving products next to petroleum and its resource imports. These have aspects for US security but also for the petroleum.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And what I see one aspect as being, it doesn't have that implication for aquaculture production, maybe for -- it does. But aquaculture production really -- So, I'm wondering for a huge sector like this where people are losing livelihoods in fisheries, fisheries are all over the world. aren't necessarily going to an aquaculture, available to them or not. And so, I'm concerned about just the, well, the future of this important sector in the overall portfolio that you show, especially in dryland areas and especially as we face some climate change and other natural resources and issues.

DR. DEATON: Yes, thank you.

Thank you very much for that comment. Yes?

MS. MITCHELL: Hi, I'm Beth

Mitchell. I represent the horticulture CRSP and I'm also the Director of the Post Harvest Technology Center in UC Davis. First of all comments about post harvest -- overlooked, and we have looked at spending in agricultural research for many, many years. Only about 5 percent of spending worldwide goes to the area of post harvest. And yet for many, many years even with staple crops that are fairly -- we have about 15 to 17 percent loss on an annual basis. If you look at perishable crops, it can go as high as 18 percent.

So, I think it's really, to make it part of the portfolio that we're looking at in combination with increasing productivity, we really need to work on how we can develop cost effective solutions to get these losses down. I think we can make a big impact in a short period of time if we just focus the resources in that area.

DR. DEATON: Excellent comment. I think a lot of us, our minds flash to those experiences we've had when we've watched the waste that occurs post harvest in horticultural crops as well as field crops, various sorts of grains. So, critical issue.

Are there any other comments?

Otherwise, Bob, do you feel compelled to respond in any way? I know some of those questions were directed directly at you. Can you take a moment? Then we will, we're looking to break after.

MR. BERTRAM: Thank you, Brady. I know we're out of time essentially. On post harvest, well, first of all, before I get to that, the research strategy is not everything that Feed the Future is. For example, the value chains are heavily in the mission portfolios. We certainly will be looking at some of the market policy issues that are researchable issues in our work. We'll be looking at how to support those value chains,

1 say for example, supply or market access.

That's something that can have ripple effects

3 beyond a specific chain. Those chains are in

4 the context of systems. So, if it's a maize

5 chain, if we can get legumes into it, there's

6 a rotation to the ag crop, everybody wins.

7 So, we're going to be looking for those kinds

8 of opportunities.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Post harvest, this is a tricky
one. A lot of post harvest issues are about
technology and the application of technology
in good practices. There are some
researchable issues, but there are many issues
that are merely a matter of doing what needs
to be done. And our value chain investments
from the missions are emphasizing this. And
so, we're going to be doing much more in way
post harvesting.

Secondly, in the Bureau for Food
Security Paul has asked us to come together
across the bureau and think about how we are
best going to advise missions that are making

judgments about where and how to invest. And as we pointed out, we have assets in the CRSPs and other partners that can be helpful there and elsewhere in the university system and perhaps among the private sector. So, that's what we're thinking after -- have to be a little careful.

Mark Rosenbrandt has done some work on this economic analysis, and although those apples seem to be hanging fairly low, the reality is they are not so low. There's a lot of diffused investments all along the chain that have to take place. But as I said, we're going to tackle that in our mission works. So, anybody who's interested in this is going to have plenty of opportunity to engage and, as I say maybe, Paul, we can provide an ongoing dialogue on this very important area.

Conservation agriculture, could I ask Dr. Chapotin to respond on that one? This is the question about the complexity of it and

1 how do you get something in so many pieces.

DR. CHAPOTIN: I think we have a lot of experience rolling out and working on conservation agriculture in the South Asian context and we're going to trying to be building on it and taking some of that experience to Africa. Fundamentally, conservation agriculture are resource conserving technologies that we see as fundamental to building up the productivity and resilience and conserving the resources in these systems. So, they have rolled out sort of hand in hand with technologies that are more tangible like we'll see -- and so forth.

The approach in South Asia, we've got the research on one end all the way down to delivery on the other end and we're looking at partnerships on the ground, institutions, whether it's a government institution, whether it's the private sector, the agri dealers have their way of getting out both information and knowledge on how to use a resource conserving

technology, private sector in there, supplying the machinery that the farmers need in order to work on that conservation agriculture and helping you build up the service model so that one farmer can -- services.

So, I think we've actually seen a fair amount of success in using a variety of different approaches to build conservation agriculture and resource conservation technologies from a really ground level and getting the organizations on board to be sort of building that into helping new businesses as well. So, that's taking those lessons and applying them in other areas is really going to be fundamental --

DR. DEATON: Okay, thank you very much.

MR. BERTRAM: On the last one?

DR. DEATON: Okay.

MR. BERTRAM: Human and

institutional capacity building.

DR. DEATON: Okay, yes.

MR. BERTRAM: First of all, that's at the heart and soul of the CRSPs. It's also something we think about in all our programs. Dr. Shah announced something at the World Food Prize announcement in June, I think you're going to be hearing more later today and as we go forward, suffice it to say that it's a critical concern. And then I want to just come back and endorse Dr. Ejeta's comment about how we look at what we do and recognize that it's necessary but not sufficient and that we are going to be working very hard to make those additional connections --

DR. DEATON: In fact, that last comment will be taken up at 1:00 o'clock and Julie will be, Julie Howard will be moderating a session focusing on that issue specifically. So, all of you are welcome back to the 1:00 o'clock session certainly. But we at this time are going to break for lunch. Let me take this opportunity to thank everyone for being here and for all of your participation.

1 Thanks so much.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(Lunch break.)

MS. HOWARD: Good, we'd like to go ahead and get started with this afternoon session. My name is Julie Howard. I'm the newly minted Chief Scientist for the Bureau for Food Security and the Senior Advisory to the Administrator on Agricultural Research, Extension and Education. And I'm making a transition from being the Deputy Coordinator for Feed the Future to this new role and I have to say I'm thrilled with the change because it gives me the opportunity to work on these three areas and the linkages between them that I've been close to these areas all of my working career and they are also very close to my heart.

So, I'm looking forward to this
new role and to continuing to work with all of
you. And in this new role, of course I'll be
working from USAID but continuing to work with
other US government agencies as well as our

global partners in this area, the private sector, NGOs, and of course all of our colleagues in the university community, and especially our country partners.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Well, I've really been looking forward to interacting with this panel which is called "Perspectives on Human and Institutional Capacity Development from the Next Generation of Hunger Fighters." think between that title and the theme of this year's World Food Price Symposium which is also focused on the next generation hunger challenges, this group sitting around the table must feel themselves to be at the very center of the universe for the next few days. They are agricultural researchers all of them, they are hunger fighters, they are in service to their countries. I know we're all looking forward to hearing from them.

Each of them, this is a special panel because not only are they researchers and hunger fighters, also each one of them has

had an opportunity to build their skills,
their capacity, their experience through
different types of fellowships offered by the
US Government. And so, we wanted to bring
them together for them to tell you a little
bit about their experiences, to reflect on how
it's affected them personally, professionally,
and how they feel that these experiences have
influenced the institutions where they work.

And we heard this morning at the BIFAD Board, of course I mean this has been a continuing ringing theme through all of our discussions this morning, the importance of Human and Institutional Capacity Development.

We heard from Gebisa that he's heading a working group of BIFAD to look at this topic and examine what recommendations might be made to the Administrator for all of us going forward as we expand and improve these programs. So, this panel, you have everybody's attention on this area. And I would say, you know, not only the attention of

USAID and US government partners, but I know there are others in the room who are also working in this area of Human and Institutional Capacity Building from the standpoint of foundations, from the standpoint of World Bank. And so, I think that this is going to be a very important discussion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, let me talk a little bit about the format here. I'm going to very briefly introduce the panelists and talk about their affiliations and just say one word or two or five about what the fellowships were that they had been engaged with or are currently pursuing. And then I'm going to turn it over to the panelist, I mean basically to say a few words about what they did during their fellowships, and then reflect for us on how the fellowships changed them, and then specially I want them to focus on what their recommendations for us are on going forward as we're thinking about what was great about their programs and what would be really useful

on going forward. We want to develop even more effective programs to transform their institutions.

Okay. So, let me start on my far right, and they have already excused me for possible massacring of their names. My far right, this is Anabela Manhica. And she is an AWARD recipient and she is with the Mozambique Agricultural Research Institute where she is a Senior Researcher and head of the Technology Transfer Department.

Now, I thought I would just take a minute to explain what the different acronyms mean. AWARD, this is the Africa Women in Agriculture Research and Development. So, this is a program for mid-career scientists which provides leadership training, mentoring, scientific skills development for women agricultural researchers in Africa. So, that's Anabela.

And then, here we have Haroon

Sseguya who is a LEAP fellow. And he is with

Makerere University in Uganda. He holds a

Ph.D. in Sustainable Agriculture and Sociology
from Iowa State. And he was supported by the

Borlaug LEAP fellowship and that is the

Leadership Enhancement in Agriculture. And
the LEAP fellowship provides support to
developing country graduate students to
conduct part of their research on food
security related topics in development country
or CG settings. And this is a program managed
by the University of California at Davis.

Then to my left, we have Grace
Otitodun, okay, close enough. Okay. She is
a USDA Borlaug fellow and she works with the
Nigerian Stored Products Research Institute.
So, the USDA Foreign Agriculture Service,
Norman E. Borlaug International Agricultural
Science and Technology Fellowship Program,
these are short-term collaborative research
fellowships with mentoring for agricultural
researchers in developing countries. And
Grace is a research scientist within

Department of Entymology at the Nigerian

Stored Products Research Institute.

Okay. And then last but not least of course, we have a current fellowship holder, Gerald Sebuwufu, who is working with a collaborative research support program.

He's a graduate student at Iowa State. He is studying crop production and physiology. And before coming to Iowa State, so he's being supported in this graduate program through the CRSP program, before coming to Iowa State he worked as an agronomist at NARO, the National Ag Research Organization in Uganda.

Okay. So, Gerald, I'm going to start with you to talk a little bit about what you're doing with your studies right now and just explain a little bit about your program.

MR. SEBUWUFU: Thank you. It's a pleasure to be on this panel to share a few of my experiences as a CRSP fellow. Like the moderator has told you, Julie, I'm currently in my third year at Iowa State University. My

research is on the common bean, looking at the biology of ion nutrition in common bean, the basis for increase in iron storage in the common bean seed. And I'm also, together with that, I'm also doing some work on improving yields of common beans in Uganda. We do, as part of the CRSP program, we do on-farm research with the farmers in Uganda, and that's targeting improving using the local available resources to improve the yields on farm.

And apart from the research through the CRSP project, I've been involved in the value chain of beans right from production up to marketing. In fact, that's I think something that's kind of unique to this CRSP. Of course they've given me an opportunity to interact in all areas of the project just beyond my actual research. I've been to Uganda twice, I was sent by the project to do training, develop extension materials for farmers, and also I was the

mentor on some of the stuff that I'm involved with in the local implementation of the project especially carried out on farm research.

Apart from that, of course through the training I've had, a lot of skills and knowledge I gained through my training, specifically in crop production and physiology. And I'm also doing some work on sustainable agriculture because I think it's an important component especially going forward in Africa.

MS. HOWARD: Thanks, Gerald. And so, how is it working? Are you, you're part time in Iowa and then you return to do research in Uganda? How is the program structured for you?

MR. SEBUWUFU: I would say I'm full time at Iowa State, but during the summer I get some time to return to Uganda to get involved in the on-farm research, yes.

MS. HOWARD: In Uganda?

1 MR. SEBUWUFU: In Uganda, sure.

MS. HOWARD: Okay, great. Okay,

thanks, and we'll come back to you.

MR. SEBUWUFU: Sure.

MS. HOWARD: So, Grace, if I could ask you to sort of describe what your fellowship was like? And as Gerald did, sort of some key takeaways for your professional development, how you feel that the fellowship has affected you.

MS. OTITODUN: Thank you. As the moderator has already said, my name is
Otitodun. I am from Nigeria. I'm a Borlaug
fellow attached to the Oklahoma State
University in Stillwater. And my mentor is
Dr. George Opit at the Oklahoma State
University.

I'm currently conducting my
research in his laboratory, the stored product
entymology laboratory at OSU. My research
interest has been in the use of plant
materials for protection of stored crops. I

obtained my Master's degree from the

University of Ilorin in Nigeria and my

research work was focused on the use of six

plant materials as protectant on cowpea

against callosobruchus maculatus.

I am from the Nigerian Stored

Products Research Institute in Nigeria, and

it's the only research institute that is

saddled with the responsibility of increasing

Nigeria's agricultural self reliance through

adequate post harvest loss prevention.

Agriculture in Nigeria consists
mostly of small scaled holdings and this
provides about 80 percent of the total food
consumed in the country with the use of simple
tools. Post harvest loss prevention in
Nigeria has been with the use of synthetic
pesticides, but the usage of these pesticides
has really been accompanied with many problems
such as the high cost of the pesticides which
made it unaffordable for most of these
farmers. And many of them had to make big

sacrifices in order to purchase them.

Another one is the problem of misuse by unskilled farmers which has led to food poisoning and caused many accidental deaths. Also, there is a problem of development of insects, pesticide-resistant insect strains and also environmental hazards. All these problems made the National Agency for Food Drug and Administration in Nigeria (NAFDA) to place a ban on all contact powder insecticides and gas emitting without alternative measures given to the farmers.

So, presently, Nigerian farmers are in dire need of safe, affordable, easy to use, established protection measures against storage insect pests. And the way that this can be achieved is through the use of natural materials like botanicals and diatomaceous earth which are regularly available and locally sourced in Nigeria. Most of these botanicals are used as spices in our food, that is our meals, daily meals in Nigeria.

And also, diatomaceous earth have been

identified from about six-seven states in

Nigeria.

So, my research, the Borlaug fellow support has really made me, enabled me to conduct research investigating the insecticidal efficacy of these botanicals that I use as spices in our food and diatomaceous earth from Nigeria. And from my findings, it has been found, these protectants have been found to effectively protect stored grains for a long period of time against storage insect pests like the rice weevil and the lesser green burrow that has been found to be, that has been reported to be resistant to most of the synthetic pesticides.

So far, therefore, from my results, I could say that these botanicals and diatomaceous earth can be used as alternatives to synthetic pesticides, but the application should be limited to seeds for planting alone because ecological assessments still need to

be conducted on these protectants to determine the safety for human consumption. Thank you.

MS. HOWARD: Thanks. That's very, very interesting. Grace, I wonder if you could say a few words about the structure of your fellowship. I mean how long is it? How long will you be at Oklahoma State? Will the relationship continue in some way even after you return to Nigeria?

MS. OTITODUN: I've been in the Oklahoma State University-Stillwater since July 14th to be precise. My experiment has been, is supposed to go for three months. And to the extent I have now of enough data as been generated that can lead to development of manuscript, that would be published in scientific journals. And from this program, I can say that this experience has really made me to identify a lot of performance gaps in my own institute like performance gaps in the way research is conducted in my institute that is making our research not to be appropriately

1 coordinated and effective.

Like back home, for example, researchers prefer to do research on their own, hiding their research from others and this is not really helping us. But by coming here, I saw the importance of collaboration which has really made my research work to be effective. And this program has also enabled me to have more interaction with other scientists in my field and this has brought about networking which I believe by the time I go back home, the relationship is still going to continue. Yes.

MS. HOWARD: Thank you. Haroon, let's turn to you. Can you talk a little bit about your LEAP fellowship, the structure of that fellowship, what you did during the fellowship, and what kind of impact it's had on your professional career?

MR. SSEGUYA: Thank you, Julie. Good afternoon everyone. I was a fellow, a LEAP fellow between 2008 and 2009. And the

way the fellowship is organized is that the student receives financial support to go back to an African-based CG center office to do their dissertation research at that CG center. But they also have opportunity to have their US mentor, their US doctoral committee member come over to Africa to give on-site guidance.

The fellowship also provides some support for equipment like a laptop and some of the equipment the student may need. If they are in social sciences, they may use it maybe to buy a camera. If they are in natural sciences, they may use that money for equipment to buy some small equipment.

For my fellowship, I worked at the International Center for Tropical Agriculture, the Spanish acronym is CIAT, at the Uganda office. And I was mentored by Dr. Jemimah Njuki. She is now at the International Livestock Research Institute. I worked on two programs, the first one was integrating agriculture and nutrition, almost in line with

what one of the components of the Feed the

Future is looking at. This was in Eastern

Uganda in a district called Kamuli, and it was

jointly implemented by Iowa State University

at their Center for Sustainable Livelihoods,

Makerere University, and a Ugandan non
government organization called VEDCO.

Then the other program I worked with was being implemented in Southwest

Uganda. The acronym for it was ERI, Enabling

Rural Innovation. This was mainly focusing on enabling farmers access markets for what they produce. But they first do an assessment of what resources they have, what capacity gaps they have, and then the project would work with them to improve some of those.

Actually, one of the leading legacies they have now is one of the groups we worked with in CIAT is already marketing their food to local food outlets, in the big local food outlets. I don't know which exactly I can give you but they get, the farmers

themselves market their food. They grow their food and they eliminate the middle, what you call the middleman. They bring their food directly to the supermarket, the food retailers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, from this fellowship, I got so many, I mean there were many things that were good. First of all, working with CG-based centers, most of them are topnotch centers with lots of experiences. They helped me first of all to focus my dissertation They gave me ten African research. scientists. I remember sometimes I would communicate with my doctoral committee here and they would tell me, well, since it's the African scientists or the people based in Africa that have given you this experience with agro wisdom, you go ahead and incorporate what they have told you. saw it coming out well, so I was able to complete my dissertation research within one year simply because of that mentorship I got

both from the US-based doctoral committee and the scientists based at the CG center.

Then the other one was I was able to network with a number of actors this fellowship exposed me to. First of all, the scientists based at the CG center, but also the local organizations and those in the private sector that we worked during the time I had my fellowship.

And this one, for someone who may not be from Africa, coming and going back to the US may not be a big deal, but if you are from Africa and you come over here, in most cases you want to work on problems back home.

So, I was always, as I was completing my course work, I was saying now I don't want to end up working on an issue that may not have relevance when I get back to Uganda. So, this opportunity came in handy. It helped me to go back to Uganda, do something which has relevance to my country because I had intentions of going back to Uganda and not

staying here. And those are some of the issues. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. HOWARD: Thank you. Okay. And then we want to turn to Anabela who is our AWARD recipient. I don't think that I said this. She has her Master's degree in Veterinary Medicine from the University of Pretoria. But I also see she has a very strong interest in addition to veterinary medicine in transferring technology. Anabela, I'm very interested in hearing from you, you know, what the AWARD fellowship, what you have done during your AWARD fellowship, and how that has helped you to advance your interests, your professional work in these two areas.

MS. MANHICA: Thank you, Julie.

Good afternoon. Thank you for this

opportunity, BIFAD, AWARD, USAID, are giving

me to share my thoughts on the fellowship

itself but also on this issue of human

capacity building in Africa.

Coming back to my name, I'm

2 Anabela Manhica, that's the way it's spelled.

3 I'm from Mozambique. I work at the

4 | Agricultural Research Institute in Mozambique.

5 It's the only research institute and the

6 biggest one. My home language or my official

7 language is Portuguese, so I don't really,

8 | well, I'll try my best to communicate in

9 English.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I am a veterinarian by profession as Julie said. But I was invited due to my interest on technologies not being adopted by farmers. I was invited to lead this department to be the head of Technology Transfer Department and not just looking at, although the headquarters are staying in the south part of Mozambique in Maputo, I have to look at the whole country on this issue.

Well, the fellowship, first of all, the fellowship helped me on clearly identifying and defining what is considered my life purpose. This is something new I learned

from this fellowship, AWARD fellowship. from there, I can really trace back and also looking up front to see how to transfer technologies in the best way, the best methodologies to transfer this technology and for them to be adopted by using what we call innovation platforms where we have to bring together all the stakeholders of the value chain because farmers may produce and they really feel like adopting the technologies but the first question they ask are related to the They say, okay, I can produce for my market. own survival, but what am I going to do with surplus? That's one problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

This morning, we had the fortune to hear about the post harvest problem that we are facing, we also have this kind of problem. So, the fellowship helped me on identifying what are the major mechanisms for trying to solve which are the performance gaps which my institution is really having. It also emphasized on the leadership issue. Julie

mentioned several others where the AWARD

fellowship focused but the leadership is very
important because it's helped us to work

together with others, other people, other

stakeholders, having networks which really
help. We also have opportunity to interact
with a mentor who is a senior researcher. In
my case, it's a seed potato breeder who is
from International Potato Center, Dr. Maria
Andrade, she's very well known.

We also have opportunity to register ourselves into the international associations or societies. In my case, I had the opportunity to register myself to the extension association which is an American organization but it's worldwide. It involves various different scientists who are working in the area of research results transferred to farmers.

And I think that summarizes, this is very brief which I could say, and I think, Julie, if you would maybe ask some more

questions if there are more things that I'm supposed to bring.

MS. HOWARD: Okay, thank you. I did want to ask you one thing. You said that the fellowship had helped you to identify some performance gaps in your institution. Can you say a little bit more about that and how the fellowship in your experience allowed you to do that? And what were the gaps?

MS. MANHICA: Well, there are two main gaps that I was able to identify. One is on the field I'm working now on diffusion of innovations, the way we used to do it, through the linear way from research to extension offices and from extension offices to the farmers. But we are doing it now in a different way, in an innovative way. We are not yet using mobile phones as we just heard in the other room, but that's one way that we are thinking of using.

Currently, we are using the facilitation of these innovation platforms

where we have all the members of the value chain talking about the agro business, input suppliers, the farmers themselves, researchers, and how to link them. The teamwork skills, you know, I got the teamwork skill through the program, the fellowship.

The other one which I haven't yet addressed but I've been thinking seriously on doing that and I'm now just coming back from New Mexico State University where I attended a course which is also related to this, the AWARD fellowship. It has to do with working together and directly and effectively with private sector. This linkage with the private sector is not there.

And one gap I realized is that we do develop technologies, we have research results that can be really sold to the private sector and respond to data demands, to data needs. But our institutions don't yet have this issue of signing a contract with an institution, you know, a company, which would

bring the extra financial resources through
the royalties that his company could pay to my
institution, improving our resources and
helping, my institution would be able to also
come up with some extra resources to put on
when we are receiving help from USAID, for
instance, or some other international
institutions helping the research institute in
Mozambique.

MS. HOWARD: Very interesting indeed. I think we don't have a lot of time left and I want to leave a few minutes at the end for the Board members to ask questions of the panelists if they would like. But I would like to have another round where we're asking you all by virtue of your fellowships and your experiences, you are now very important change agents for your institutions and for your country. So, I'd like to ask you, as you are speaking to us as advisors, right, and we're trying to figure out how can we develop better programs to help you really expand your reach

1 as change agents.

So, as you reflect on how your experiences have helped to change your institutions, what do you need now from us?

What, you know, what changes to your particular fellowship programs or new kinds of assistance, but what would you say to us on that? And it doesn't have to be in order, whoever has an idea can jump in.

MR. SSEGUYA: Yes, let me start on this. As change agents back in our institutions, we are supposed to work with different stakeholders, but all of them are adults in that sense. But what I see, at least in my case, what this fellowship may lack is, one, enabling us or building our skills in how to link the research results to policy. That's important. Then the second would be in respect of skills since we are dealing with different stakeholders who are adults. Again, I think except for those people who are studying things to do with

agriculture education or extension, there is this aspect of pedagogic skills. They may be lacking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In the past, I was looking at how the US-based support programs used to work. When you get back to Africa to work, after being here for some time, you are even in most cases earning less than what you have been earning as a graduate student, but still you want to go back to work there because that's your country in the first place and you had a In some cases, you have your job and job. they want you back and so you want to get back. But then probably as part of the arrangement, if there is a way of having some funding, some post doc funding, first of all, there may even be issues coming out of your research that you may want to pursue further. But some universities don't have systems in place to provide additional funding for you to continue with what you are doing.

Those are some of the issues I see

here that's not complemented.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. HOWARD: Great, okay. So, really a focus on what happens when you get back and sort of continued support, institutional support. Very, very good.

Okay. Gerald?

MR. SEBUWUFU: Yes, I don't how to sav it. The foundation of some starter grants I think is really a very important point that Haroon has just raised because the fear is that when you go back, the big limitation in Africa is a lack of research funds. So, like after finishing your graduate studies, you return and most likely the institution you go to there are no funds available for you to continue with your research. And if that an institution continues maybe for five years, most likely you'll just fall off the radar. So, I think starter grants in collaboration probably with the university that you attend with could be a good way of enabling the fellows to integrate back into their

respective institutes.

Then, the other thing that I thought about was the issue of some training in the grant proposal writing. Because the fact is after graduating, after doctorate studies, surviving through competing for grants. So, we need retraining, it could be like part of the design of USAID fellows that they are trained in grant proposal writing because that's I think the key area of survival. The fact is the government, funds from government are very limited for research.

And also, on the issue of information was, after graduating you want to kind of stay current in your field. So, we need, I don't know how that can be done, but if we can get subscription to some of the topnotch journals preferably for the next five years as we establish back in our respective fields, I think that would help us to kind of stay abreast with current research and innovations in our respective fields and stay

1 current in the research.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. HOWARD: Okay. Thanks,

Gerald. And so, and Grace and Anabela, I want
to ask you also, in addition to thinking about
the post experience to thinking about how we
design the fellowship for future students, are
there things that you might change about that
design to make it more effective?

MS. OTITODUN: From my own perspective, the fellowship program design is, I can say it's good. But I think, I still believe that if USAID can make fundings available, there can be exchange of visiting scientists between African countries like all the training is being done in the US. know by so doing, it will lower the expenses on the training. And the money itself can be used for maybe acquisition of some equipment that are going to be useful in the studies in the African country's institutions. believe with that, there will be improvement in institutional development, capacity

1 development.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

There's another thing, like as I've already said, in my own institution, there are a lot of gaps that have been, performance gaps that I have identified, but the privilege I have in coming over here to really participate in this program has made me to better understand so many things that were wrongly done back home. Like the problem, there is a performance gap in all staying current even in a home institution. For me to stay current, I need to really have access to current scientific publications that are either electronically or in hard copies. most of these facilities are not available back home because the research programs are really not funded as it is supposed to be back in Nigeria.

But with all these reasons I've given, I think the possibility of my institution really giving me professional development is minimal. But hopefully there

can be changes. So, I think with the program, the design of this program, we appreciate if there can be funding released to really help us to further hone in what the studies we have, the skills we have acquired to really be able to use it back home and collaboration even within African countries which I'm sure will strengthen the scientific networking in Africa. Thank you.

MS. HOWARD: Very good. Anabela Manhica?

MS. MANHICA: I do agree with my colleagues when they mentioned, you know, some of their recommendations. I would also like to emphasize the issue of funding. It could be really an issue of funding by receiving money, but I wanted to bring in a kind of different perspective.

Through this fellowship, we were really trying to make partnerships, so the funding would come through the partnership.

I'm more fond of win-win situation, not just

receiving funds, but we should do something, you know, that's the kind of, the donor comes to us but the donor has to feel like, yes, I'm getting something through this funding which I've been giving.

So, the on-the-job training I think is very important. The exchange experience within Africa, it's very good, yes. On-the-job training, if we can, we are now doing training abroad, we come very often to the US or other countries for training, that's very good. It's marvelous because we get across different cultural situations, et cetera. But if we manage to have an expert in one field to come down to our country and share the experience with us, it would really get more people involved instead of just having one person.

You know, I came to New Mexico

State University. I have to go back home and implement what I learned. I will really do my best to train my colleagues on that. But if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

my current mentor from New Mexico State

University managed to go with Mozambique with

the experience he has and work with more

researchers, more extension offices, more

change agents we have in the country, the

impact would be much higher than it is now.

Academic degree, getting an

academic degree is very important also because being in a research area, we need to keep on, you know, keeping up, going up. I have a Master's degree. I need to go to my Ph.D. But there is this issue of age in women in There is a stage that we have to Africa. decide between pursuing with our studies and, you know, forget about the family, or what normally happens is that women in Africa, just the way I did, I prefer to pay attention to my family and my kids, and now that they have grown up I'm able to pursue with my studies. Then there is a risk, there are no donors for scientists who are of a certain age. like to suggest that you would really think of

how to invest on these women who are above the limit age and make sure that they get their degrees that they can pursue and bring results.

And to finalize, to invest in women, educating a woman, we say that it's educating a nation. And I'll say it's educating the continent. So, investing in women education. Thank you.

MS. HOWARD: Thanks, Anabela.

This has been such a rich conversation. You know, I want to turn to the Board members to see whether there are some questions that you would like to ask of the panelists before we release them from this session.

MS. BERTINI: Thank you very much.

My name is Catherine Bertini. One of the

things that concern some of us here in the US

is that our government has, we think could put

more money and support into programs like the

ones in which you are involved. And with that

in mind, I wondered if you could just each

answer, just anecdotally from your own
experiences for every hundred people that are
in training in agriculture that you know,
what, you know, how many of them have some
connection to support from American
universities, how many of them some connection
to support from Chinese universities, from
European universities, and how many just
strictly from their own universities in your
countries.

I know it's kind of a broad question but just any kind of a sense of an answer of what you see would be wonderful.

Thank you.

MS. LUCK: I really found it interesting that you were all talking about funding whether it's win-win or, you know, grant writing or what it might be, but continuing your career path and how to address that. And so, as you mentioned, support in response to Catherine's question, that would also maybe help address mine. I was trying to

think what we can do other than just add money perhaps to keep you moving on that forward path, because I hear valuable investment that maybe we haven't completed, we could get greater return if we could do one more thing or change one thing. And it could be sending someone in to touch a larger number of people in that next stage rather than bringing back, and you might be one of the assistant trainers or something like that.

So, any example as you respond to the questions that might touch that would be great.

MR. SEBUWUFU: Well, regarding the first question, I'm not from a university setting, I'm from a national agriculture research organization. It's just I think -- here. The fact is we get most of the funding from I think US. It could be it's not directly from the US government but from other foundations within the US, Rockefeller, Bill Gates. So, it's been really I think a major

source of funding for us in agriculture research.

MS. HOWARD: Anything on continuing your career path more than what you've said?

MR. SEBUWUFU: I think out of the issue of funding, issue of mentors going back to Africa to train more, I think that's important. After finishing, we shall also mentor so that the science or the knowledge I've gained and the skills are gained by more people. And this is to work, we work with --

MS. HOWARD: Okay. Grace?

MS. LUCK: And do you have the resources to bring it to others? Do you have any funds or support to carry on that mentoring or is that just part of your responsibility to --

MR. SEBUWUFU: Yes, there is no question of funding for mentoring. It could be just your responsibility as a senior scientist within the organization to train

1 others.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. OTITODUN: Like in my institute, although I'm not from a university but a research institute that is involved with service and loss prevention, I can say I'm the second person that will benefit from this program although in my institute there is no funding for continuity of all this, all this kind of facilities I've been opportuned to really be involved in. But I think if supports like this in form of training can be gotten from USAID, I'm sure it will really assist us in my institute professionally, I mean in professional development of scientists in my institute. And also, like regular attendance of international and local trainees in workshops, seminars, conferences, all these are important because through that you'll be able to share your ideas of what has been done, of the works you have done and it will link you up to other scientists.

Like back home in my institute,

after research work has been carried out, the results are just left on a desk, on drawers without publishing. And nobody knows whatever thing we have done. And this is not really helping our research. So, this opportunity has really allowed me to be better informed. And I can say, boldly say that I am a better scientist now than when I newly came for the program. Thank you.

MS. HOWARD: Thanks, okay. So, then quickly to Haroon and Anabela because we want to give an opportunity for the rest of the panelists to ask questions.

MR. SSEGUYA: Yes, I'm from a university system and I would say a significant proportion of funding comes from the US. But then another big source of funding is the European Union. Currently, in the department where I work, I'm also the Director for Graduate Studies and we recently got funding and it cuts across universities. It's not only at Makerere but also at Bunda

College of the University of Malawi in Malawi, and Edgerton University in Kenya.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We are thinking of, with funding from EU, we are thinking of using the knowledge that we have achieved, like my LEAP fellowship experience and other colleagues from -- University. We came up with a program, we call it GOforIT, Graduate Opportunities for Innovation and Transformation. And we are going, what we are thinking of is to get mid-career extension stuff to train them in how to effectively participate in the innovation system on value chains, how to build and manage coalitions, you know, things that are coming out of how they are supposed to do redevelopment work.

And, well, the thing here is that these funds are supposed to come from outside. Government is not being supportive yet. We expect them to dedicate something in line with the CAADP goal I think of contributing, is it 6 percent or 10 percent of each country's GDP

to agriculture? 10 percent. Uganda I think is contributing 4 percent, and we think that maybe if they can add to that, then we can have some of the money from government and not from outside donors. Sometimes they may delay on everything. So, that's the way we are doing it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

One thing, although this has gone, the issue of, one design attribute that I think can be done is like after the fellows have been selected, it would be important maybe to ask them about their performance gaps since they are also being prepared to be change agents. Then some training can be organized either in conjunction with local regional organizations or USAID. Actually the USAID confirmations can work with the local regional organizations. Like Regional Universities Forum in Agriculture is doing a good job in the Eastern and Southern Africa region to do that kind of capacity building.

Thanks.

Anabela?

MS. HOWARD:

MS. MANHICA: Well, I don't think 1 2 I'll use most of the time because my colleagues have mentioned most of the points. 3 I also wanted to say that the significant 4 5 funding is coming from US, yes. We also have 6 some other institutions that are also bringing 7 in some funding. But I wanted to point to you one institution which is the Continental 8 Institution, the form of agriculture research 9 10 in Africa which also through the partnership it has with several financial institutions, 11 12 they are able to gather funds and really use them in the countries. 13

So, this was the aspect I wanted to point to you because we are currently undertaking a program which is related, one of the programs which is related to wheat and I'm coordinating one of them. But we have some other several which are related to it. Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. HOWARD: Thanks, Anabela. I'm going to take on trust that that comment

didn't have anything to do with the entrance of Monty Jones, the Executive Director of FARA.

MS. MANHICA: Not at all. When I spoke about innovation platforms, it was related to it. It's just a coincidence.

MS. HOWARD: Okay, thank you. I want to beg the Chair's indulgence, we got a little bit of a late start. Could we have another five minutes just to make sure we capture the rest of the questions while we have our panelists with us? Okay.

DR. EJETA: Well, I was going to defer to the audience. I wasn't sure if you were going there. But I just wanted to really compliment you on the most insightful ideas that you shared with us about your concerns about being isolated and trying your level best to make a contribution and yet there are hurdles that you're facing on a daily basis. And Haroon, your resolve and commitment to want to go back because you want to serve is

very moving to me. These are the kinds of,
you know, young people that the continent can
generate provided we can provide them with
opportunities for them to be contributing and
to be very useful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And the point that I wanted to defer to other CRSP participants to make a point of is the thing that came up over and over again. And we had heard this through the last several decades where young people who have gone back appreciate a lot more the continuing mentoring that takes place when you are engaged in a collaborative mode with individuals with whom you trained and you've got an exchange of people, you know, mentors going back and young people invited back to conferences and workshops. Because when somebody receives a graduate degree, it doesn't necessarily mean that professionalism has been attained. It is a slow process for all of us becoming a professional, becoming a seasoned professional to make a contribution.

So, opportunities like that have been greatly appreciated. Many young people have confessed to us where they go back and they stay 10, 15, 20 years in the national program, they say the single most factor that kept me in there is getting this bridge and connection with the rest of the world. And so, that has been very, very useful to them.

But very often, you know, if you look at the national program, training tends to be one deep. You get a plant breeder or an agronomist, they say, well, we don't need that. And then from a granting agency, we count the headcount of how many people we trained but not necessarily thinking about how well we have become useful in delivering and contributing towards the mission. And that requires time and the time that they need to engage with the rest of the world to become the best they can be to solve the problem in their own continent.

MS. HOWARD: Brady?

amen! I had intended to, I wanted to commend you and to thank you for the explanation of your particular, the context in which you're working. But Professor Ejeta said it more eloquently than I that knowledge building is a long-term process and it requires the emphasis on the continued professional linkages that you have emphasized and linkages with your mentors that you've been working with on your graduate degrees. And that speaks to sustainability.

So, I would just commend the session. And Julie, thank you for getting it organized this way. And I think this was a very important lesson to us.

(Applause.)

MS. HOWARD: Well, I just want to thank the panelists and say that I personally have also, I've learned a lot from you this afternoon. And I hate to think that this panel is actually the end of that learning.

So, I hope that they, you know, we can put together some way to keep in touch so we can continue to have you as advisors accompanying us on this process going forward. So, I look forward to staying in touch on that.

And please join me in thanking our panelists again.

(Applause.)

(Off the record.)

DR. EJETA: We're going to start with this panel, the panel on HICD Experts and Perspectives. We've got a very distinguished panel here. I will introduce them as they speak, and they would be given a certain amount of time that they have negotiated with the organizers. And then they will be prompted when that time is approaching and they have a good understanding of that. And hopefully we will get to leave some time for question and answers at the end.

I will take the prerogative of the Chair and start the panel with a couple of

Institutional Capacity Development is not a new initiative for USAID. And I wanted to try to indicate that, I think many of you know that and know it a lot better than I do. But I thought maybe I'll share that with you for developing a common understanding.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

It goes to before I was born, the creation of the Point 4 Program, President Truman's inaugural address, January 20, 1949. He proposed what he called Programs for Peace and Freedom and he had four points in them. The first point says we'll continue to give unfaltering support to the United Nations and related agencies to strengthen their authority and increase their effectiveness. And the second is we'll continue our program for world economic recovery and expanding the -- plan. We'll strengthen freedom-loving nations against dangers of aggression. And the last point, point number 4 is we will embark on a bold new program for making the benefits of

our scientific advances and industrial progress available for the improvement and growth of underdeveloped nations.

And many of these things have stayed through the times. And the second maybe milestone that I would mention then is this compelling, most effective, most visionary foreign assistance practice of helping developing nations help themselves.

As visionary, as illustrious as it has been, it lived under this nebulous name for about 12 years until the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 that created the US Agency for International Development.

And then the one thing that I mentioned and very relevant to what we are discussing today is the Foreign Assistance Act Amendment in 1975. That was introduced by Congressman Paul Finlay of Illinois and sponsored in the Senate by Hubert Humphrey of Minnesota. And that created this amendment and eventually created this Board, the Board

for International Food and Agricultural

Development. And that Board took about a year

or two to develop the concept of the

4 Collaborative Research Support Program.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And so, these were really milestones in the development assistance program. But then it may be honest to say that we had a low time where the USAID and the US Government's leadership in capacity building and institutional strengthening did not continue to have as much support, both financially and in terms of continuing that legacy. And then in this 43rd President's time in 2009, there were some revitalization of that concept that came out by the study that the Senate had done and eventually leading to a bill that was introduced jointly by Senators Lugar and Casey, Lugar from Indiana and Senator Casey from Pennsylvania, and then a great document from the Chicago Council for Global Affairs, Global Agricultural Development Initiative.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

1213

. .

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And these two documents really had a lot of contributions particularly relative to the role of universities and human and institutional capacity development. Yes, a lot of that did not see the light of day in terms of being legislated, but it has really informed the thought process of USAID and the State Department in the last couple of years. It is then with this background that we have these discussions, as we indicated in the morning, at the request of the USAID Administrator to develop a consensus document making recommendations to him about the human and institutional capacity development for the US Government.

And the one thing that I want to say along with that is, both in the heyday of human and institutional capacity development for developing countries and even today, in the leadership that the US Agency for International Development has and the convening power that it has to rally other

development agencies to a more focused strategy for development goes without saying I think. And to the extent that the Board helps that, it really is helping the cause for both the developing countries and as well as for the United States.

I think in the contribution, in the inaugural remarks that the President made in 1949, many of the things that we repeat to ourselves today were really said at that time. And it's amazing to me including all the enlightened self interest on why we need to engage in development assistance was said by him in his remarks. I don't want to take time to read you all of the speech but just let me say a few of these comments to remind us how things are changing but how much many of the things stay the same. Here is what he said:

"More than half the people of the world are living in conditions approaching misery. Their food is inadequate, they are victims of diseases, their economic life is

primitive and stagnant. Their poverty is a
handicap and a threat posed to them and to the

more prosperous areas." And he said:

"For the first time in history,
humanity possesses the knowledge and the skill
to relieve the suffering of these people. The
United States is preeminent among nations in
the development of industrial and scientific
techniques. The material resources which we
can afford to use for the assistance of other
people are limited. But our imponderable
resources and technical knowledge are
constantly growing and are inexhaustible."

"I believe that we should make available to peace-loving peoples the benefit of our store of technical knowledge in order to help them realize their aspirations for a better life. And in cooperation with other nations, we should foster capital investment in areas needing development. Our aim should be to help the free people of the world through their own efforts to produce more

food, more clothing, more materials for housing, and more mechanical power to lighten their burdens."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

"We invite other countries to pool their technological resources in this undertaking. Their contributions would be warmly welcomed. This should be a cooperative enterprise in which all nations work together through the United Nations and its specialized agencies wherever applicable. It must be a worldwide effort for the achievement peace, plenty and freedom. With the cooperation of businesses, private capital, agriculture and labor in this country, this program can really increase industrial activity in other nations and can raise the sustainability of their standard of living."

We repeat to ourselves a lot of this, perhaps indicating that we have learned a lot. But in some ways, we really may not have.

I'd like to start the panel with

introducing my colleague and friend, Dr. Monty
Jones. And many of you know Dr. Jones, he won
the World Food Prize in 2004, but before that
he spent decades as a lowly plant breeder
breeding rice in Sierra Leone and eventually
at the World Rice Institute. As a fellow
plant breeder, I'm entitled to call him a
lowly plant breeder.

Monty is from Sierra Leone. He spent the past 22 years of his career in Africa working on international agriculture research and development, and development in institutions. His work on NERICA has increased rice production in West, Eastern, and Central Africa and created savings for many African governments.

In September 2001, he received the National Order of Merit of Cote d'Ivoire given by the Ivorian President. In 2004, he also received the insignia of the Grand Officer of the Order of the Rokel from Sierra Leone President for his work on NERICA. Since

joining FARA in 2002 as Executive Director,

Dr. Jones has mobilized key decision making

including African Union and NEPAD as well as

major investors in African agricultural

development to work together toward the

achievement of Africa's vision. Dr. Jones?

DR. JONES: Can I talk from here?

DR. EJETA: Sure, yes.

DR. JONES: Thanks. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Ladies and gentlemen, actually it's a great pleasure for me to be here, to be starting this distinguished panel. And I would like to thank the organizers actually for giving FARA this opportunity.

I think the subject for discussion today is human and institutional capacity strengthening for agricultural development.

As an introduction, I would like to give a quote from a prominent Malawian and I quote,

"We know what needs to be done, but every action is constrained by inadequate capacity."

1 That is the situation that we have in Africa.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I would like to equate that quote to the CAADP process, and particularly to the CAADP documents that we are currently developing and that we should be implementing, notably the investment plans that countries are planning together. These investment plans have been approved by ministers, presidents, and approved for implementation. implementation is on the mind but inadequate capacity. Attaining critical mass with the required capacities I believe is very essential for Africa's agricultural development. For us, capacity is the Achilles heel that will support African ownership, African leadership of its development agenda.

So, that is a brief introduction, and I would like to thank the organizer of BIFAD for actually bringing us together to discuss this particular subject that I believe is very important and key to Africa's agricultural development. Let me put into

context, you know, the capacity strengthening situation in Africa. Capacity in agricultural research and development, like I have said, is inadequate. And the capacity that I'm referring to here actually encompasses four dimensions: human capacity, institutional capacity, organizational capacity, and retention.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I think that in 2006, FARA carried out a comprehensive mass assessment to look at the capacity of our national agricultural research and development systems. And in that study, we looked at the number of personnel in various institutions. We looked at the quality of the personnel, how many PhDs, how many MSs, and we also looked at the age of the personnel. And of course I'm not going to go into details about the age range, but if you do ask I'll tell you that most of our scientists are aged. We also looked at facilities. We looked at incentives and accountability mechanism that was the

management and governance structure in those institutions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I will tell you that there were deficiencies across the board from small product producers to rural technicians to extension workers to entrepreneurs to research and then to policy makers. And if we look at the disciplinary area, there was significant deficiency as well, notably in the area of biotechnology, biodiversity, biometry, seed systems, communications, soft skills and And these weaknesses that we observed agenda. in this institution in the area of discipline, we are precipitated by two decades of underinvestments in agriculture, in agricultural research and development, under-investment in our educational system, capacity strengthening system.

And FARA as well as a number of other institutions -- investment in capacity strengthening, you know, is mostly done from external resources by donors. In fact, 75

percent of the budget of our national agricultural research system comes from external resources. And I think that this is really unsatisfactory and unsustainable, you know, because there must be domestic, substantial domestic investment in agricultural activities, in capacity strengthening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I'm talking of domestic investment, I think it's not just a question of increasing the skill of your investment. I think it's a question of increasing the quality of investment so that the funds are used to support priority capacity strengthening issues. It's a question of, I believe, increasing the stability of investment, you know, so that we make investment by protecting materials better than short-term investment in which usually goals and objectives are not met before the end of the project. So, we should be supporting the programs.

And at the same time, we should increase investments for utilization in an appropriate manner. Too often when we support a program, universities or capacity strengthening program, we support minimally to the extent that the fund is available just for their salaries and benefits. What about operations? What about capital needs? All of these things need to be taken into consideration so African government, African private sector and philanthropists should contribute significantly to the development of their own system.

The weakness that we're talking about, the size of countries that we have in Africa. Most of these countries are small.

And I've just talked about investment, investment in most of these small countries is less than ten million US dollars per annum.

The number of scientists are not that many.

So, that creates a problem as well.

But personally, I believe that

Africa really should be taking care of coming up with a comprehensive capacity strengthening strategy. You know, I think that when CAADP was created and the pillar institutions were identified, my institution FARA was asked to coordinate and facilitate implementation of CAADP pillar. Pillar 4 constitutes agricultural research, technology dissemination and adoption but there is a link to that latest capacity strengthening so that it is not surprising that we are taking the lead to try to come up with a comprehensive strategy for, when I say we I mean FARA actually, we're taking the lead to come up with a comprehensive strategy for capacity development, you know, in Africa.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And of course we do know that there are several initiatives, capacity strengthening initiatives in the continent scattered here and there. And sometimes each of these initiatives are working in isolation of each other. Lots of duplications out

there. And I think that we need to harmonize, you know, so that we avoid the overlap, you know, that currently exists and show that we promote complementary to, you know, of our efforts.

would like to put on the table. But then what are the needs and the gaps? I think that some efforts have to be made to look at Africa's needs and gaps for capacity strengthening.

The last assessments that I talked about, try to do that. And also, we have a program that we call SCARDA, Strengthening Capacity of Agricultural Research and Development in Africa. It also looks at some of the gaps and the needs in capacity strengthening institutions, universities, et cetera.

And looking at the data that we have now, I don't think it is sufficient. I don't think we have sufficient data that will enable us to plan and develop this capacity strengthening strategy that we are talking

about. So, we need to come up with new assessments that will enable us to get that necessary information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But I will say that, I would like to mention that the CAADP, the Comprehensive African Agricultural Development Program, is making quite a lot of progress. In the last year or so, up to 29 countries have signed the compact. 20 countries have signed their investment plans, and 14-15 of those investment plans have been thoroughly reviewed. And now, what we have seen is that with these, the capacity strengthening efforts, those priorities that are planned in these investment plans, you know, came from institutions like FARA, other lead institutions and other partners.

You know, we've made sure that capacity strengthening is part of these investment plans. It's part of the compact.

And I think that that is good news because for the first time we're taking a very holistic

view in looking at all of these things.

But what are the key success stories in capacity strengthening? I talked about SCARDA. SCARDA actually was looking at institutional analysis at universities, even research institutions, and the need and the identified needs across the entire spectrum for research management and governance, scientific quality, and of course continuing professional education. That is one success program that we've had in Africa.

And the second one is UniBRAIN.

UniBRAIN actually brings together for the first time, and this is another program that is run by FARA, you know, bringing together research, universities and the business sector, together to incubate innovation. I believe that is a success story because too often research has talked fully to themselves. Extension has talked only to themselves. But for the first time, we're bringing all of this together.

Another program is the use of ICT

which is becoming, getting momentum in Africa

3 to make sure that we access the necessary

4 information. And then we have a program that

5 | we call PAEPARD, Platform for African-

6 European Partnerships on Agricultural Research

7 for Development, which actually creates a

8 forum for linkage between African researchers

9 and also European researchers. We have

another platform in which Brazil, Africa and

11 Brazil are a marketplace for food security,

12 again, it leads African scientists and also

13 Brazilians institutions and scientists all in

strengthening Africa's capacity, you know, to

15 build its own capacity.

16

17

But how can US and other donors help, you know, Africa to strengthen its

18 capacity? I think that you can help us play

19 advocacy, advocacy for capacity strengthening.

I think that the -- community was very

instrumental in the reversal of supports to

22 higher education, you know, and put the

emphasis on supports to primary and secondary education. I think that you can help to play advocacy for higher education to be supported again. I think it's very important.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You can help to play advocacy for us to have a harmony of all this, to create harmonization of all these initiatives on capacity strengthening that are yet not too well coordinated. And I think that basically what we would like to see is to increase synergy, coherence, and of course value for money. And again, you can help to invest on the development of the new program that we're talking about, the comprehensive capacity strengthening development strategy that we're talking about. And at the same time, I believe that there are a number of institutions that are coming up as centers of excellence that could be supported.

Lastly, Mr. Chairman, I would just like to say that I believe that in all of these ventures, as much as Africa would like

to do business which are long term amongst the US and other collaborators, I think that we should strengthen the existing structures rather than creating new structures. We have too many structures in Africa. And I think that when it comes to capacity strengthening, FARA is walking with the World Bank, we are working with APLU and others, and I think that there is the US Africa education initiative. Those structures are there on top of CAADP, on top of the AID, and of course the regional economic communities.

Those are structures that we could strengthen. And when we talk of FARA structures, the Secretariat, FARA and regional organizations and also AFAS and ANAFE, et cetera, you know. So, in a nutshell, I will say that if you look at capacity strengthening need for Africa, it's very huge. Very, very huge. I believe that whatever we can do with the available resources, we have to build a strong foundation, you know, that will

strengthen this particular sector which is

very crucial, you know, for the development of
agriculture, attainment of food security, and
preventing reduction in Africa. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.

(Applause.)

DR. EJETA: Thank you very much.

Now, we'll continue with the panel and have time at the end for questions. I will introduce Dr. Cornelia Flora who is currently a distinguished professor in Sociology at Iowa State University. Before that, she was an endowed Chair in Agricultural Systems at the University of Minnesota and had worked extensively in a number of development programs particularly in Latin America.

She has extensive scholarly contributions, author and editor of a number of recent books including Interactions Between Agro-Ecosystems in Rural Communities, Rural Communities Legacy and Change, Rural Policy for the 1990's. And her newest book is Rural

Communities Legacy and Change which is about to be published in the fourth edition. And with that --

DR. FLORA: Okay. I'm short, I wanted to let you know that I was actually born before President Truman's inauguration, but I missed his Presidential inaugural address. But I think it's very useful to look at it.

I also, as you can tell
undoubtedly, I'm a sociologist. And
sociologists tend to love the kind of
presentation we just got because I look at
things in terms of seven capitals that can
work together to bring about a healthy
ecosystem, economic security and social
inclusion. And it seemed to me that when
we're talking about Africa, natural capital is
huge. We have a very vulnerable planet in
terms of natural capitals.

Then the next is cultural capital.

And I was thinking about the notion of

retention. And part of cultural capital is what we think is possible to change. And I think this is where I'm seeing this huge increase, that what CAADP has given us is we can change these institutions. And retention means we will stay and work in our African institutions because we believe we can be part of changing them.

Next is human capital. And we've talked about the need to increase the skills and abilities of Africans to be able to interact with each other. It also means identifying those skills and capacities.

Next, we look at social capital which is the interaction among different groups. And I think here is where this is a key issue, particularly what we call bridging social capital where different African institutions work together when they decide on how to communicate. So, the notion, I really thought this was an important part of Dr.

Jones' presentation, of how important it is to

get these linkages going. And he's talked about important ones between Africa and the EU, between Africa and Brazil, but also how can we help strengthen this within Africa itself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

After that, we look at political capital. And here I think is where the institutional issues are huge. What is a political capital? What are the norms and values that put in the rules and regulations, that then made to standards that then leads to what is actually enforced? And what we have in many societies, I would say some of our own US universities, are institutions that were established maybe for the 19th century, possibly for the 20th century, but not for the 21st century. And so, how do we begin rethinking, not bringing in new institutions, but how do we seriously rethink the standards and rules and regulations for our 21st century institutions?

Then there's financial capital,

everybody's favorite. But he made a really important point that financial capital really isn't as important as investing it wisely.

And if we don't have those other capitals in place, it's going to be difficult to set the priorities that allow for the wise investment.

And finally is built capital. And we all think and it's clear we need roads, we need IT, we need lab equipment, but what are ways we can again prioritize, that we can share, that we can begin to use this most effectively? So, anyway, I really love it.

And the other thing that sociologists, at least those of us who do applied community work, start with is we use an asset-based approach. So, we tend not to do needs assessments. We tend to say what's working, you know, we look for the positive deviancy in difficult situations. And so, what I want to present to you is something that we discovered in our 2009, we slipped into January 2010, analysis of USAID funded

institutional support programs with human capacity building in Africa.

Okay. There are a lot of really good initiatives that Africa is developing, and I think the really important part is this an Africa-based initiative. CAADP is extremely important as a basis. But again, it's not enough. And it involves land and water management, market access, food supply and hunger, and agricultural research. And we are particularly interested in the fourth pillar but we understand that fourth pillar is to support the first three.

So, here are sort of some truisms.

Africans will determine the priorities and provide the solutions. Our job as US land grant institutions is to make sure that we are helping, facilitating, supporting that process; we're not going to do it.

Oh, of course we have all the answers. It means that we will look at things like food security, food sovereignty,

nutrition, exports, natural resources, distribution issues. And the training can take place in Africa. And some of the most innovative programs we found were actually funded by the CRSPs where you would bring US instructors to work in African graduate programs for even a couple of weeks to give short courses, where you would have dual degrees between the US university and an African university, where there was the possibility of learning from US programs but also African programs and particularly crossborder African higher education that is built on long term.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, we believe there's got to be an institutional approach using HICD, and we're working with systems not individuals.

Individuals clearly are part of the system but we're working through there. It means working with colleagues. And here is how you work with a colleague. What we've found out, we talked to people who had been trained a long

time ago, is they kept, some of them kept in contact with their major professor, then their major professor died or retired or went into art and that sort of stopped. What colleagues do is connect other colleagues. So, the notion of thinking of ourselves as a colleague rather than that gatekeeper I think is a very important rethinking of US professors.

It means sharing rather than simply imparting knowledge. There is a huge amount of knowledge creation that is going on in Africa that we can all learn from and appreciate. It really means a long-term perspective, and again this is what we found was impressive in the CRSPs, that this was something we were sort of, as we have with our students, it's a lifetime commitment.

Here are some examples. The

Agricultural Sector Coordinating Unit in

Kenya, and here is where I disagree a little

bit with Professor Juma, I think they're

beginning to pull it together in Kenya, that

they had appointed people from KARI to
university professorships. People from the
university do research at KARI, their students
are there as was pointed out. So, I think
that these are examples of where Africans are
figuring it out and doing it, I think, quite
impressively.

Cornell and -- sent lead
scientists to KARI to work for a month. The
regional strategic analysis in knowledge
support system in Eastern and Central Africa,
another example of these kinds of 21st century
collaborations.

Reform, another really important way that across borders Africans are working together, and sometimes US scientists are collaborating as well. And the notion of African and US scientists submitting joint proposals, as our colleagues here pointed out in the previous panel, it's really important to keep the research grants going. And so, if it can be done jointly around issues that come

1 out of Africa, that's even better.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

We found that there were some really important reasons for people to be trained in the US because we found people that were trained and often in our meetings with faculty involved, people trained in Russia and in Germany and in China and Japan, we do some things that are different. First of all, we have a lot of courses. We don't just go in and you read in a very narrow field. some amazing reason, we allow people to take courses outside while they're writing their dissertation. That's hugely important. sometimes we even encourage people, for example in agronomy to take a course in the business school, or even sometimes sociology.

So, what we're doing is we're encouraging this notion to think more broadly which is what you need for institutions. And our course work is not just a brilliant professor talking, or you meeting one on one with your professor. You interact with your

1 fellow students. Most of my students

2 probably, Haroon might bear this out, learn as

much from each other as they learn from us.

4 You may -- teacher but the point is this is a

5 process. There is again availability of

6 research outside.

7

Problem solving through teamwork.

8 We're really big into teams, and the learning

9 environment at our land grant institutions and

some of our other institutions of higher

education involve not just scientists but

12 you're out with farmers. You're doing, you're

working with businesses. You're working with

14 advocacy groups. And so, it gives you just a

different perspective on what an institution

does.

16

17 This can happen in Africa,

18 designed and implemented by Africans. We're

19 talking about knowledge-intensive agriculture.

20 And one of the things we're learning is that

21 even small holders can do knowledge-intensive

22 agriculture. We do not have to have all the

knowledge contained in a seed or all the knowledge contained in a bag of inputs.

There's a lot of very smart people. So, the knowledge is embedded in farmers, knowledge is embedded in technology. We need up front cost of building capacity.

But again, the higher education is a really good place to start and it pays off in adaptive system management in response to resource scarcity. Because we know we're not going to get any more or much of what we have. So, here are sort of ways we suggested of working there that is really important to engage US missions. This is very difficult at times for scientists. We tend to be very snobby about bureaucracy and do not respect the knowledge that local people often have.

Strategic planning. So, who is going to be identified, what institutions do we work with, how are they identified, how do we work with them? Institutional linkage starts with an institution that has commitment

but it also means getting advisors who really care about those topics. It means you have to help your students do locally relevant research. That is to say not research that really makes good sense for my research agenda, but for their African concerns.

And we talked about the importance of local advisors for institutional capacity building through trips back and forth. I think one of the things that some of the pilot programs that USAID funded through BIFAD were that the advisor went to visit the student in the field and gave seminars there. And the need to fund post program research, but again collaboratively.

What we saw with each of the students here which are now professors, many of them, is that they were chosen because they were already part of an institution, but that institution then had to have a plan to replace them while they were gone. They couldn't be away for a really long time. And there was an

agreement on the part of the institution of what they would come back and why they would be important when they came back.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, I think I've made my time. Sorry to go so fast. But I'm very excited from what we learned about the possibilities that exist. I think we have a lot of strengths in our US institutions. I think we have some really good programs that show our long term ability to work effectively with African institutions. And I think we have an amazing number of graduates from our institutions that are in research and educational institutions in Africa. And I think as was pointed out by Dr. Juma, can do this linkage between farmers, researchers, students to try to really affect the wide variety of agriculture in Africa.

(Applause.)

DR. EJETA: Thank you, Dr. Flora, for that excellent presentation. The next speaker is Dr. Mywish Maredia, an Associate

Professor in International Development at
Michigan State University. Dr. Maredia has
got extensive experience particularly in the
area of impact assessment. I've worked with
her in the CGIAR system and Science Council.
She's highly respected for her analysis and
work in impact assessment. And she will be
the next speaker.

DR. MAREDIA: Thank you, Chairman, for that introduction.

Before I begin, I just wanted to acknowledge my colleagues. I used to be also an Associate Director of the Bean/Cowpea CRSP, I consider myself part of the CRSP community. But I would like to acknowledge the input and feedback I have received from other CRSP directors in the preparation of this presentation, and also some of the data provided, and also of the views and thoughts reflected from a presentation that was made by our breeders in an ASA meeting in 2007.

Just briefly, to go over the

objectives of my presentation, it's basically four-fold. I just want to clarify what do we mean by human and institutional capacity development. Define the CRSP model, I know it has been mentioned several times throughout the day today, but just briefly I'll give you an overview of what the CRSP model is. And then review some CRSP achievements in long-term training, and then present some challenges and innovations in the CRSP approach.

So, clarifying the goal. What do we mean by human and institutional capacity building? Basically, at least from my perspective, it basically involves two primary components. One is the training and development which is basically developing the human expertise, the intellectual and the human capital for the purpose of improving the performance perhaps at that individual level. And secondly, it involves organizational development which is, what it means is it

unleashes the human expertise for the purpose of improving the performance of that organization at the group process and system level. And basically what it means is it increases the organization's effectiveness, leadership and the role it plays in strategy development.

Now, both these components are necessary to achieve the goal of human resource and institutional capacity building. And CRSPs are in a very good position to achieve both these components, and I'll explain how and why.

So, what's the CRSP model? We have heard this term many times today. The Collaborative Research Support Program basically involves three sets of players. The investor which is the US Agency for International Development who contracts out this whole program to a management entity which is a US university and currently it involves partners. They are composed of other

US universities, host country institutions from development countries, and other US and international organizations including the NGOs and the private sector.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And there are three essential elements in the design of this model. First, the goal or the scope of these programs. are basically investments in research but for the purpose of development. So, they are R4D programs and they do research through projects which are the units of planning and implementation of the research and they are built on the principle of collaboration. the C is not only their first name but it's the second, third and fourth name of the CRSP They are collaborations between, each model. project has a US and a host country partner that essentially work together as colleagues to develop research work plans and implementation plan.

So, these collaborative research projects are sort of the building blocks and

the defining feature of the CRSP model. And this is one of the features that distinguishes them from many other initiatives you would see in the HICD area which may be solely just based on capacity building.

So, how do the CRSPs build this human and institutional capacity? The CRSPs started to mobilize the US universities' resources to improve the human capacity and institutional resources in the partner host country institutions. And they do this through a multi-prong approach. First of all, through long-term degree training. It gives opportunities for host country institution students/staff members to attend US universities and obtain advanced degrees.

Secondly, through short-term training. The CRSP, they put a lot of resources in short-term training which involves workshops, internship opportunities, in-lab training for staff, and that also leads to skill upgrading of not only the researchers

themselves but also their technical staff and the field staff that contribute to the CRSP projects. It's a good opportunity for handson mentoring to the collaborating scientists in those institutions and also to the administrative staff in contractual, financial and administrative management of donor-funded projects. The CRSPs also offer opportunity for facility and infrastructure upgrading in those institutions which sort of are foundations to do good in world class research. And also, lastly, they offer networking opportunities for the researchers and the staff in those host country institutions to be linked to the global community in the research area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, basically, the CRSP approach is an integrated approach. It empowers the CRSP host country participating institution in not only developing new technologies and knowledge, but also concurrently developing the human infrastructure resources and

competencies in strategic areas in agriculture and natural resource sciences. And this leads to that institution's self reliance and sustainability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, what are the comparative advantages of the CRSP in human resource and institutional capacity development? Basically, there are three advantages that I can list. The CRSP model allows opportunities for a comprehensive approach, and that's where the definition of the human resource and institutional capacity building comes, that it not only upgrades or trains the human capital, but it also gains opportunity for the organizational development. Secondly, it is a great platform for involving and collaborating with diverse partners, leveraging partnerships with agribusiness forms, government institutions, IARs, NGOs, and other foundations. And this helps sort of widen the network that goes into the organization's development. And thirdly, it

is the long-term nature of the CRSP project which affords to continue those relationships on a long-term basis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, I'll just focus on one aspect of the HICD which is the long-term degree training which is done through CRSP and present some of the salient features and some of the best practices in this model. First of all, I think CRSPs have traditionally invested about 20 to 25 percent of their funds in this There is a wide long-term degree training. range even around this figure, some CRSPs I'm sure are investing more than that especially when it comes to, you know, supporting all aspects of the research that's done and training that's done for developing country students.

Secondly, the degree training is, as I said, an integral part of the research work plan that's jointly developed by the US and a host country collaborator. It is not an afterthought that, oh, by the way, we'll also

do a degree training as part of this project.

The trainees are selected by the host country collaborators based on their academic potential and their professional interests and goals. The trainees are then placed in graduate degree programs both in US universities and also in advanced institutions in other countries. So, it sort of fosters south-south partnership approach also.

And post graduate mentorship,
networking and financial support, and this was
testified by the presentation before by some
of the beneficiaries of some of these
programs. This is also one of the very unique
features of the CRSP model where it affords,
because of the long-term nature of funding, it
affords to provide some seed money post
graduation to those trainees when they return
home. And also, there is a very high return
rate of those trainees back to their
institution to continue working on the
research project and advancing the goals of

1 the R4D projects.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Some of the advantages of the long-term degree training given to CRSP is that it gives this model sort of, serves as a platform to access world class academic programs both in the US as well as in other countries where trainees can be sent. secondly, the trainees work under the direct mentorship of researchers who are well known in their area, they're well recognized and are involved in cutting edge research to advance the agenda of the CRSP. It integrates academic research and outreach into the whole degree program which sort of creates synergies and this is sort of what is behind this land grant mission where, and I think something that Cornelia pointed out, that we just don't give them opportunities to, we just don't give them lectures, we'd also involve them in other missions of our university which helps them sort of learn from that and apply it when they go back to their own institutions.

Another important aspect, because

CRSP is an integrated model, is that the

research and the dissertation or thesis work

that comes out of the training is not just,

you know, doing some research for the sake of

just publication, but it also is addressing

real world problems and constraints they are

facing in their own countries.

I'll just go briefly with these two things that also has created economies and cost savings for USAID when degree training is done through the CRSP because there is a lot of leveraging of resources and cost sharing by the universities in terms of waiving the out-of-state tuition fees, et cetera.

Some of the CRSP achievements in long-term degree training, I'll just very briefly go through them. They're very impressive numbers when you just add everything over the three decades of experience that CRSPs have had in this implementation of this integrated approach.

Almost 4,000 trainees have been trained and degrees have been supported. Out of that, more than 3,500 have been trained in advanced degrees, and currently about 350 are getting their support through CRSP.

I won't go much in detail, I don't have a lot of time. But the one main thing I wanted to point out was that there is a myth that many times students who come to the US don't go back. A study that was done by the Bean/Cowpea CRSP a few years go sort of confirmed that, contrary to the case, many researchers do go back. There was already a high return rate of graduate students back to their home countries or any other developing countries in the region and contributing and continuing to work in their area of research for which they were trained.

Some of the examples of successes in capacity building through CRSP, these are very well known. Many examples which later on perhaps the CRSP directors present here can

also highlight, is that there are examples of many NARs, many universities in Africa, in Asia, in Latin America where dozens or two dozen staff have been trained by a given CRSP and they have built a whole research program after that training. There are examples of how the trained students have gone back to their country and over time assumed positions where they could be, you know, they have played a very important advocacy role or they are deans of their colleges and leaders in their institutions and become successful entrepreneurs.

I also wanted to take this
opportunity to highlight some of the
challenges and constraints. Although there
are many advantages and good things about this
model, there are also, you know, to be fair we
have to also look at some of the constraints
lately that the CRSP model has been facing.
First of all, those impressive numbers that I
gave a few minutes ago, not all of those

numbers translate into institutional capacity building. In other words, just training students from developing countries does not count as institutional capacity building because many times those trainees are not selected in a way that is based on the needs of those institutions and so they don't contribute to develop a comprehensive strategic capacity needed in the country.

So, sometimes that is a challenge, how do you focus on that organization development, the institutional capacity building part rather than just training graduate students. Secondly, there is a challenge of how to make the capacity building strategy relevant to the host country in terms of matching the opportunity with the need. How do you, many times a CRSP project may be doing a research in an area where there might be opportunity to train students but actually the need of that country or that host country institution may be in a different area and

that CRSP project may not be able to address
that need. So, sometimes there's mismatch
between where the opportunity is versus what
the need is of that institution. There is
also this challenge of how do you provide or
introduce innovative elements in the
experience of those graduate students that are
involved in long-term training.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

To me, this next one, the next challenge of the tradeoffs is a very important one and something that's more recently become a challenge in the sense that more and more our CRSP projects are becoming short-term competitive projects. And this goes against the advantages I had listed earlier or one of the salient features of CRSP 10, 20, 30 years where there was sort of a long-term quarantee into the research project in terms of funding and they used to really focus on the institution and build their capacity. Nowadays with two to three-year projects, that goal is difficult to achieve, so it really

creates a challenge. And lastly, how do we prepare the global leaders that we are talking about today through these short-term and competitive projects? It really has become constrained to address that growing need.

And some of the specific challenges of the US-based capacity building program are that it has become very difficult for many graduate students from Africa and Asia to compete and be admitted on just academic grounds. Also, education in USA has become very costly as you all know. So, both on academic and cost basis, it has become very competitive to afford to continue with the US-based capacity building model.

There are also considerations of academic needs. From a US university perspective, how do they meet both the needs of domestic students and international students, and how do they create a program or give an opportunity which sort of equally is attractive to both pools of students? English

language has often been mentioned as another constraint where the require to pass TOEFL exam and be admitted in a US university often limits the accessibility of this to non-English speaking students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think I'll just go back to my last slide here. I've been told I'm overdue. Some of the innovations and criteria training, I just wanted to share some of my thoughts on how CRSP can incorporate some of these elements more widely. Some of them have already been mentioned before such as offering joint and dual degrees; developing programs where it gives opportunity for students to do field research back in their country; so sandwich programs, course work here, research there, or vice versa. Distance education, the use of modern technology, how can we take more advantage of that in designing innovative graduate programs?

Perhaps we need designer programs. Sometimes it's very difficult to attract

graduate students, or the needs that are in host countries, it's very difficult to match them with the standard disciplinary based graduate programs offered by universities.

Many times institutions are looking for training students who are generalists or in areas which doesn't really fit in an academic setting. How do we design programs that meet such target population?

And secondly, how can CRSPs add value to host country graduate programs? Many times when we think of training, we only think of bringing students to the US and training them. But there is also an opportunity where CRSP researchers or US university professors can add value to the host country graduate programs and how CRSP can play a role in that is another food for thought for all of us.

I just wanted to lastly, before I close, wanted to say that I also manage a program called UILTCB or the USAID Initiative for Long-Term Training and Capacity Building.

Due to time, I didn't have enough time to go

into that but perhaps in the discussion stage

I can some information on that and how some of

these innovative features have been added in

that program.

(Applause.)

DR. EJETA: Thank you very much,
Dr. Maredia. Our next speaker is Dr. David
Nielson, Lead Agricultural Services Specialist
in the Africa Region of the World Bank. Dr.
Nielson holds a Ph.D. in Economics from the
University of Chicago. He's a native of South
Dakota although he has spent some time at the
University of California in Berkeley and also
has worked at the World Bank. He's currently
the bank's lead person in support of the
Comprehensives African Agricultural
Development Program.

DR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you very much for the opportunity to participate in the meeting here. I work in the Africa Region of the World Bank in the unit that

1 supports agriculture and rural development.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I'm going to focus my remarks today on, to give you a sense that there is at this time movement to make a big push on support for tertiary agriculture education in Africa.

I think we heard from some of the other speakers already that Africa is very concerned about university level agriculture education. I think it watches with great concern the deterioration of the great agricultural universities that it had at the time of independence and later, the deterioration of some of the support that has come from its partners. You know, a visit to an agriculture university in Africa today, it's not uncommon to go and look for a lecture and find a hall the size of this one overflowing and students sitting and standing outside the door because they can't get in. They're trying to hear what the professor is

It's not uncommon to look at the

saying standing outside the door.

living conditions of the students and find a room, like the one I slept in when I was growing up, having 6 or 8 or 10 people sleeping in that room. Sometimes they're both men and women. It's not a comfortable situation. It's not a, and I think we have to wonder, is it a productive situation for agricultural education to go forward under those conditions. I think Africa shows great concern about that. I think Africa shows pride that so many more Africans are able and trying to get a university education, but great concern in the deterioration of the quality of universities and great concern in the universities' ability to handle all those students.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And simultaneously, I think as other speakers have said, I think Africa shows great concern about its own capacity to manage its own programs effectively. People who have worked in the development agencies, you know, have faced this constantly, the difficulty of

finding good counterparts to really lead the programs and do the day-to-day work in the programs that we try to support. And I think Africa is even more acquainted with that problem than donors are. It might be exaggerated to some extent because I think a lot of times we don't recognize the latent talent in many of the people in those programs. But even so, I think it's very clear that lack of human capital, lack of institutional capital and the other five that were mentioned remains a huge constraint for going forward.

Those are huge challenges. Those are huge challenges to address. And those are challenges, coming from the World Bank, you know, it's easy to think, okay, let's have a World Bank initiative. But I think even here at the World Bank we realize that this is much bigger than the World Bank. And I want to come back to my thanks for the invitation to be here. I think we don't, the World Bank,

even though we sit so close to USAID, we don't always work that closely together. We're just a few blocks apart and just until recently I rarely spoke with people at USAID in Washington.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Our own strategy in the World Bank, you know, the Bank is known as a financial institution providing development finance. Over the last decade or so, the Bank, we tried to understand ourselves as a knowledge bank as well. So, it's money and knowledge. And much more recently, I think we've understood ourselves as partners because these kinds of problems cannot be addressed by one institution alone. These are big, big problems and we have understood that the World Bank is not big enough to take on a challenge like that by itself. It needs to do it in partnership.

And I'd like to mention here that the most recent Africa strategy for the World Bank says, yes, the World Bank is about money,

yes, it's about knowledge, yes, it's about partnership, but from now on it's about partnership first and then knowledge and then money. And I really think the opportunity to work closely with people in this room and your colleagues is an extremely welcome on for the Bank. So, that's a small aside from the World Bank.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But coming back to the enormity of this challenge, I think there are reasons to think that this is a time when we can think of making a big push to address this challenge. And first of all, I think we can say on the African continent there are reasons to think that Africa is going to be able to address this problem, this challenge in a way which is more effective than it ever has in the past. And I think we immediately must talk about the Comprehensive African Agriculture Development Program as the reason for making those remarks. CAADP, several of us have mentioned it here today.

1

2

21

staggeringly ambitious attempt by Africa to

take much more responsibility for its own

4 challenges, for its own programs, take

5 leadership of its own programs in a completely

It's an enormously ambitious,

6 new way. I think CAADP, the ambition of CAADP

7 is to help each and every country and the

8 regions and the continent itself do better at

9 | planning, live up to professional level work

in planning and in policy making and in

11 bringing new investment to the table. That is

a huge challenge and I think if I had asked

anyone of you in the room, Rob, please go to

14 Ghana and improve policy and planning in

15 Ghana, and you can work with Monty if you

16 like, that is a huge, huge challenge that

17 | nobody has ever tried to do in a continental

18 | scale. Nobody knows how to do that very

19 effectively. Lots of us have tried to do some

20 of that but we know how hard it is.

But for Africa to say we're going

22 to do that in 50 countries and we're going to

do that with African professionals, we're not going to rely on people like me from the World Bank or others who have tried to do that with us in the past, we are going to do that challenge on our own in 50 countries of more, and at the regional and continental level, that is a staggeringly ambitious thing for Africa to try to do. But that didn't stop them. They weren't afraid to try that. They are doing it.

Monty mentioned the numbers. More than 20 countries have already done investment plans based on principles, guidelines, recommendations from African professionals, recommendations using tools like this one for Pillar 4, this is the framework for the Pillar 4. Africa created its own tools to do that planning and to do technical assistance and has gone through that process already with 20 countries and it's going to be many more. That is a huge, huge change in the way development is done. And the development

1 partners support that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The World Bank says that everything we do needs to be organized around those pillars. Everything we do in African agriculture needs to be supporting investment plans that CAADP helped countries to put together. And those investment plans were put together by Africa's agricultural professionals, not outsiders, not the Ministry of Finance. When I first started working in Africa, the Minister of Finance in Uganda told me agriculture is way too important to let the agriculture people run it. So, we're going to do it in the Ministry of Finance. We have economists over here.

Well, Africa's agricultural professionals have taken charge and created the investment plans, and the ministries of finance are accepting those as the five-year medium term expenditure frameworks for agriculture. That's a huge accomplishment. The world is different in that situation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

things that's happened in the past year or so is the ministers of agriculture and education and finance have noticed that although we talk about this massive human capital challenge, we talk about the education challenge, the CAADP documents were not very much targeted on the education issues and the agriculture education issues in particular, not very much taking up the issues that Monty talked about, the capacity building challenges. Yes, it's there, it's not just totally ignored, but the feeling was this has not been taken on as aggressively as it could be. But in this new development world in Africa where we have CAADP we have a tool to take it on.

And now, one of the interesting

22

Uganda meeting of ministers in,
was it November or December of last year, in
Kampala, ministers of education, agriculture
and finance spoke with agriculture education
community and said this is an extremely
important thing for us to take on as a

continent. And CAADP isn't doing it well enough. CAADP is a very important base from which to work but we need this to be mainstreamed into CAADP. Please create the tools like this one to do that. Please get the process to incorporate, integrate the agriculture education community so that the investment plans and plans at every level take on this important challenge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Africa responded to that challenge from the ministers through FORUM, ANAFE, FARA and other colleagues working closely with some of the development partners, formed a group, formed a work plan, have a world map. call it TEAM Africa. TEAM Africa is Tertiary Education for Agriculture Mechanism, TEAM, T-It's a mechanism to try and do E-A-M. something. They have created that and I think, I'm going to leave it there on the African side just to say that is a new world where Africa has organized how it wants to attack this issue. It has put together

institutional structures and guidelines about how to address this issue. And the Africa side is ready to go. There's a lot to do, they haven't done the job but they're ready to move in a way they never have been before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think on the development partner side, let's leave Africa now and stay on the development partner side. There hasn't been, there's been a very dramatic decline in support for many years for this area. I come speaking about the World Bank, I prepared, I looked through our list of current projects in agriculture in Africa. It adds up to about three and a half billion dollars, old projects, new projects, everything added up. Out of the three and a half billion dollars, only ten million dollars can be identified as going to agriculture education. Everything else is in agriculture but going to something else.

We've known that for a long time.
We haven't been doing that very much for a

long time and that's been a frustration
internally. If you look at the support from
USAID, and these numbers are extremely hard to
get. It's very hard to estimate how much the
US invested in African agriculture education
or how much the World Bank does or how much
anybody does. But the best numbers we have
show the support from USAID dropped almost
from the mountaintop to the flood plain by the
mid 2000's. And it's just barely starting to
come back now where there's a lot of interest

over there.

I think Rob mentioned earlier, I wasn't here yet but I've heard a lot of people talk about what you said this morning. I think Rob mentioned that there is a big push now to invest in this area including some startup money to think through where to put the money and work with the African institutions in putting together a plan and putting together an initial process to move.

to bring it back now. Monty has the numbers

In my institution, World Bank has now, we have successfully put into our work plan a \$300 million project for higher level education, and I think about a third or half of that may go to agriculture. That's going to overnight increase the World Bank's participation in this area by a factor of ten.

That's still a small amount of money relative to the issue. But it's a big signal that the development community is interested in doing something with the African framework. USAID I think also has plans to put quite a bit more money into this area, and I don't know all the numbers but you guys are here. There's an initial two million but I think there's the idea that at country level and at Washington level that something much bigger may come out of that.

If you talk to other donors, lots of other donors are active in this area.

Again, it's very hard to know how active because, I just came back from talking with

the French AID agency, I said how much does

France invest in African agriculture
education. Oh, you cannot even start to
answer that question, we have no idea. There
are thousands of little projects, I have no
idea. And that's what happens everywhere you
go. Nobody really knows how many resources go
into this area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But with USAID and I should say with the encouragement in an extremely important sense from the APLU has emboldened some of us to try to actually take this on inside our institutions with partnership. We've been working closely with donors, other donors to establish a coalition of donors to put together a big push. And we think that may well be possible. The current of lots, thousands of little programs, thousands of good programs, and everybody I talked to believes their program has been good. is just one more example I think. But that pattern of lots and lots of uncoordinated

programs doesn't seem to have gotten us where we want to go. It's been an important thing in keeping things moving. I think it's been extremely important.

But there is the chance now to have a much more coordinated effort, coordinate by Africans using the CAADP framework and with commitment from donors to be more coordinated. There's a chance to make a big push now. Partners are coming together around this and, Mr. Chairman, I think I'm going to leave it there for now. We have the chance to make a big push with African leadership.

(Applause.)

DR. EJETA: We have Dr. Patty

Fulton, the National Program Leader, Center

for International Programs, National Institute

of Food and Agriculture and USDA who is going

to respond, who is going to be the respondent.

And if you may do so?

DR. FULTON: I think this is the

first time in my USDA career that I was called distinguished. So, I'm going to put it in my report and give it to my boss when I get back to D.C.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'd like to thank Susan and Mark for inviting me to be the USDA Respondent, and I'm very honored to be at the same table as these distinguished speakers. I was asked by Susan and Mark to speak a little bit about some of the USDA programs that are focused on human and institutional capacity development. And I missed the morning session with Rob because I was in Ames this morning and I know he talked a lot about the Feed the Future research strategy. And I'm sure everyone in the room knows about Feed the Future by now. But obviously it is a Presidential initiative that's being led by USAID. And it is a whole of government approach where USAID is collaborating with USDA and other US government agencies as well as multilateral and private and non-government sectors to

build capacity in the focus countries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I'm not going to really talk more about the Feed the Future because, as I mentioned, Rob spoke about it this morning. But it's my understanding that initially human and institutional capacity development wasn't a part of the Feed the Future initiative, and it wasn't until Dr. Shah stressed the need to incorporate human and institutional capacity building in implementing the Feed the Future strategy. It's also my understand that it was the US university community that insisted that human and institutional capacity development be a part of the entire initiative but primarily the Feed the Future research strategy.

So, obviously everybody agrees
that without human and institutional capacity
development being a core area of Feed the
Future, these projects in these focus
countries aren't going to be sustainable. As
Dr. Ejeta mentioned, for decades USAID has

been investing in human and institutional capacity development. And obviously there seems to be a focus on a reinvestment since everyone agrees that without that these projects aren't going to be sustainable. So, it's very nice to see BIFAD, you know, focusing an entire afternoon session on HICD and also the fact that Dr. Shah is stressing that as a focal point, and also the fact that Dr. Ejeta is going to be leading this working group on human and institutional capacity development.

So, although we can all agree that, you know, more needs to be done in this area obviously, in my office, in the Center of International Programs with the NIFA, we think that more needs to be done even here back home before we can even go overseas and help our international partners. So, the one program that I'd like to talk about is the International Science and Education competitive grants program that's implemented

by NIFA, by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture. So, it was in the mid 1990's that USDA was changed with designing what's called the International Science and Education competitive grants program or ISE. And this program was to ensure that American agriculture extension experts, scientists and professors and researchers work internationally and then bring what they learned back to address American agricultural needs.

With this charge and using very modest amount of funding, ISE has jumpstarted campus-based programs here in the US that are making a big difference. Through ISE, those who shape today's and tomorrow's American agriculture are developing the global knowhow, understanding and down-to-earth vision that they need more and more everyday to ensure America's farm future. So, the ISE program, even though the main emphasis is on globalization of US campus-based programs,

specifically internationalizing the
agricultural research, extension and teaching
programs, it is a mutually beneficial program.
So, the ISE programs are actually building
capacity at the international institutions as
well.

Dr. Flora talked about, you know, the different characteristics of 21st century capacity building such as, a few examples were, you know, sharing rather than imparting knowledge, working with colleagues and developing a learning environment that involve farmers, researchers, agro-businesses. And these are actually, these are all characteristics of the ISE program.

I had the pleasure of arriving in Ames yesterday and spending last evening and then this morning at Iowa State University where I met with faculty and students who are working on an ISE-funded project in China.

And if you don't mind, I'm just going to, just bear with me, I'm going to read a paragraph

that the project director wrote based on what they're doing on human and institutional capacity development with China.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

She says a key philosophy or model that we have in our ISE project is to create and connect study teams of students with faculty and teaching and research and extension, with farmers and agro-business workers. The team members have free departure orientation classes and meetings. They travel together, and after travel, their experiences are shared in Iowa into the educational outreach and research structure of the land grant universities programs in food and agriculture. The ISE program impacts the creation of new global critical thinking activities in the classroom and case study and course content. Sharing of lessons learned abroad are infused in outreach activities that extend to farmers and agro-businesses. collaborative research can be conceived, initiated and completed with new partners.

1

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

I also had the opportunity to talk with one of the students who participated in this project with China. She traveled last year with the group that went from Iowa State University. It was a group of professors, students, extension specialists from Iowa, farmers and agro-business leaders. And they spent a few weeks in China and she actually did a very brief internship in China where she worked in a factory and she was packing fruit there. She was actually translating materials from Mandarin. She became fluent in Mandarin prior to going to China and she was translating those materials into English.

And then she also did a one-week home stay in China where she learned about the culture. And I talked to her this morning, and because of her participation on this ISE project, she's actually going to go back to China next year and spend a semester as an intern with Pioneer in China. And she said she never would have been given that

opportunity had she not participated on this ISE project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So, I'm not going to spend a lot of time, I just, I really wanted to highlight first of all the project at Iowa State University since I'm in Iowa, but also it's just a really good example of how they're building capacity overseas but also they're building the capacity back here at Iowa State University as well as throughout Iowa. it's, I manage the project so it's near and dear to my heart. I could probably spend the rest of this session talking about it but I think that there are probably a lot of experts who would like to ask our panel questions. So, thank you.

(Applause.)

DR. EJETA: Thank you very much.
We will ask the Board if you have some
questions for the panel.

DR. DEATON: Thank you. I want to again thank each of you for a great deal of

insight. You know, an impression one gets is that a great deal is being done, yet we know from a resource standpoint it's being done with a lot less than it was years ago. So, you wonder about the trajectory that we're on. Are we just struggling to get back to where we were or have we learned enough that we really are dealing with some new foundations? And when you look at the details that some of you laid out, you can get pretty excited about it. So, that's a general thing that anyone who wants to respond to, I'd be interested in it.

With regard to the CRSPs, there
were some comments made I wasn't totally clear
on because a lot of the discussion seemed to
be identifying problems that were generic and
not particularly specific to CRSPs. It
doesn't say your comments weren't good
comments but it does, would apply to any kind
of a program effort. And I was interested in
that. And there were some comments made about
reducing the cost to US or cost of education,

I didn't fully understand that. So, Mywish, you had made that comment and I just wanted some clarification on those points. Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DR. MURANO: It was very impressive to me when Mywish showed 3550 students I suppose is what it was received degrees through the CRSP system in terms of working internationally. And so, my question to all of you is are we able to capture those kinds of figures, I suppose it's easier to do it through the CRSP projects, but AID has been in the business of human and institutional capacity development for a long time as has USDA for that matter. Is there that data where we can then point to, over the last 10 years or 20 years or 30 years, these are the numbers of people who have been impacted directly by the efforts within the projects at AID or USDA for that matter? So that we can come to, you know, where these numbers are and that way I think maybe address some of what Dr. Deaton is saying is that then you can tell

whether, you know, year by year is that number flat, is it going up, is it going down? And in what areas do we need to show up those efforts?

DR. EJETA: Any more?

DR. DeLAUDER: Again, I want to commend you on all of your presentations. I want to paraphrase Monty Jones' quote and maybe change it a little bit. He said we know what needs to be done. The question is how do we get it done? And I think all of you have talked about the efforts that you have.

In terms of the CRSP, you talked about new models and you talked about sandwich programs and so forth, and I was just wondering how much of that are we actually doing now? And that I think really is one of the ways that they approach reducing the cost by not having to do all the training on campuses which can get rather expensive.

DR. FLORA: Well, I can just tell you what we found when we tried to go and look

at USAID trained agricultural scientists in Africa. Aside from the CRSPs where even then we didn't have really great data, the record keeping of USAID on the people trained was practically nonexistent. And as someone who have filled out quarterly reports for USAID and various grants, I didn't quite understand why that was the case. But I think that there needs to be a better way of keeping track of output which are our graduates, as well as we are very careful to keep us out of jail in terms of how we spend the money but not about what happened.

DR. MAREDIA: You are absolutely right, Chairman, that the challenges that I listed especially related the universities, they are not specific to CRSP. They are challenges in general for graduate degree training at US universities. For example, the TOEFL requirement, the GRE, and the inflexibility in the graduate programs in terms of admission requirements.

1

2

3

4

I guess the constraint I see is more in terms of this challenge of addressing the

institutional capacity building versus just

From the CRSP model's perspective,

5 training graduate students from developing

6 countries. And more in more, the changing

7 nature of CRSP in terms of the type of, the

8 level of funding and the time nature of

9 funding is creating this tradeoff between its

advantages that it could offer as a model

versus what actually is happening nowadays.

12 And also, that also creates sort of

inflexibility in the CRSP in terms of thinking

long term which is what is needed if you want

to do institutional capacity building. So, I

agree that some of the constraints I listed

which were US university based education

program are not just CRSP specific but they

19 are in general for everybody.

In terms of the training numbers

and the need for record keeping, I also concur

22 with Cornelia on that and perhaps the co-

members of the CRSP council who are present here can tell us about the project or the effort that's going on in terms of better documentation and collection of data so that some of these questions can be addressed in a strategic manner. So, later on perhaps they can get an opportunity to shed more light on that project.

DR. EJETA: Thank you. Brady, I think you were also right on the first point you raised. I think you've got your finger on the right problem, and that is the problem is increasing and not going down. And we've got a much greater need of capacity building and institutional strengthening in the continent.

When I was a young person, you know, if you put an effort, you would have an opportunity. And that is not the case today. And primary and secondary education has been expanded over the years, and so large numbers of Africans are entering tertiary education programs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

22

While the problem is so great and expanding, I think among the very many sobering thoughts that David said, one of the things he said also is this is really and indeed a great time to invest in Africa because Africans themselves are committed to the cause and making the commitments to invest. And so, in so many countries in Africa, universities are being built up, and they're investing in infrastructure. A large number of students are coming to the universities. And I often say, you know, buildings and students don't make a university and there's a lot of issues that we need to deal with.

So, in terms of what to do, it is going to require a much greater creative pack, you know, design of programs where resources from all of the partners are going to be needed. It's not resource only the World Bank can effectively address or the USAID or BIFAD. And so, it's going to require a mobilization

of a lot of resources. And a large number of those resources may also have to come from the meager resources of the African nations. And so, the partnership of national program resource, funding agency resource, and maybe partnership institutions and universities will have to come up with some creative ways of sharing some of the resources because it is after all in our best interest to help develop those institutions as well.

So, I think that the challenge and the trick is how to design such creative programs where resource mobilization is coming from a lot of resources. So, I agree with you, I think that is really the key problem.

With that, maybe I will give you the floor to adjourn?

DR. DEATON: We have time for a couple of comments.

MS. RUBIN: I would just like to follow up on something that Dr. Flora and Dr. Maredia said about the new activity to pool

together some of the data on the CRSPs. The CRSPs recognized in partnership with AID, when money was put into their new series of grants, to do more knowledge management. So, they have decided to create an activity where they are going to have a more coherent, more systematic, more standardized set of information on not only the training piece, although that's a very important part, but also on the institutional capacity building as well as the research results, the real impact of this work. And we're talking about going back from 1978 to the current time period.

So, huge effort, we're going to start with the more recent work and work backwards. But we have already received the training data sets from all over the CRSPs for the entire period that they've been in operation. And we are working through cleaning that data, so soon we'll be able to get at least the capacity numbers.

But I do want to point out just

from my personal experience, I didn't introduce myself. I'm Debrah Rubin. I'm the owner of a small consulting company and we do a lot of work for USAID as a contractor. We work with BIFAD. We work with the CRSPs. And we work with the CGIAR.

And one of the things that I've noticed in my work is how much overlap there is between the CRSPs, the relationships that they have set up in all parts of the world, and the work of these other institutions. And I think it really behooves us to stop separating the CRSPs and the CGIAR work and even some of the World Bank work and to really emphasize the kind of synergies that occur across these training programs and research programs and the impact that they are able to achieve. Thank you.

DR. DEATON: Thank you. Excellent comment.

MR. BINNS: Yes, Patrick Binns from Seattle. I wanted to also mention

something that Dr. Flora said during her presentation. She said that knowledgeintensive agriculture is not only that which is embedded in new seed varieties and ag inputs but is something that is available from integrated farming practices that small holder farmers are currently doing now, and the importance of how do you find ways to incorporate the leading practitioners in the smaller farmer community so that they are actually an active member of defining research objectives, implementing field trials and carrying out sort of broader, you know, sort of distribution of the best practices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I think we've had a lot of important discussion about the incredible need to include much more resources for capacity building at the higher education, high professional level, because you need that leadership, you need that scientific sort of edge. But I don't see that much indications where really knowledgeable practitioners at

1 the farm level are incorporated as true

2 partners in these kinds of research endeavors.

And I would suggest that this is an important

4 thing to really address.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And as David Nielson said, if there is going to be a new program to really expand tertiary education into agricultural programming level in Africa, that it really be looked at as not only a one-way form of moving information out but that it really is a dual channel where you're actually getting good information, good leads. I was very impressed earlier today with the Borlaug fellow who has found that local botanicals are being used by folks in Nigeria to suppress pests in storage and she is doing research to say, well, what's going on there and how do we extend that and how can we make products out of that? are the kind of things that I think we need to really incorporate into the broader capacity building programs that AID is supporting.

DR. DEATON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

MR. WIDDERS: I want to thank the presenters for their presentation. I'm Irv Widders, Director of the Dry Grain Pulses CRSP. However, I want to take off my hat right now and just speak as a development professional and share some experiences and

throw out an idea for your response.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

7

It seems to me that agriculturalled growth and development in Africa, Latin America, Asia, is largely going to come from the private sector. Certainly it's going to be underpinned by academic institutions and institutions that are generating research technologies and knowledge that inform policies and help small holder farmers, et cetera. But I gained some insights a number of years ago when I was traveling with Dr. Maredia and Ron Senykoff who used to be the Executive Secretary for BIFAD. And we were traveling in a few African countries to set up the UILTCB program and we were meeting in a couple of the focal countries of the UILTCB

initiative with private sector leaders. And we discovered that these private sector organizations were not employing graduates from the local universities and these are prestigious universities, several of which have been mentioned in one context or another today. And they're very good universities, I'm not here to criticize them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But in pursuing that and then having later on conversations with the principal of that university and students, I discovered something about the demographic that I don't think is talked about a lot. think it was something that was vitally important to the success of our agricultural system and frequently overlooked in developing country context. Now, I apologize because I don't have a lot of data, I don't have any data to back up what I'm going to say but it's a hypothesis. But what we observed and discovered was that many of the students in what would be the equivalent of a Bachelor's

program had a very unusual, well, a

demographic that they came from urban areas,

they did not come from very rural communities.

They were not the sons and daughters of small holder farmers. They didn't have access to the quality primary and secondary education that would enable them to get into tertiary universities in development countries. And their aspirations were to find employment in ministries of agriculture and public sector, in NGOs, or even in academic institutions which are worthy professional aspirations. But they weren't aspirations to go back to their local communities to be catalysts of change, to start up agricultural enterprises, whatnot.

I was very fascinated by the conversations at noon by Professor Juma, and I think somehow we need to be, in our discussions about USAID's investment and capacity building, thinking about university level education and how that's contributing to

the development of news leaders, a new generation of leaders for the private sector globally. And I don't think we're doing a lot about that. We think about building higher level institutions but not private sector

institutions as much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I am enamored by the -- model because I think there are some features in there that have some application in other One is, and I'm not going into their model but one thing that they do do is they go seek out students from rural communities that they believe have potential but they haven't been afforded the educational opportunities. There's clearly challenges to that because these students require remediation to get up to speed to be able to handle the academic course work of the universities. But they do that, it's intentional, and I think we need to think about creating or working with universities or as we train educators for developing countries, to have that mind set.

The other aspect is I think we need to emphasize a lot more entrepreneurship, and this isn't anything new. There's been a lot of conversation about that, but does it exceptionally well, preparing people that are not thinking about going into ministries or working for the NGO or getting that advanced, but really want to go out and be initiators, seize opportunities, start new businesses, whatever. To me, as a lay person, we need to be thinking and considering how we can change African, Latin American, Asian institutions along these lines.

I grew up on a farm. I wouldn't
be here today if I wasn't a son of a small
holder farmer in Pennsylvania. I suspect that
many of you came from farm backgrounds. As a
professor of Horticulture at Michigan State,
a large percentage of my students are sons and
daughters of farmers within the state. We
need to figure out ways of working with
African and other institutions around the

world such that they're drawing upon people that have a commitment to the land that want to make a difference. Sorry for my lecture but I'd be interested in a conversation.

DR. EJETA: Thanks, Irv.

DR. HANSEN: My name is David
Hansen, I'm a Senior Fellow with the
Association for Public Land Grant
Universities. One of the things that we
really haven't addressed today that was
mentioned by Rob this morning is the need to
deal with monitoring and evaluation. And I
think with research, that's probably quite
easier to do, much easier than when it comes
to capacity building. I'm really wondering
here if indeed 3,500 people have received
their advanced degrees under the CRSP program.
What I'm wondering, if the CRSP program was

a rich body of data available there to look at impact assessment.

initiated back in 1978, if there might not be

Now, we all know that, you know,

the current rules are to do monitoring and evaluation, to look at short-term results, outcomes and outputs. But I'm really wondering if there might not be an opportunity here to in a sense seize the day and take that 30 years of experience that we have and attempt to look at impacts that may have emerged from that. Now, these may be institutional or individual, obviously we have some great illustrations of individual impacts like the gentleman who is in front of me right now.

But I do think that maybe some attention to this might help to countervail what's actually going on today as we look at the changing character of the CRSPs and how they're being driven by perhaps a system that we feel may not be in our best interest in promoting long-term research.

DR. DEATON: Thank you. Any other comments from the audience? If not -- yes?

DR. JONES: First of all, I would

like to say thanks for all these very good comments but I will need a last few minutes. And I would like to say that Africa is very appreciative of the support that we are getting from the US and other partners around the world. I think that in the last 40-50 years there has been close collaboration between Africa and a number of the universities and the USAID. And quite a huge number of Africans have been trained as we heard from panel members.

And I think the question that we need to ask after all these years of collaboration and hearing what David mentioned about the deplorable situation that we have in the continent, how do we move forward? And I think the last speaker talked about M&E and how do we measure the impact of the work that we have done. I think this is work that we should begin to think of, you know, because the approaches that we've taken, the models that we have deployed, have they worked? Do

we need to begin to rethink to see how we can come up with an approach that will take the indigenous knowledge and of course the knowledge that we begin from apartness?

You know, bind them together to put in place what will work. You know, because if our government, our private sector and others, if they see the benefits, they will go and they will give support to it, you know. And so, that's what I want to put on the table. And I would like again to say that is Africa ready? Is Africa ready to get all of this support? Is Africa ready to push forward?

I think based on what is happening in Africa today, the fact that we do have the CAADP, the fact that the highest of the political body in the continent, the African Union Commission, the mixed group of political bodies like the Regional Economic Communities are all giving their support to the CAADP process and giving the support in way that it

translates, you know, to the technocrats that are working on the ground, all of them working up to this common agenda for which capacity strengthening is a key crosscutting issue, how do we fit into that structure that we've put in place? And how can that structure be transformed to make sure that capacity strengthening gives what it should give to contribute to the agricultural development of the continent? You know, I would say that yes, we are ready. And we are there with open hands, you know, to collaborate and to work with you.

I remember it was some years back that I came and I pleaded with Peter -- I'm not very sure he's around right now, and of course with Julie Howard and others, please come to our aid. Come and help us. And since then we have come up with beautiful initiatives, very good initiatives that I believe will have impact, you know, on the ground. And there have been other

initiatives. And this is where I think we are saying that we should come up with one comprehensive program. The CAADP seems to be working because it's the common agenda, you know, for African agricultural development.

A common agenda for capacity strengthening, for higher education, I think is necessary.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And this is what I think we are putting in place together so that all these ideas that we are reflecting today, I think it should go within that agenda, that strategy document, you know, so that all of us, the universities, the research institutions, when we talk of capacity strengthening, there is a common agenda. David was flagging the NIFA, we all look up to NIFA as a bible or a Koran to promote agricultural productivity. We want something that we want to look up to as our bible, as our Koran, to promote capacity strengthening and higher education in Africa. And I think that we have the expertise to do that. It's reflected in the discussion that

1 we've just got.

But the key to all of this is the need for us to see what we've done in the past 40 years, what are the success stories, the failures because there have been failures, what lessons we have learned from that, and how do we use that to come up with this new program that we want to put in place. Africa is ready, you are ready, we are all ready. And I think that we all should join hands together and move forward under one umbrella. Thank you, Chairman.

DR. DEATON: Wonderful. Gebisa, thank you, and the panel for a wonderful -- go ahead.

DR. EJETA: I'd like to make an announcement before you conclude.

DR. DEATON: Yes.

DR. EJETA: The HICD working group and probably the rest of the BIFAD Board will be at a listening session tomorrow at, beginning at 8:30, from 8:30 to 10:00 at the

Ruan 1 Building, 34th Floor. The idea for
this session is we would like to learn as much
as we can to inform this synthesis and
analysis and compilation of the needs and gaps
in HICD that we would like to do. And so, we
have invited the university community, the
international agriculture research community,
and all of you who have interest in this, if
you would join us at this session tomorrow.

We will be there. We will listen.

We will be there. We will listen. We'll probably start it with a brief comment, but really get more of what we got in the Q&A here is what we are looking for to get your ideas.

DR. DEATON: Thank you. Yes?

MR. YOHE: Can I make a real quick

comment?

DR. DEATON: Yes.

MR. YOHE: In response to Dr.

Hansen here.

I'm John Yohe, Program Director for the INSORMIL, the sorghum-millet CRSP

program. And we are going to be finishing up
in the next year or so now, and the impact
study of the training, of 30 years of training
in our program. So, that's going to address
one of the issues you did and that's going to
be an interesting output.

7 DR. DEATON: Thank you. Thank you 8 very much.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. YOHE: Tomorrow we're going to have available upstairs this report which is 30 years of excellent --

DR. DEATON: Thanks to all of you for being here. And we thank the panel again for a fabulous session.

(Applause.)

DR. DEATON: We're going to call the meeting to a close and the Board will be going into executive session here. We're going a bit late on that but we'll do, is that next door? Yes. Okay, thank you.

(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m.)

A	accomplishment	add 25:5,10 75:1	administrators	127:14
<b>Aaron</b> 5:9 41:19	226:21	83:16 84:10 98:16	42:4	afforded 257:14
58:20	account 60:15 97:9	153:1 158:3	Administrator's	affords 207:2
<b>abandoned</b> 96:4	97:13	210:19 217:10,16	23:4 47:5	208:15,17
abilities 188:11	accountability	added 218:4	admire 33:22 47:8	afraid 225:9
	30:18 175:22	229:15	admission 245:22	<b>Africa</b> 24:10 62:1,1
ability 10:22	accounts 32:18	addition 135:9	admitted 215:10	71:6,10 72:8,8
199:10 220:15 <b>abiotic</b> 62:20 69:13	accustomed 51:13	146:4	216:3	74:5 78:19 97:12
	achieve 28:3 202:9	additional 16:10	adopted 136:12	97:16 113:7
<b>able</b> 15:16 35:15 36:9 52:6 60:12	202:12 214:22	17:11 22:1 41:7	137:6	120:14,19 124:12
63:18 65:15 77:15	251:18	91:14 115:13	adopting 137:10	131:7 133:17
82:4 89:8 96:22	achieved 38:7	143:20	adoption 179:9	134:11,13 135:22
133:20 134:3	127:17 157:5	address 15:11	adrenalin 49:4	143:6 144:12
139:11 141:4	achievement	22:20 29:14 34:3	adults 142:14,21	148:9 149:8
148:6 150:19	171:11 173:6	34:7 152:19,22	advance 135:14	150:13,16 154:8
155:19 159:12	achievements	165:10 187:8	209:11	158:20 159:10
188:11 214:1	201:8 210:16	214:1 215:5	advanced 204:16	172:11,15 174:1
220:11 223:15	achieving 10:16	221:15 223:12,15	208:7 211:3 258:7	175:2 178:16
243:9 250:20	105:1	229:2 237:10	259:17	179:1,16 180:15
251:17 257:17	Achilles 174:14	243:21 248:21	advances 166:1	182:11 183:2,10
abreast 145:21	acknowledge	253:4 267:4	advancing 31:5	183:17 184:22
abroad 13:9 149:10	200:12,15	addressed 16:2	208:22	185:5,9,19 186:4
239:19	acquainted 221:4	91:16 140:8	advantage 216:19	187:18 189:2,3,4
absolute 47:8	acquired 148:5	222:14 247:5	advantageous 82:3	191:2,4 192:3
absolutely 44:19	acquisition 146:18	259:10	advantages 206:6,8	193:12 194:11
245:14	acronym 131:17	addressing 16:21	209:2 212:17	195:1 196:17
academic 150:7,8	132:10	63:13 210:6 246:3	214:15 246:10	199:14,18 212:2
208:3 209:5,13	acronyms 120:13	adds 229:13	advise 13:20 40:8	215:9 218:10,21
215:11,13,17	Act 11:19 48:19	adequate 126:11	111:22	219:5,7,15 220:9
217:7 254:12	166:12,17	<b>adjourn</b> 2:6,22	advisor 5:7 7:16	220:10,18 221:4
256:11 257:17	action 103:12	6:18 249:17	57:15 198:12	222:21 223:15
academy 97:13	173:22	adjourned 267:22	advisors 11:10	224:2,21 225:8,17
accentuate 90:5	active 12:15 57:14	<b>admin</b> 87:10	141:20 164:3	226:11 227:15
accepting 226:19	231:20,21 252:11	administration	198:1,8	228:10,15,15,21
access 10:7 62:5	actively 42:3 70:16	13:3 39:8 40:8	Advisory 116:7	229:2,7,13 245:2
111:1 132:12	activities 12:10,16	44:22 127:9	advocacy 183:19	248:5,9 253:8
147:12 183:3	13:16 19:14,18	administrative	183:19 184:3,5	254:9 261:3,8
191:9 209:5 256:6	21:3,11,17,19	205:6,7	196:14 212:10	262:12,12,13,16
accessibility 216:4	26:10 27:14,18	Administrator 7:1	advocate 17:4	264:20 265:8
accidental 127:4	38:5 40:18,20	7:16 11:10,12	107:10	African 72:11
accompanied	177:7 239:17,19	13:3,21 14:14	<b>AFAS</b> 185:16	133:12,16 146:14
126:19	activity 24:1 41:8	15:1,3,10,13 16:4	<b>Affairs</b> 167:21	146:20 148:7
accompanying	55:22 171:15	16:12 17:20 18:4	affect 45:12 199:17	172:16 173:3,4
164:3	249:22 250:5	19:2 21:22 23:21	affiliations 119:11	174:15,16 178:10
accomplish 10:5	actors 134:4	29:5 30:1 31:8	<b>afford</b> 25:21	178:10 181:6
30:16 35:4	actual 123:19	32:8 39:15 116:8	170:10 215:14	183:5,8,12 188:6
accomplished 20:7	adaptive 197:9	118:18 168:12	affordable 67:11	188:18 192:6,10

	I	Ī	İ	I
192:12,13 194:18	17:3 43:15 50:9	238:2 245:1 253:7	17:2 25:10 26:11	120:20 135:4,11
198:6 199:11	54:11 55:7,12	254:8 255:15	26:19 30:11 31:14	136:2 146:3
218:17 223:14,19	56:16 69:21 83:19	256:15 263:9	39:8 40:8 59:20	148:10 151:10
225:1,14 226:4	88:12 174:16	264:5,17	83:2 87:11 94:4	156:11 158:22
228:20 230:5,20	176:12 198:6	agriculture 6:13	185:11 232:1	159:21
231:11 232:2	209:12 263:3	10:7,15,15 14:11	243:11,19 250:2	<b>ANAFE</b> 185:16
233:13 249:3	264:4,6,11,15	22:11,11 36:6	253:21 263:18	228:11
254:20 258:12,22	agendas 13:17	57:1,19 66:10	aim 170:20	analyses 97:10
262:18 264:5	agent 31:13	67:9,21 70:3 80:8	alignment 104:19	<b>analysis</b> 21:4 97:8
Africans 188:11	agents 93:3 141:18	80:16 81:3,9	105:6,19	112:9 182:5
191:15 194:5,15	142:1,11 150:5	95:20 102:22	alleviate 26:7	190:22 194:10
196:18 220:11	158:14	108:1 112:20	allocation 93:9	200:6 266:4
233:7 247:21	aggression 165:20	113:4,8 114:3,9	<b>allow</b> 190:6 195:11	<b>Andrade</b> 138:10
248:6 261:10	aggressively 15:20	120:15 121:2,5,16	allowed 139:8	anecdotally 152:1
African-based	18:13,20 227:14	124:10 126:12	156:6	<b>anemia</b> 64:18
131:3	<b>Aggrey</b> 106:15	131:16,22 143:1	<b>allows</b> 206:9	<b>animal</b> 67:11 83:15
<b>Africa's</b> 6:20 173:6	<b>ago</b> 33:20 35:2	152:3 153:16	alluded 56:12	83:22 101:3
174:13,21 180:9	193:1 212:22	154:1 158:1,19	alluding 26:7	animals 63:14
183:14 226:8,16	242:4 254:17	159:9 171:13	alternative 127:12	announced 13:4
<b>Africa-based</b> 191:6	<b>agree</b> 17:4 93:2	172:11 176:15	alternatives 128:19	115:4
afternoon 7:21	148:12 236:13	186:3 196:19,22	amazing 169:11	announcement
49:16 116:4	246:16 249:14	199:18 206:1	195:11 199:12	115:5 265:17
130:21 135:18	agreement 199:1	219:1,5,8,15	<b>Ambali</b> 106:14,15	<b>annual</b> 109:12
163:21 236:7	agrees 235:17	223:19 226:5,12	ambassador 17:4	<b>annum</b> 178:19
afterthought	236:4	226:13,21 227:3,8	ambition 224:6	answer 152:1,13
207:22	<b>agri</b> 113:20	227:19,20 228:7	ambitious 224:1,2	232:4
<b>ag</b> 103:4 111:6	agribusiness	228:16 229:13,18	225:7	answers 164:20
122:13 252:4	206:18	229:19 230:5	amen 163:2	191:21
age 150:12,21	agricultural 6:21	231:5 232:2	amendment 166:18	anybody 30:11
151:2 175:17,18	7:17 9:16,18	233:19 237:2,7,17	166:21	112:15 230:7
aged 175:20	10:21 12:6,9	239:15 252:3	America 97:12	anyway 84:15
agencies 31:14	20:19,21,22 22:8	256:10 266:7	186:16 212:3	190:12
51:19 58:17	29:12,13 31:5	<b>agro</b> 133:18 140:2	254:10	<b>apart</b> 123:12 124:5
116:22 165:15	63:17 72:12 76:15	agronomist 122:12	American 138:15	222:3
169:1 171:10	109:7 116:8	162:12	152:5 237:6,10,16	apartness 262:4
220:21 234:21	117:16 120:9,19	agronomists 67:1	258:12	<b>APLU</b> 5:3 19:22
agency 19:15,19	121:17,20 126:10	agronomy 195:15	<b>America's</b> 237:20	20:14 39:20 59:6
27:3 28:10 32:9	136:4 167:1,22	agro-business	<b>Ames</b> 234:13	185:8 232:11
32:12,15 33:3,6	173:4,18 174:13	239:8 240:7	238:17	apologize 255:17
38:7 76:15 77:7	174:22 175:2,12	agro-businesses	amount 41:7 44:5	apparently 43:19
90:8 127:8 162:13	176:15 177:2,7	238:13 239:20	55:21 83:10 84:4	Applause 49:13
166:13 168:20	179:8 180:14	agro-ecosystems	96:20 101:16	85:8 163:17 164:8
202:18 232:1	181:6 183:6	79:12 186:20	114:7 164:15	186:6 199:19
249:5	186:13 191:10	ahead 77:22 88:5	193:11 231:8	218:6 233:15
Agency's 17:8	193:19 218:9,17	116:4 133:18	237:13	241:17 267:15
<b>agenda</b> 3:11 5:21	219:11 220:8	265:15	ample 8:7	<b>apples</b> 112:10
8:6 15:10 16:17	226:8,16 237:10	<b>aid</b> 9:6 10:11 13:9	<b>Anabela</b> 2:10 120:7	applicable 171:10
	=======================================			* *

annliastion 111.11	55.5 65.6 66.7	agnosta 42.10 52.5	70.20 94.5 6	147.0 16 17 149.6
<b>application</b> 111:11 128:20 257:9	55:5 65:6 66:7	<b>aspects</b> 42:19 52:5 59:22 67:20 97:22	79:20 84:5,6 216:22	147:9,16,17 148:6
	68:18 103:11		= '	149:20 153:8
applied 190:15	109:9,22 112:19	108:6 207:15	attractive 215:22	154:7 155:22
apply 209:21	117:1 118:21	<b>aspirations</b> 170:17	attribute 158:9	160:22 161:11,16
242:19	119:3 138:18	256:9,13,13	audience 4:4 43:12	161:16 162:3
applying 114:14	145:10 150:9	assessing 55:12	106:20 160:14	198:9 199:2,3
appoint 44:12	176:8,9,13 200:4	assessment 132:13	260:21	208:20 209:22
appointed 19:6	204:4 205:16	175:10 200:4,7	author 186:18	211:10,13,14
194:1	209:10 211:17	259:21	authority 165:15	212:7 216:6,15
appreciate 34:8	213:19,22 229:10	assessments 128:22	authorized 45:11	221:21 223:9
37:16 45:20 148:2	230:18 231:7,13	180:11 181:2	authorizes 11:19	230:11,12 231:22
161:11 193:13	231:20 232:8	190:17	availability 196:5	234:3 236:17
appreciated 107:18	235:19 236:15	assets 8:16 112:2	available 37:7,9	237:10 240:19
162:2	areas 12:17 13:5,11	asset-based 190:16	38:22 39:14 54:19	241:9 242:6
appreciation 43:11	75:3,4 76:20	assist 155:13	67:11 79:4 108:16	250:13 255:19
appreciative 261:4	90:13 108:19	assistance 142:7	123:10 127:19	256:14 259:19
approach 10:4	114:14 116:14,15	166:8,12,17 167:6	144:15 146:13	263:14
24:19 47:6,12	123:18 135:16	169:13 170:10	147:15 166:2	background 15:5
48:2,18 66:14	170:3,20 206:1	225:18	170:15 178:6	40:6 47:13 57:6
70:10 71:5 79:19	217:7 244:3 256:2	<b>assistant</b> 7:1 153:9	185:21 252:5	57:20 101:3 168:9
88:19 90:22 91:4	257:10	Associate 199:22	259:20 267:10	backgrounds 11:13
94:8 98:6 113:15	arena 13:7	200:13	Avenue 1:10	258:17
190:16 192:16	<b>Arkansas</b> 53:16,18	associated 14:9	avoid 180:2	backwards 250:16
201:11 204:12	54:13 56:1	Associates 102:19	<b>Award</b> 43:21 120:8	<b>bag</b> 197:2
205:17,18 206:10	arrangement	association 138:15	120:14 135:5,12	<b>ban</b> 127:10
208:9 210:22	143:15	259:8	135:13,19 137:1	<b>band</b> 66:17
234:19 244:18	<b>array</b> 67:16	associations 138:13	138:1 140:12	Bangladesh 61:22
262:2	arriving 238:16	<b>assume</b> 87:19	awards 41:3 43:20	72:19
approaches 70:4	<b>ARS</b> 66:8	assumed 212:8	awful 20:12 32:17	<b>bank</b> 47:19 119:6
88:10 92:7 114:8	art 193:4	<b>ATA</b> 77:6	<b>A&amp;M</b> 3:17 100:22	185:7 218:10,15
261:21	<b>ASA</b> 200:21	attached 125:14	<b>a.m</b> 1:12 3:2	218:22 221:16,18
approaching 66:3	ASARECA 72:7	attack 228:22		221:19,20,22
164:17 169:20	<b>Asia</b> 61:22 69:3	attained 161:20	B	222:7,7,10,11,17
appropriate 44:13	71:21 98:11	Attaining 174:11	<b>b</b> 1:10,16 40:16	222:22,22 223:7,8
82:2 178:3	113:15 212:3	attainment 186:3	Bachelor's 255:22	225:3 226:2
appropriately 53:2	215:10 254:10	attempt 28:8 224:2	back 5:8 7:5 20:8	229:11 230:6
129:22	<b>Asian</b> 113:4 258:12	260:7	26:12 32:8 50:18	231:1 248:20
approval 29:22	aside 223:7 245:2	attend 144:20	54:20 56:5 59:12	251:14
<b>approved</b> 174:8,9	asked 15:21 16:9	204:15	80:1 100:19 107:4	<b>bank's</b> 218:16
<b>April</b> 18:14 28:18	19:6 20:3 111:20	attendance 155:16	115:9,18 125:3	231:6
28:19 38:1 39:10	179:5 224:12	attended 140:10	130:2,12 131:2	<b>bar</b> 66:21
53:9 54:17	234:8	attention 11:7,20	134:11,14,18,20	<b>barely</b> 230:10
aquaculture	asking 15:11 75:12	19:19 21:15 44:16	134:22 136:1	<b>base</b> 61:16 71:9,20
107:22,22 108:2	141:15	51:5 81:5,16	137:2 140:9	77:9 228:2
108:10,11,15	aspect 108:8 143:2	102:4 118:21,22	142:11 143:6,10	based 18:5 98:5
<b>Arab</b> 81:8	159:14 207:4	150:17 260:14	143:13,14 144:4	99:1 105:2 133:16
area 34:2,9 54:14	210:1 258:1	attract 77:2,3	144:11,22 145:19	134:2,6 204:5
,		. ,-		,

	-	-		
208:3 213:6	185:20 188:7	15:11,21 16:3,5	biotechnology 64:7	121:4,14,17
215:15 217:3	192:15 257:13	16:13,15,18,20	176:10	125:13 128:4
225:13 239:1	263:21	17:3,4,6,14 18:19	bio-fortified 67:17	253:13
246:17 262:15	believes 232:20	19:11 20:11 22:13	<b>bit</b> 12:19 38:17	<b>born</b> 165:8 187:6
basic 23:2	benchmarks 26:18	29:10,10,20,22	49:4 52:18 57:3	Boseman 53:20
basically 31:11	beneficial 238:3	32:21 34:12 35:4	59:21 60:5 61:10	boss 234:3
32:3 64:5 70:2	beneficiaries	38:2,5,12 39:5,7	86:8 101:14 118:6	botanicals 127:18
77:21 94:22	208:13	39:14,20 42:16	119:8 122:15,17	127:21 128:7,18
119:15 184:9	<b>benefit</b> 100:6 155:6	43:6,21 45:10	130:15 139:7	253:14
201:1,14,15,17	170:15	50:1 52:16,20	160:9 193:21	<b>bottom</b> 59:21 61:21
202:4,17 203:8	benefits 67:14	55:4 59:5 76:11	231:13 234:9	78:2
205:17 206:8	165:22 178:7	77:17 85:3 118:11	244:9 267:19	<b>bought</b> 69:12
basis 87:19 109:13	262:8	118:16 135:19	black 36:3	<b>Brady</b> 1:14 3:5
123:3 160:20	Berkeley 218:14	174:19 198:11	blocks 203:22	92:3 110:13
191:7 207:3	<b>Bertini</b> 1:15 4:14	236:6 248:21	222:3	162:22 247:9
215:13	4:15 26:14 85:20	251:5 254:19	<b>board</b> 3:4,6,9,14	<b>branch</b> 98:15
<b>battle</b> 98:18	86:13 94:13	265:20	4:21 5:14 6:5,6	<b>Brazil</b> 183:10,11
bean 83:12 123:1,2	151:16,17	BIFAD's 38:6	8:5 11:6 12:21,22	189:3
123:4	<b>Bertram</b> 2:4 6:11	<b>big</b> 60:1 61:2,9	13:6 14:10,21	Brazilians 183:13
beans 123:6,14	56:22 57:22 82:18	62:16 63:12 83:3	15:2,6,18 16:3	break 60:19 110:12
Bean/Cowpea	88:2 92:3 94:15	83:5,17 98:12	18:11 22:13,17	115:20 116:2
200:13 211:11	110:13 114:18,20	109:20 126:22	29:1 39:14 40:11	breakdown 82:12
bear 196:2 238:22	115:1	132:20 134:12	42:22 43:16 44:4	breakthroughs
bears 9:18	best 17:22 24:18	144:11 156:17	44:7,13 49:11	64:9
beautiful 74:18	30:14 32:15 100:6	196:8 219:4	50:8,18,21,21	breeder 138:8
263:19	108:1,1 111:22	222:15,15,17	53:4 55:11 85:18	162:11 172:4,7,8
Becker 5:7 20:12	136:8 137:4,4	223:12 230:17	88:5 91:19,20	breeders 67:1
24:4,5	149:22 160:19	231:9 232:16	92:1 99:16 104:1	200:21
becoming 161:21	162:20 207:8	233:10,13 237:15	114:11 118:11	<b>breeding</b> 57:5 81:2
161:21 183:2	230:7 249:9	<b>bigger</b> 221:20	141:13 151:12	96:17 172:5
214:13	252:14 260:18	231:18	166:22,22 167:2	bridge 162:6
beg 160:8	bet 83:5 84:4	biggest 136:6	169:3 176:4	bridging 188:17
<b>began</b> 39:16	<b>Beth</b> 109:2	<b>bill</b> 4:1 23:9 26:6	241:19 265:20	<b>brief</b> 18:22 138:21
beginning 72:14	better 9:2 39:21	26:15 35:7 69:8	267:17	174:17 240:9
73:21 94:19	52:13 141:21	97:6 105:21	<b>boards</b> 4:10 104:17	266:11
193:22 265:22	147:8 156:6,7	153:21 167:17	<b>Bob</b> 4:21,22 43:2	briefed 45:19
<b>behalf</b> 14:21 15:1	165:5 170:18	<b>billion</b> 10:19	85:9 110:8	briefings 102:20
behooves 251:12	177:18 195:1	229:14,16	<b>bodies</b> 78:8 262:20	<b>briefly</b> 6:1 119:9
belief 90:7	224:8 245:9 247:3	Bill's 99:14	<b>body</b> 259:20	200:22 201:6
believe 3:18 5:22	<b>beyond</b> 48:5 111:3	<b>bind</b> 262:5	262:18	210:9,18
7:12 30:2 36:13	123:19	<b>Binns</b> 102:18,18	<b>bold</b> 165:22	brilliant 195:20
39:1 40:16 42:18	<b>BFAD</b> 1:1	251:21,21	<b>boldly</b> 156:7	<b>bring</b> 11:17 28:4
49:22 75:21 89:20	<b>bible</b> 264:16,19	biodiversity 176:10	book 59:1 186:22	35:15 42:13,20
130:11 146:12,21	<b>BIFAD</b> 1:13,14 3:4	biological 65:5	<b>books</b> 186:19	50:18 56:14 69:20
170:14 174:12,20	3:18,21 4:3,16 5:3	biology 123:2	<b>border</b> 192:13	71:15 73:14,20
177:16 178:22	5:12,14 8:5 9:13	biomass 68:9 77:10	<b>borders</b> 194:15	84:7,22 94:21
182:18 184:17,21	12:13 14:15 15:4	biometry 176:10	Borlaug 27:19	97:15 104:2 118:4
<u> </u>		•		
	•	1	1	1

133:3 137:7 139:2	202.22 204.5	227.6 16 229.1 2	170.2 10 15 19	20 mm; 15 1, 16
	203:22 204:5	227:6,16 228:1,2	179:2,10,15,18	carry 154:16
141:1 148:17	206:12 211:20	228:4 233:7	180:10,13,16,21	carrying 18:20
151:3 154:15	213:2,4,13,15	262:17,21 264:3	181:13,19 182:3	252:13
187:15 192:5	215:7,15 217:22	Calestous 7:4	183:14,15,18,19	case 23:22 27:5
230:12 237:9	227:11 235:10	California 121:11	184:8,14 185:6,18	62:2 66:12 69:7
<b>bringing</b> 31:18	238:4,9 241:8,9	218:14	191:2 197:6 198:8	72:15,19 76:7
72:1 75:5,9 90:8	246:4,15 247:14	call 11:7 18:5 33:17	201:3,13 202:10	138:8,13 142:15
91:10 104:12	250:10 252:18	61:11,21 62:20	204:5,7,9 206:7	211:12 239:17
153:8 159:6	253:21 256:21	133:3 137:6 157:8	206:12 211:20	245:8 247:18
174:19 182:15,21	257:4 259:15	172:7 180:13	213:1,4,9,12,15	cases 49:2 63:19
189:18 217:13	266:1	183:5 188:17	214:20 215:7,15	102:22 134:14
224:11	buildings 248:13	228:15 267:16	217:22 220:19	143:8,12
<b>brings</b> 182:13	<b>built</b> 190:7 192:13	<b>called</b> 117:7 132:3	227:11 234:11	Casey 23:9 167:18
<b>broad</b> 13:7 17:20	203:13 212:5	132:7 165:11	235:1,6,9,13,18	167:19
61:5 79:10 152:11	248:9	217:21 234:1	236:2,11 238:5,9	cassava 63:22
broader 15:11	<b>bulk</b> 80:6	237:4	239:3 241:8,9	catalysts 256:15
67:16 252:13	<b>Bunda</b> 156:22	calling 51:4	243:13 246:4,15	categories 61:3,5
253:20	burdens 171:3	callosobruchus	247:14 250:10,21	category 67:19
broadly 13:16	<b>bureau</b> 6:14 7:2,15	126:5	252:17 253:20	Catherine 1:15
195:18	57:2 73:11 92:14	camera 131:12	256:21 259:15	4:14 26:13 56:3
broad-based 13:17	92:15 111:19,21	<b>campus</b> 47:16	263:3,7 264:6,14	88:15 92:4 151:17
<b>brought</b> 5:19 9:4	116:6	campuses 42:8	264:19	Catherine's 88:8
11:4 44:16 46:8	bureaucracy	244:20	capital 9:18 170:19	152:21
130:10	197:16	campus-based	171:13 178:8	cattle 64:3
<b>budget</b> 13:13 14:9	Burkina 74:7	237:14,22	187:18,21 188:1,9	cause 169:4 248:7
14:15,18 15:14,18	<b>burrow</b> 128:14	cane 98:14	188:14,18 189:7,9	caused 127:4
15:22 16:10,10	<b>business</b> 6:3 14:3	capabilities 101:5	189:22 190:2,7	center 53:21 64:1
28:22 50:10 82:21	18:8 38:16 42:20	capacities 174:12	201:19 206:13	109:5 117:15
177:1	43:5 53:8 87:12	188:13	221:10,11 227:5	131:3,4,16 132:5
budgets 52:2	140:2 182:16	<b>capacity</b> 1:2 2:8 7:7	<b>capitals</b> 187:14,20	134:2,6 138:9
<b>build</b> 16:5,17 21:20	185:1 195:16	12:2 13:12 17:9	190:4	233:17 236:15
71:5 73:19 92:5	243:12	18:6,10 19:3,13	capture 41:7	centers 21:1 66:1
97:3 114:4,8	businesses 51:18	19:21 21:1 22:11	160:11 243:9	72:4 79:14 95:17
118:1 157:14	114:12 171:13	23:5 25:11 26:10	captured 40:17	104:3 133:9,9
183:15 185:21	196:13 258:9	27:16 28:6 73:15	41:4,6	184:18
204:6 214:20	busy 81:17	73:19 80:19 103:3	care 179:1 198:2	<b>Central</b> 172:15
235:1	<b>Butler</b> 5:3 41:11,14	103:21 105:3	<b>career</b> 116:16	194:11
<b>building</b> 1:2 23:5	buy 131:12,14	114:21 117:8	130:19 152:19	century 189:15,16
25:12 26:10 73:15		118:2,14 119:4	154:4 172:10	189:17,20 194:12
80:19 86:19 98:4	C	132:14 135:22	234:1	238:8
103:3,21 113:6,10	C 203:14	146:22 158:21	careers 46:20	CEO 4:8
114:12,21 119:4	<b>CAADP</b> 24:9 72:11	165:2 167:9 168:4	careful 112:7	cereal 69:2 80:11
135:22 142:16	157:21 174:3,4	168:14,18 173:17	245:11	89:2,5
158:21 163:6	179:3,7 181:5	173:22 174:11,14	carefully 42:17	cereals 62:7,15
167:10 191:2	185:10 188:4	175:1,2,4,6,7,7,11	carried 14:5	64:16 78:14 83:4
197:6 198:9	191:6 223:21	176:17,20 177:7	105:17 124:3	certain 23:20
201:14 202:10	224:6,6 226:6	177:14 178:4	156:1 175:10	150:21 164:14
201.17 202.10	,- 2.2	1//.17 1/0.7	150.1 1/5.10	150,21 107,17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

		Ī	İ	I
certainly 11:8	213:10,15 214:5	cheerleader 56:6	<b>coalesce</b> 79:17 96:7	collocate 92:17
29:10,19 32:14	214:10,12 215:1	<b>Chicago</b> 167:20	coalition 232:15	combination
46:15 51:21 66:15	222:17 223:10,12	218:12	coalitions 157:14	109:17
72:17 110:19	223:16 224:12,16	<b>Chief</b> 7:12,14 116:6	Coast 64:2	combined 16:9
115:19 254:11	225:5 227:5,6	<b>child</b> 66:20 92:8	coherence 184:11	<b>come</b> 7:5 20:6 23:8
<b>CESA</b> 98:11	228:9,10 246:3	children 64:19	coherent 250:6	35:20 36:9,18
<b>CET</b> 104:3	249:11	102:13	coincidence 160:6	54:20 56:5 57:5
<b>cetera</b> 149:14	challenged 19:2	<b>China</b> 195:7	collaborate 263:12	68:2,12,13 70:10
180:17 185:17	challenges 71:12	238:20 239:3	collaborating	81:9 84:13 98:15
210:15 254:16	76:10 117:13	240:3,8,9,13,16	194:17 205:4	101:2 107:21
<b>CG</b> 66:1 87:1,7,13	201:10 212:16	240:20,21	206:17 234:20	111:20 115:9
121:10 131:3,4	215:7 221:14,15	Chinese 152:7	collaboration	125:3 131:7
134:2,6	221:16 224:4	choices 93:8	47:22 48:22 130:6	134:13 141:5
CGIAR 47:20	227:11 245:15,18	<b>choose</b> 50:8 80:4	144:19 148:6	148:21 149:10,15
57:12 63:2,7 71:4	257:15	<b>chosen</b> 198:18	203:13 261:7,14	157:18 179:12,14
72:4 75:10 77:1	challenging 34:2	<b>CIAT</b> 65:22 83:13	collaborations	181:1 194:22
78:7 79:7,9 80:22	86:6	95:15 131:17	47:19 194:13	199:2 211:9
82:2 84:7,18	<b>chance</b> 35:10 44:10	132:19	203:16	219:14 221:21
90:20 91:5 95:8	233:5,9,13	circulated 36:10	collaborative 12:3	229:10 230:11
95:13,13 97:13	Chancellor 3:7	clarification 21:7,9	121:19 122:6	231:18 243:20
98:7 105:9 200:5	4:21	89:16 243:3	161:13 167:4	249:2,7 254:10
251:6,13	<b>change</b> 30:21 60:14	clarifications 21:6	202:16 203:21	256:3 262:2
<b>CG-based</b> 133:8	62:16 94:4 108:20	clarify 201:2	239:21	263:18,18,19
<b>chain</b> 100:2 106:16	116:12 141:17	clarifying 201:12	collaboratively	264:2 265:7
111:3,5,15 112:13	142:1,3,11 146:7	clarity 107:4	198:15	comes 10:22 51:11
123:14 137:9	150:5 153:6	class 205:11 209:5	collaborator	99:19 149:2
140:2	158:14 186:21	<b>classes</b> 239:10	207:21	156:16 177:2
<b>chains</b> 10:8 106:8	187:1 188:2,5	classified 40:21	collaborators	185:6 206:12
106:10 110:18,22	225:21 244:9	classroom 239:17	185:2 208:3	207:14 210:4
111:3 157:14	256:15 258:11	cleaning 250:20	colleague 172:1	259:14
<b>chair</b> 1:14 3:5	changed 119:18	<b>clear</b> 34:3 96:11	192:21 193:6	comfortable 220:5
18:22 19:5,6	237:3	103:1 190:8	colleagues 6:6 59:5	<b>coming</b> 3:21 4:5
21:13 43:2,21	<b>changes</b> 9:3 64:10	221:10 242:14	59:13 65:19 67:4	20:9 24:9 36:20
50:5 164:22	79:22 81:22 84:6	<b>clearly</b> 9:7 24:8	68:19 75:22 78:6	47:18 50:15 54:11
186:13	142:5 148:1	107:21 136:20	78:7,8 82:5 84:17	58:8,19 78:15
chaired 15:16	changing 79:6	192:18 257:15	92:13,15 94:1	79:3 102:7 122:9
18:15 57:18	169:17 188:8	climate 60:14	95:5 117:3 148:13	122:11 130:5
Chairman 18:22	246:6 260:16	62:16 83:4 108:20	149:22 157:6	133:20 134:11
22:15 24:4 32:7	channel 253:11	<b>Clinton</b> 53:21 56:4	159:3 192:20	136:1 140:9
35:14 37:8 53:13	Chapotin 112:21	close 58:7 77:15	193:4,5 194:19	143:17 147:6
56:2 173:10	113:2	116:15,17 121:13	200:12 203:18	157:15 159:5
184:20 186:5	character 260:16	217:20 222:1	223:6 228:12	179:1 184:18
200:9 233:11	characteristics	261:7 267:17	238:11	221:16 223:9
245:15 265:12	238:8,15	closely 67:3 77:6	collection 247:4	233:10 248:11
Chair's 160:8	charge 11:11	222:2 223:5	College 157:1	249:13
challenge 13:1	226:17 237:12	228:12 232:14	colleges 36:3,7	commend 40:3
40:19 52:8,11	checked 54:17	clothing 171:1	212:11	163:2,13 244:7

<b>comment</b> 2:5 6:16	communities 23:16	compliment 160:16	confessed 162:3	215:5	
22:17 40:14 41:20	36:16 185:12	component 37:15	confirmations	constraint 216:2	
50:6,19 53:14	186:20,21 187:1	91:8 99:16,17	158:17	221:12 246:2	
56:19 88:11	256:3,14 257:12	124:11	confirmed 211:12	constraints 59:1	
100:17 109:1	262:20	components 11:20	congratulations	210:7 212:16,19	
110:1 115:9,15	community 22:7,8	132:1 201:16	7:20	246:16	
159:22 243:2	38:21 39:21 40:8	202:8,12	Congress 12:14	construction 80:10	
251:20 266:11,17	42:2,16 59:10	composed 202:22	24:15 34:11 37:18	consultation 38:20	
comments 8:10	66:15 84:17,22	comprehensive	38:1	46:4 58:15 68:22	
27:2 37:13 40:11	86:18 90:4,21	40:20 51:8 52:14	Congressman	consulting 251:3	
41:9 43:16 51:3	93:6 117:3 183:20	72:11 175:10	166:19	consumed 126:15	
53:5 55:10 85:18	190:15 200:14	179:2,12,15 181:5	conjunction 158:15	consumption 129:2	
87:22 88:5 91:18	205:16 227:21	184:14 206:10	connect 99:21	<b>contact</b> 127:10	
91:20,22 92:1	228:7 231:10	213:8 223:19	193:5 239:6	193:2	
102:7 105:8	235:12 252:10	264:3	connected 91:12	contained 197:1,2	
106:19 107:15	266:6,7	comprehensively	102:1	content 102:16	
109:6 110:7	<b>compact</b> 181:9,20	34:7	connection 56:9	239:18	
169:16 242:14,18	company 140:22	Comprehensives	66:6 93:1 152:5,6	CONTENTS 2:1	
242:19,21 249:19	141:2 251:3	218:17	162:7	<b>context</b> 6:3 13:6	
260:21 261:2	comparative 206:5	conceived 239:21	connections 45:4	68:13 92:11 98:7	
commission 16:1	compared 102:1	<b>concept</b> 47:8 167:3	69:17 115:13	103:4 111:4 113:5	
57:18 262:19	compelled 110:8	167:15	consensus 17:8	163:4 175:1 180:6	
commissioned 20:3	compelling 166:7	conception 92:10	19:4 28:2 168:12	255:6,17	
commitment	<b>compete</b> 215:10	concern 115:8	conservation 67:21	continent 151:8	
160:21 193:17	competencies	151:18 219:10	70:3 102:21 103:4	161:2 162:21	
197:22 233:8	206:1	220:10,13,14,19	112:20 113:4,8	179:19 223:14	
259:2	competing 145:6	concerned 89:12	114:3,8,9	224:8 228:1	
commitments	competitive 66:14	101:18 108:17	conserving 113:9	247:15 261:16	
248:7	78:13 214:14	219:8	113:11,22	262:18 263:10	
committed 17:18	215:4,14 236:22	concerns 23:4	<b>consider</b> 44:5 55:6	continental 159:8	
248:6	237:5	160:17 198:6	200:14	224:17 225:6	
committee 15:14	compilation 266:4	conclude 265:17	consideration 43:6	continually 85:5	
15:17 40:16 65:22	complementarity	concluded 18:4	90:2 178:10	continue 34:16	
131:6 133:14	63:10	<b>concur</b> 246:21	considerations	35:10 37:5,16	
134:1	complementary	concurrently	215:16	107:10 129:8	
commodities 66:4	180:4	205:21	considered 40:17	130:13 143:21	
<b>common</b> 35:18	complemented	conditions 169:20	136:21	144:16 164:3	
71:13 123:1,2,4,6	144:1	220:1,9	considering 32:10	165:13,17 167:11	
165:7 263:3 264:4	complete 133:21	conduct 121:8	258:11	186:8 207:2	
264:6,15	completed 12:14	128:6	consistent 80:17	208:21 215:14	
commonalities	29:21 37:2,19	conducted 91:4	consists 126:12	continued 19:19	
35:18	56:13 153:4	129:1,21	consortium 31:11	99:15 144:4 163:8	
communicate	239:22	conducting 125:18	32:2 73:18 105:11	continues 144:17	
133:14 136:8	completely 224:5	conferences 17:2	constantly 170:13	continuing 4:2,12	
188:20	completes 91:20	101:7 155:17	220:22	16:14 17:19	
communications	completing 134:15	161:17	constitutes 179:7	116:19,21 118:12	
176:11	complexity 112:22	conferring 59:19	constrained 173:22	152:19 154:4	
	FJ	- B			

161 10 167 10	116 10	40.0.55.0.60.0	02.12	62.1.6.65.15
161:12 167:12	116:10	49:8 55:2 62:3	cowpea 83:12	63:1,6 65:15
182:9 211:17	copies 147:14	76:19 87:19 97:7	126:4	75:21 77:3 78:6
continuity 30:22	copy 107:19	98:3 103:21 117:4	cowpeas 74:21	83:12,12,12 104:3
155:8	CORAF 72:8	121:7,9 126:15	create 184:6	109:3 122:11,20
contract 140:21	core 235:19	134:21 136:18	215:20 228:4	123:7,13,17 161:7
contractor 251:4	Cornelia 2:17	141:19 143:11	239:5 250:5	200:13,14,16
contracts 202:19	186:10 209:17	149:15 150:5	created 166:13,21	201:4,7,8,10
contractual 205:6	246:22	171:14 203:1,17	166:22 172:15	202:14 203:15
contrary 211:12	<b>Cornell</b> 194:8	204:11,14 205:14	179:4 210:10	204:1,18 205:2,17
<b>contribute</b> 178:12	correct 52:18 53:1	205:19 207:16,21	225:17 226:17	205:19 206:6,9
205:2 213:8 263:9	cost 97:19 109:19	208:2 212:8 213:9	228:18	207:1,6 208:15
contributed 27:15	126:20 197:5	213:16,21,21	creates 178:21	209:3,12 210:2,12
contributing	210:11,13 215:13	216:15 217:11,16	183:7 209:14	210:16 211:5,11
157:21 158:2	242:22,22 244:18	224:7 231:16	215:1 246:12	211:20,22 212:4
161:4 162:17	costly 215:12	255:17	creating 185:4	212:20 213:18
211:16 256:22	Cote 172:18	country's 146:20	246:9 257:20	214:1,13,16
contribution	council 43:9 50:2	157:22	creation 165:9	216:10 217:15,17
160:19 161:22	167:21 200:5	country-driven	193:11 239:16	243:7,11 244:13
169:7	247:1	71:1 88:10	creative 248:17	245:17 246:1,7,13
contributions 35:6	count 162:14 213:4	country-led 10:3	249:7,12	246:18 247:1
42:10 82:22 168:2	counterparts 221:1	97:21 98:6	crisis 29:14	254:4 259:17,18
171:6 186:18	countervail 260:14	<b>couple</b> 20:1 58:13	criteria 18:8 216:8	266:22
control 30:11 93:17	countries 10:11	59:16 77:21 78:11	critical 8:17 34:20	<b>CRSPs</b> 12:4,19
102:10	16:21 23:12 30:14	81:15 89:16 94:4	65:6 67:6 77:10	43:19,22 45:6,13
controlling 93:18	48:10 61:22 62:9	164:22 168:8	77:11 80:12 93:2	46:2,7 47:4,11,14
convenient 55:7	63:19 69:4,21	192:7 249:19	99:18 110:6 115:8	51:1,9,21 52:14
convening 90:7	70:15 73:3,7 74:4	254:22	174:11 239:16	72:2 79:22 81:22
168:22	74:7 80:2 87:17	course 36:8 46:11	critically 83:6	82:6 98:7 100:11
conversation 19:9	88:11 92:20 97:5	50:7 54:5 66:14	criticize 255:8	112:2 115:2 192:5
104:6,20 151:11	101:11 102:2,2	67:6 68:12 69:5	<b>crop</b> 99:9,10 111:6	193:15 202:11
258:4 259:4	108:2 117:18	70:12 76:7 80:6	122:8 124:8	204:6,7 205:8
conversations	121:21 146:14	85:3 89:6 105:15	crops 63:14,20	207:9,12 210:21
255:10 256:18	148:7 149:11	116:20 117:2	64:20,22 65:11	217:10 242:13,17
conveys 35:21	152:10 159:13	118:11 122:4	67:17,17 70:7	245:2 250:1,2,17
convince 26:21	168:19 169:5	123:17 124:5	75:6 98:17 109:11	251:5,9,13 260:16
cooperation 21:17	171:4 174:6	134:16 140:11	109:13 110:5,5	crucial 186:2
21:18 78:18	178:15,16,18	175:17 179:17	125:22	culminated 59:6
170:18 171:12	181:8,9 203:2	182:9 184:11	cross 192:12	cultural 149:13
cooperative 171:7	208:8 209:7 210:8	185:11 191:20	crosscutting 46:1	187:21 188:1
coordinate 179:6	211:15,16 213:3	195:15,20 216:16	59:2 60:13 263:4	culture 240:17
233:7	217:2 224:22	239:18 257:18	<b>CRP</b> 105:16	curious 102:3
coordinated 130:1	225:5,12,20 226:6	262:3 263:17	CRPs 82:1 95:13	current 122:4
184:9 233:6,9	235:1,21 246:6	courses 192:8	CRSP 12:17 27:17	145:15,21 146:1
coordinating	248:8 254:20,22	195:9,12	42:7 43:6,8,13	147:11,12,13
159:18 193:19	256:9 257:22	<b>cover</b> 99:13	44:19 45:1 46:6	150:1 229:12
coordination 31:1	country 21:15,16	<b>covered</b> 13:5 14:3,4	47:13,14 49:3	232:17 250:13
Coordinator 7:11	32:21 34:15 43:13	88:15	50:2 51:10 55:4	260:1

	l	l	l	l
currently 119:13	deaths 127:5	definition 206:11	<b>Deputy</b> 7:10	246:5 255:16
122:21 125:18	<b>Deaton</b> 1:14 3:3,5	<b>degree</b> 126:1 135:6	116:10	257:22
139:21 156:18	4:19 7:19 22:16	150:7,8,11 161:18	<b>Des</b> 1:9,10	development 2:8
159:15 174:4	25:4 26:13 27:1	204:13 207:5,11	describe 85:14	7:8,11 12:2 17:10
180:3 186:10	28:12,20 33:11,19	207:18 208:1,6	125:6	18:6,10 19:4,13
202:21 211:4	37:12 39:4 41:1,9	209:3,14 210:11	<b>described</b> 12:15,18	19:21 20:19 22:12
218:15 252:7	41:13,19 49:20	210:17 245:18	<b>design</b> 92:16 94:19	27:16 28:7 48:7
<b>cuts</b> 156:21	50:20 52:22 55:9	<b>degrees</b> 57:7 90:22	145:8 146:6,8,10	72:12 105:4 117:8
<b>cutting</b> 49:6 209:11	56:11 59:7 85:9	151:3 163:11	148:2 158:9 203:6	118:14 120:15,18
	86:12 87:21 88:4	192:9 204:16	217:8 248:18	121:9 125:9 127:6
<u>D</u>	89:13 91:18	211:2,4 216:13	249:12	129:15 146:22
daily 127:22	100:16 102:6	243:7 259:17	designed 196:18	147:1,22 155:14
160:20	103:13 104:15	<b>Del</b> 37:12	designer 216:21	165:2 166:14
<b>Dakota</b> 218:13	106:3,13,18	<b>DeLAUDER</b> 1:16	designing 216:19	167:2,6,22 168:4
<b>Dan</b> 64:1	107:14 108:22	3:22 4:1 15:17	237:3	168:14,18,21
dangers 165:20	110:1 114:16,19	22:19 23:14 24:12	desk 156:2	169:1,2,13 170:8
data 129:14 140:19	114:22 115:14	35:7,13 40:14	<b>detail</b> 55:8 211:6	170:20 172:12,12
140:19 180:18,20	163:1 241:21	49:21 88:7 105:21	<b>details</b> 175:18	173:5,18 174:14
200:18 243:14	243:22 249:18	244:6	242:9	174:16,22 175:3
245:3 247:4 250:1	251:19 253:22	Delaware 4:2	deterioration	175:12 176:16
250:17,20 255:18	260:20 265:13,18	delay 158:5	219:10,13 220:13	178:12 179:16
255:19 259:20	266:15,18 267:7	deliberate 23:10	determine 25:17	180:14 181:6
date 42:21	267:12,16	28:7	26:2 32:15 40:6	183:7 184:13,15
daughters 256:5	<b>Debrah</b> 251:2	deliberately 30:18	55:15 129:1	186:2,15 200:1
258:20	decade 222:9	107:8	191:15	201:4,17,22 202:7
<b>David</b> 2:19 218:8	decades 30:8	deliberations 90:2	<b>develop</b> 9:15 15:18	202:19 203:2,9
248:3 253:5 259:6	161:10 172:4	delighted 4:3	25:2 30:14 57:10	206:7,15,22
261:14 264:15	176:14 210:20	delineated 15:9	66:13 96:8 109:18	213:12 218:18
<b>Davis</b> 57:7 109:5	235:22	delivering 103:3	120:1 123:21	219:1 220:21
121:11	December 227:18	162:16	140:17 141:21	222:8 223:19
day 8:7 49:15 60:21	<b>decide</b> 150:14	<b>delivery</b> 91:7 99:16	167:3 168:12	225:22,22 227:15
66:20 91:12 168:5	188:19	99:17 113:17	180:21 203:19	228:13 229:6,8
201:6 260:5	decided 250:5	<b>demands</b> 140:19	213:8 249:9	231:10 234:11
days 41:15 92:10	decision 173:2	demographic	developed 20:14	235:6,13,19 236:2
117:15	decisions 80:5	255:12 256:2	59:19 74:21 79:16	236:12 239:3
day-to-day 221:2	98:21	demonstrating	207:20	243:13 254:5,9
deadline 28:16	decline 229:9	105:2	developing 10:10	256:8 257:1 263:9
<b>deal</b> 86:16 134:12	dedicate 157:20	demonstrations	23:11 31:19 37:21	264:5
241:22 242:2	deep 61:21 62:9	103:9	39:6 58:5 64:11	deviancy 190:19
248:15 259:12	72:15 162:11	department 120:11	86:21 105:10	devoted 6:2
dealers 113:20	<b>defer</b> 160:14 161:7	122:1 136:14,15	121:7,21 165:7	<b>DGP</b> 83:12
dealing 25:11	deficiencies 176:4	156:19 168:8	166:9 168:19	dialogue 50:3
87:18 142:20	deficiency 176:9	departure 239:9	169:5 174:5 191:4	112:18
242:8	deficit 108:4	deplorable 261:15	201:17 205:20,21	diatomaceous
<b>dealt</b> 29:13	<b>Define</b> 201:4	deployed 261:22	207:16 211:15	127:18 128:1,8,19
deans 212:11	defining 136:21	depriving 71:14	213:3 216:13	<b>died</b> 193:3
dear 241:12	204:1 252:11	depth 12:1 15:12	237:17 238:12	<b>diet</b> 67:9
	•	•	•	•

1. 4 67 12	120.020	121 6 122 14	222.0	244 21 245 14
diets 67:13	<b>discovered</b> 30:9,20	131:6 133:14	233:8	244:21 245:14
difference 36:1	190:21 255:2,12	134:1	donor-funded	247:9 249:18,21
95:14 237:15	255:21	doctorate 145:5	205:7	249:21 251:19
259:3	discuss 174:20	document 17:8	door 219:19,21	252:1 253:22
different 11:19	<b>discussed</b> 17:7 50:7	19:5 22:14 23:3	267:20	254:17 259:5,6
31:9 86:8 90:22	79:4	28:2 36:9 167:20	doubling 10:20	260:20,22 265:13
105:5 114:8 118:3	discussing 70:17	168:12 264:12	down-to-earth	265:16,18,19
120:13 138:17	85:6 166:17	documentation	237:18	266:15,18,19
139:17 142:13,20	discussion 6:8	28:19 247:4	<b>dozen</b> 212:4	267:7,12,16
148:18 149:13	23:13 43:10 47:3	documents 19:17	<b>dozens</b> 212:3	<b>draft</b> 20:16,17
188:15,18 195:8	47:10 53:3,10	20:6,13 21:5 23:7	<b>Dr</b> 3:3,13,16,22,22	28:18,18 38:1
196:15 213:22	100:13 119:7	24:7 25:8,16,20	4:19 7:19 18:21	drafted 25:15
226:22 238:8	173:16 218:2	107:7 168:1 174:4	19:8,8 20:12	drafting 32:3
<b>difficult</b> 190:5,19	242:15 252:16	227:7	22:16,19 23:1,14	dramatic 229:9
197:14 214:22	264:22	<b>doing</b> 10:4 11:15	24:3,12 25:4,5,6	draw 11:12 22:9
215:8 216:22	discussions 12:19	14:6 18:2 27:5	26:13 27:1,11	34:16 91:5 100:6
217:2	22:4 43:8 45:11	34:15 45:2 47:11	28:12,16,20 29:16	drawers 156:2
difficulty 220:22	75:10 89:22	48:12,12 51:16	29:19 33:11,19	<b>drawing</b> 9:21 11:11
diffused 112:12	118:13 168:10	52:3,3,4 76:5	34:1 35:13 37:12	41:5 259:1
diffusion 139:12	256:20	86:21 90:10 94:12	39:4 40:14 41:1,9	<b>drive</b> 67:9,14 68:5
diluted 99:3	disease 64:3 80:11	95:7 96:2 98:5	41:13,19 49:20,21	86:5 99:4
<b>dilution</b> 89:12 99:2	89:7	102:21 111:14,17	50:20 52:22 55:9	<b>driven</b> 97:5,7 98:20
dimensions 28:13	<b>diseases</b> 63:13 65:8	122:16 123:5	56:11 59:7 74:20	260:17
51:2 175:6	83:15,22 169:22	124:9 139:16	77:15 78:14 85:9	driving 8:13
diminished 14:12	dissemination	140:9 143:21	86:12 87:21 88:4	dropped 230:8
<b>dinner</b> 49:17	62:11 73:15 179:9	146:16 149:10	88:7,18 89:13,14	drought 62:15,21
dire 127:14	dissertation 131:4	158:7,19 194:6	91:18 100:16	65:10,12 71:15
direct 16:20 41:3	133:11,21 195:13	195:17 196:12	101:1 102:6	89:6 96:18
209:8	210:3	210:5 213:19	103:13 104:15	drought-tolerant
directed 110:10	<b>Distance</b> 216:17	225:10 228:1	106:3,13,18 107:3	62:7 68:15 78:13
direction 37:10	distinguished 8:3	229:22 231:11	107:14 108:22	78:18 87:16 96:15
directly 12:10	164:12 173:13	239:2 244:17	110:1 112:21	97:1
102:1 110:10	186:11 234:2,8	252:7 253:16	113:2 114:16,19	<b>drove</b> 65:21
133:4 140:13	distinguishes 204:2	257:3	114:22 115:4,9,14	<b>Drug</b> 127:9
153:20 243:18	distribution 192:2	dollars 66:12 76:21	125:16 131:18	dry 65:15 79:10
<b>director</b> 5:2 6:12	252:14	178:19 229:14,16	138:9 160:13	254:3
56:22 109:4	district 132:3	229:17	163:1 164:10	<b>dryland</b> 108:19
156:20 160:2	ditto 49:14	domestic 177:5,6,9	172:1,2 173:2,6,7	dual 192:8 216:13
173:1 200:13	dive 61:21 62:9	215:19	173:8,9 186:7,10	253:10
239:1 254:3	72:15	donated 54:5	187:4 188:21	due 136:11 218:1
266:21	diverse 71:10	donor 79:20 149:2	199:15,20,20,22	duplications
directors 200:17	206:17	149:3	200:2,9 218:7,8,8	179:22
211:22	diversification	donors 76:17 84:6	218:10,19 233:16	<b>d'Ivoire</b> 172:18
disagree 193:20	98:11 99:5	150:20 158:5	233:16,22 235:8	<b>D.C</b> 39:18 54:14
disciplinary 176:8	diversify 98:16	176:22 183:16	235:22 236:8,10	55:16 234:4
217:3	doc 143:16	221:5 231:19,20	238:7 241:18,21	
discipline 176:13	doctoral 44:21	232:14,15,15	243:4,22 244:5,6	E
		, - , -	,,	
	ı	ı	ı	·

	I	I	I	I
<b>E</b> 121:17	116:9 143:1 151:9	74:9 79:21 91:5	90:13 103:19	119:13 161:13
eager 46:20 53:14	182:10 183:22	170:22 180:5,9	163:8 184:1	engagement 22:13
earlier 11:22 16:5	184:2,3 185:9	181:14 243:18	237:21	36:15,21
45:19 68:21 81:22	192:13 196:11	244:4,12	emphasize 11:18	engages 78:19
96:1 214:15	197:7 215:11	<b>Egna</b> 107:16,16	148:15 251:15	engaging 12:6
230:14 253:13	216:17 219:5,9	<b>eighth</b> 83:21	258:2	13:14 46:16
early 3:14 17:17	220:8,12 227:3,6	either 40:12 147:14	emphasized 104:1	English 136:9
<b>earning</b> 143:8,9	227:8,8,19,20	158:15	137:22 163:9	215:22 216:5
earth 127:19 128:1	228:7,16 229:18	<b>Ejeta</b> 1:18 2:15	emphasizes 88:9	240:14
128:9,19	230:5 231:3 232:3	3:13,13 8:3 18:14	emphasizing 17:13	enhanced 17:14
earthquake 29:8	236:21 237:4	18:17,21 23:1	47:4 111:16	36:15 103:8
32:17	242:22 246:17	24:3 25:6 27:11	employing 255:3	Enhancement
<b>easier</b> 243:10	247:19,21 252:18	28:16 77:15 89:14	employment	121:5
259:14,14	253:7 256:7,22	107:3 160:13	256:10	enhancing 10:7
easily 65:2	264:7,20	163:5 164:10	empower 99:21	36:21
East 62:1 64:2 81:5	educational 176:17	173:8 186:7	empowering 10:5	enlightened 169:12
Easter 4:21 19:8	199:14 239:12	199:20 218:7	73:16,16	enormity 223:9
43:2	257:14	233:16 235:22	empowers 205:18	enormously 224:1
<b>Eastern</b> 71:9 72:7	educators 257:21	236:10 241:18	emulated 49:1	ensure 11:1 17:21
132:2 158:20	<b>effect</b> 89:12 96:10	244:5 247:9 259:5	<b>enable</b> 10:3 15:19	237:6,19
172:14 194:11	<b>effective</b> 17:10 18:1	265:16,19	28:22 80:13	<b>ensuring</b> 52:16,19
easy 40:22 127:14	40:5 109:19 120:2	<b>Ejeta's</b> 115:9	180:21 181:2	entering 247:21
221:17	130:1,8 146:8	electronically	256:7	enterprise 171:8
<b>echo</b> 104:18	166:7 223:17	147:14	enabled 128:5	enterprises 256:16
ecological 128:22	effectively 29:4	elements 101:17	130:8	enthusiastic 78:15
<b>economic</b> 48:7 93:3	40:7 128:11	203:6 214:6	<b>enabling</b> 132:10,12	<b>entire</b> 15:18 22:13
112:9 165:18	140:13 157:12	216:11	142:16 144:21	39:20 43:9 182:7
169:22 185:12	190:12 199:10	elevate 46:22	enamored 257:7	235:14 236:7
187:16 262:20	220:20 224:19	elevation 71:9	encompasses 175:5	250:18
Economics 218:11	248:21	eliminate 133:2	encourage 195:14	<b>entities</b> 31:16 46:18
economies 210:10	effectiveness	eloquently 163:6	encouragement	86:9
economists 226:15	165:16 202:5	Elsa 1:17 3:16 25:4	232:10	entitled 172:7
ecosystem 187:16	effects 111:2	29:11 33:11	encouraging 25:12	<b>entity</b> 202:20
eco-geographically	efficacy 128:7	emanating 55:22	85:21 195:18	entrance 160:1
91:3	efficiencies 52:7	<b>embark</b> 165:21	endeavors 48:13	entrants 16:18
<b>ed</b> 23:16	<b>efficiency</b> 27:3 70:5	<b>embedded</b> 197:4,5	253:2	entrepreneurial
<b>edge</b> 49:6 209:11	<b>efficient</b> 68:9,11	252:4	endorse 115:9	10:9
252:21	<b>effort</b> 23:10 39:6	emboldened	<b>endowed</b> 186:13	entrepreneurs
Edgerton 34:21	42:1 47:14 48:3,4	232:11	energized 49:16	176:6 212:13
157:2	48:4 64:14 69:22	embrace 44:8	enforced 189:12	entrepreneurship
edition 187:2	71:1 75:12 80:4	embracing 43:1	<b>engage</b> 6:7 13:7	258:2
	1 04 40 00 4 7 04 40	emerged 260:8	32:12 33:8 73:12	entymology 122:1
editor 186:18	84:19 90:15 91:10	cinci geu 200.0		
	84:19 90:15 91:10 104:4 171:11	emergence 60:18	81:10 112:17	125:20
editor 186:18 educating 151:6,7 151:8		C	81:10 112:17 162:19 169:13	environment 60:15
editor 186:18 educating 151:6,7 151:8 education 6:20	104:4 171:11 233:6 242:20 247:3,17 250:14	emergence 60:18 Emeritus 4:1 emitting 127:11	162:19 169:13 197:14	<b>environment</b> 60:15 196:9 238:12
editor 186:18 educating 151:6,7 151:8	104:4 171:11 233:6 242:20 247:3,17 250:14 <b>efforts</b> 4:6 22:8	emergence 60:18 Emeritus 4:1 emitting 127:11 Emmy 104:16	162:19 169:13	environment 60:15 196:9 238:12 environmental
editor 186:18 educating 151:6,7 151:8 education 6:20	104:4 171:11 233:6 242:20 247:3,17 250:14	emergence 60:18 Emeritus 4:1 emitting 127:11	162:19 169:13 197:14	<b>environment</b> 60:15 196:9 238:12
editor 186:18 educating 151:6,7 151:8 education 6:20 7:18 10:7 13:8	104:4 171:11 233:6 242:20 247:3,17 250:14 <b>efforts</b> 4:6 22:8	emergence 60:18 Emeritus 4:1 emitting 127:11 Emmy 104:16	162:19 169:13 197:14 engaged 32:3 43:9	environment 60:15 196:9 238:12 environmental

envisioned 14:18	55:20 75:15 111:6	<b>executive</b> 5:2 160:2	<b>explain</b> 120:13	155:9 175:21
	232:19 235:17	173:1 254:19	122:17 202:13	
equally 102:8				<b>facility</b> 205:9
215:21	246:19	267:18	explanation 163:3	facing 137:17
equate 174:2	everybody's 118:21	exercise 91:14	<b>explore</b> 28:13	160:20 210:8
equipment 131:9	190:1	exercises 22:2	77:16	212:20
131:10,14,14	everyday 237:19	exist 199:7	exports 192:1	fact 70:18 86:3,14
146:18 190:9	evolving 40:13	existed 97:14	exposed 134:5	115:14 123:15
equivalent 255:22	<b>exactly</b> 103:1	existing 69:8 79:2	express 43:11	145:5,11 153:18
Erbaugh 103:15,16	132:21	185:3	84:16	176:22 236:8,9
ERI 95:15 105:13	exaggerated 221:6	exists 180:3	expressed 47:2	262:16,17
132:10	exam 216:3	expand 118:19	extend 53:17	<b>factor</b> 97:19 162:5
especially 86:18,21	<b>examine</b> 118:17	141:22 253:7	239:20 253:17	231:7
108:19,20 117:4	<b>example</b> 23:8,9	expanded 15:10	extension 7:17 18:1	factory 240:10
124:3,11 207:13	27:17 46:5 62:22	27:20 247:20	71:4 93:5 99:22	faculty 42:8 195:6
245:16	63:22 64:16 65:12	expanding 165:18	116:9 123:21	238:19 239:7
essential 13:18	67:16,19 69:1	248:2	138:15 139:14,15	<b>failures</b> 265:5,5
47:9 174:13 203:5	77:14 78:2 81:6	expansion 16:1	143:1 150:4	fair 114:7 212:18
essentially 10:20	87:2 92:12 93:10	<b>expect</b> 26:4 45:13	157:11 176:6	<b>fairly</b> 25:9 30:21
42:18 73:10	107:6 110:17	51:12 62:17	182:20 237:7	65:2 103:5 109:11
110:14 203:18	111:1 130:2	157:20	238:2 239:8 240:6	112:10
establish 145:19	153:11 194:12	expeditious 46:9	extensive 186:17	<b>fall</b> 13:4 54:8,22
232:15	195:15 232:21	expenditure 226:20	200:3	144:18
established 65:2	241:7 245:19	expenses 146:16	extensively 186:15	familiar 25:14
127:15 189:15	examples 9:12	expensive 64:15	<b>extent</b> 19:13 35:8	families 102:12
establishing 31:10	193:18 194:5	244:20	129:14 169:3	<b>family</b> 98:21
31:20	211:19,21 212:1,6	experience 15:6	178:6 221:6	150:15,18
estimate 230:4	238:9	34:22 57:21 113:3	external 176:22	<b>FAO</b> 57:18
et 149:13 180:17	excellence 44:9	113:7 118:2	177:3	<b>far</b> 100:13 107:19
185:16 210:15	184:19	129:18 133:17	extra 141:1,5	120:4,6 128:17
254:15	<b>excellent</b> 3:20 27:2	139:8 146:5 149:8	extraordinary 15:4	<b>FARA</b> 97:10 160:3
<b>Ethiopia</b> 62:2 76:6	35:20 89:15 102:6	149:16 150:3	extremely 27:10	173:1,14 175:9
77:5	102:6 103:13	157:6 200:3	38:11 191:7 223:6	176:19 179:5,13
<b>EU</b> 157:4 189:3	104:15 110:1	210:21 214:7	227:21 230:3	181:16 182:15
<b>Europe</b> 57:11	199:21 251:19	251:1 260:6	232:10 233:4	185:7,14,15
European 152:8	267:11	experiences 110:3	<b>E-A-M</b> 228:17	228:11
156:18 183:6,9	exception 81:14	118:6,8 122:20	e-consultation	<b>farm</b> 123:11 124:3
evaluated 51:14	exceptionally 258:5	133:10 141:17	39:17	237:20 253:1
evaluation 13:13	exchange 146:13	142:3 152:2		258:14,17
51:8 52:14 259:12	149:7 161:15	239:11 254:6	<u>F</u>	<b>farmer</b> 98:21 114:5
260:2	<b>excited</b> 3:19 12:22	experiment 129:12	fabulous 53:15	252:10 258:16
evening 238:17	17:15 56:3 75:14	experimental 66:9	267:14	<b>farmers</b> 60:11,11
eventual 89:18	79:14 199:5	<b>expert</b> 149:14	face 108:20	60:12 114:2 123:8
eventually 21:21	242:10	expertise 201:18	faced 220:22	123:22 126:22
89:18 90:11	<b>exciting</b> 40:5 42:11	202:1 264:21	facilitate 179:6	127:3,12,13
166:22 167:16	43:10 49:11 85:10	<b>experts</b> 2:14 8:2	facilitating 191:18	132:12,22 136:13
172:5	excuse 86:1	164:11 237:7	facilitation 139:22	137:9 138:19
everybody 50:15	excused 120:5	241:14	facilities 147:15	139:16 140:3
	•	•	•	•

			<u> </u>	 
196:12 197:4	259:7	226:10,11,14,19	160:10 221:11	36:14
199:16 238:13	<b>fellows</b> 144:22	227:4,20	<b>five-year</b> 226:19	<b>following</b> 20:1 37:4
239:8,20 240:7	145:8 158:10	financial 131:2	<b>fix</b> 84:13	<b>fond</b> 148:22
252:7 254:15	fellowship 27:19	141:1 159:11	fixation 65:6	<b>food</b> 1:2 6:14 7:2
256:5 258:20	121:4,6,18 122:4	189:22 190:2	<b>flag</b> 78:1	7:15 9:19 10:13
<b>farming</b> 60:10	125:7,9 129:6	205:6 208:11	flagging 264:15	10:16 11:3 17:8
252:6	130:16,17,18	222:8	<b>flash</b> 110:2	18:5 24:7 57:2,19
fascinated 256:17	131:1,8,15 133:6	financially 167:12	<b>flat</b> 244:2	63:13 64:10 66:10
fascinating 57:7	134:5,9 135:12,13	<b>find</b> 41:7 219:17	fledgling 17:16	67:5 69:6 73:11
fashion 46:9	135:20 136:19,20	220:1 252:8 256:9	flexible 106:20	80:8 86:22 92:9
Faso 74:7	137:1,1,18 138:2	<b>finding</b> 28:10 221:1	<b>flood</b> 230:9	92:15 111:19
<b>fast</b> 199:5	139:5,8 140:6,12	findings 20:5	floor 72:1 249:17	115:4 116:7
<b>faster</b> 84:21	142:6,15 146:6,10	102:16 128:9	266:1	117:11 121:8
favorite 190:1	148:19 157:6	<b>finger</b> 247:11	Flora 2:17 186:10	126:14 127:4,9,21
<b>fear</b> 144:10	fellowships 118:3	finished 41:16	187:4 199:20	128:8 132:20,20
feature 204:1	119:12,17,18	finishing 144:13	238:7 244:21	132:21 133:1,2,3
features 204:2	121:20 141:16	154:9 267:1	249:21 252:1	133:4 167:1
207:7 208:15	<b>felt</b> 14:16 15:4	<b>Finlay</b> 166:19	flowing 68:8	169:21 171:1
214:16 218:4	105:17	<b>firmly</b> 75:21	fluctuations 10:13	172:3 183:11
257:8	fertilizers 68:10	<b>first</b> 4:9,15 6:2 14:1	fluent 240:12	186:3 191:9,22,22
federal 48:21	<b>fetch</b> 65:1	25:7 29:20 33:5	<b>flurry</b> 27:18	217:18 233:19
<b>feed</b> 2:3 6:11 7:11	<b>fever</b> 64:3	49:22 92:9 109:5	focal 236:9 254:22	237:1 239:14
9:14 17:5 21:8,11	<b>field</b> 85:13 103:8	110:15 115:1	focus 16:8 21:14	<b>foods</b> 67:12,16 84:9
21:14,15,16,18	105:1 110:5	131:21 132:13	51:17 69:3,21	food-based 94:7
24:6 38:19 46:10	130:10 139:12	133:8,11 134:5	70:14 74:4,8 80:2	force 18:10 29:11
56:17 59:3 60:22	145:15 149:15	136:19 137:11	80:3 86:15 89:5	29:21 37:6 75:17
66:19 69:20 79:13	195:10 198:13	143:11,16 153:15	94:6 96:11 101:14	<b>forced</b> 75:18
81:6,13 89:22	205:2 216:15	165:13 170:4	102:3 109:21	<b>foreign</b> 121:16
90:17 94:8,9	252:12	181:22 182:14,21	119:19 133:11	166:8,12,17
110:17 116:11	fields 145:20,22	191:13 195:8	144:3 207:4	Forest 64:1
132:1 234:14,16	<b>fifth</b> 12:8	203:6,14 204:12	213:11 214:19	forget 150:15
235:3,7,10,15,19	<b>fighters</b> 2:8 7:9	207:8 212:21	219:2 235:1,20	<b>form</b> 155:11 159:9
feedback 200:16	117:9,17,22	223:3,13 226:10	236:3	253:9
feel 42:6 76:17	<b>figure</b> 32:22 141:21	234:1 241:5	<b>focused</b> 27:19 73:5	<b>format</b> 44:15 119:9
88:15 94:20 96:4	207:12 258:21	247:10 260:22	81:8,12 91:3	formative 51:15
110:8 117:14	figured 87:13	first-hand 34:22	117:12 126:3	formatting 41:15
118:8 125:9	figures 102:9	<b>fiscal</b> 37:20	138:2 169:1	formed 15:14
137:10 149:3	243:10	<b>fish</b> 70:9 95:20	234:10	228:13,14
260:18	figuring 194:6	fisheries 107:21	<b>focusing</b> 61:6 86:9	former 4:21 43:2
<b>feeling</b> 227:13	<b>fill</b> 24:4	108:13,14	88:21 89:9 90:21	formidable 9:22
feels 50:21	<b>filled</b> 245:6	<b>fit</b> 61:13 67:19	115:17 132:11	33:22
fees 210:15	<b>final</b> 38:20 87:15	68:16 72:10	236:7	<b>forms</b> 206:19
<b>fellow</b> 3:9 120:22	89:19	103:18 217:7	<b>fodder</b> 74:22	forth 20:8 24:16
121:14 122:20	finalize 151:5	263:5	folks 253:15	25:20 30:19 55:5
125:14 128:5	<b>finally</b> 76:5 77:20	<b>five</b> 11:19 12:16	<b>follow</b> 20:6 33:17	113:14 198:9
130:21,22 172:6	82:7 88:21 190:7	66:12 119:12	107:20 249:21	244:15
196:1 253:13	finance 222:9	144:17 145:18	followed 19:11	forthright 14:17
	-	-	-	-

		l	 	l
fortunate 77:7	four-step 21:20	95:15 103:12	132:14 137:20	113:21 114:11
fortunately 11:16	22:12	143:16,16,20	139:6,9,11 147:4	149:4 150:7 162:6
<b>fortune</b> 137:15	framework 45:4	148:3,15,16,21	147:5 158:12	163:14 183:2
<b>forum</b> 38:18 39:18	225:16 231:12	149:4 152:17	180:8,10,15 266:4	198:1 211:4
41:12 59:7 158:19	233:8	153:18 154:1,7,20	gas 127:11	253:11 258:7
183:8 228:11	frameworks	155:8 156:16,18	gatekeeper 193:7	261:5
<b>forward</b> 5:19 9:5,8	226:20	156:21 157:3	<b>Gates</b> 69:9 78:21	<b>Ghana</b> 62:1 74:9
13:4,19,22 14:6	<b>France</b> 232:2	159:5,7 208:16	153:22	224:14,15
15:19 17:6,19	free 170:21 239:9	214:18 237:13	<b>gather</b> 159:12	give 6:11,16 11:20
18:13,20 26:8,16	<b>freedom</b> 165:12	246:8,9 249:5	<b>GDP</b> 157:22	35:9 44:10 54:2
27:8 32:16 33:4	171:12	fundings 146:12	gearing 86:3	55:7 82:7 86:10
34:1 35:11 37:6	freedom-loving	<b>funds</b> 79:8 82:9,11	<b>Gebisa</b> 1:18 2:15	92:1 100:20 131:7
42:2,13 46:15,22	165:19	87:9,10,10 144:12	3:12,13 8:3 18:14	132:22 156:12
51:6 52:7 60:20	<b>French</b> 232:1	144:15 145:11	28:14 29:6 74:20	165:13 173:19
76:18 77:18 81:11	frequently 29:2	149:1 154:16	89:13 99:12 107:2	192:7 201:6
88:13 97:20 99:14	255:16	157:18 159:12	118:15 265:13	209:18,18 215:21
105:18 115:7	<b>Friday</b> 104:8	177:13 207:10	<b>gender</b> 60:14 67:6	219:3 234:3
116:18 117:6,19	<b>friend</b> 172:1	further 59:14	86:14,16,20 93:1	249:16 262:9
118:19 119:20	<b>front</b> 101:5 137:3	103:7 143:18	94:22 102:10	263:8
120:1 124:12	197:5 260:11	148:4	general 242:11	given 43:21 45:21
153:2 164:4,5	<b>fruit</b> 240:10	<b>future</b> 2:3 6:12	245:18 246:19	52:9 123:17
220:8 221:13	frustration 34:5	7:11 9:14 10:17	generalists 217:6	127:12 133:17
261:16 262:14	230:1	14:7 16:19 17:3,5	generally 51:20	147:20 164:14
265:11	<b>full</b> 11:4 13:14 17:5	17:15 21:8,12,14	generate 161:3	172:18 188:4
FORWARD's	50:1 124:19	21:15,17,18 24:6	generated 129:15	209:3 212:4
13:10	<b>fully</b> 82:10 88:19	28:21 33:15 38:20	generating 254:13	240:22
<b>foster</b> 68:5 170:19	94:8 182:19 243:1	42:12,17 43:4	generation 1:1 2:8	<b>gives</b> 82:13 116:13
fosters 208:8	<b>Fulton</b> 233:17,22	45:14 56:17 59:3	7:8 117:9,12	196:14 204:13
<b>found</b> 43:14 49:11	<b>fund</b> 31:15 80:22	60:22 66:19 69:20	257:2	209:4 216:14
128:10,11,14	87:5,6,6,7,9 94:18	79:13 81:7,14	generic 242:16	263:8
152:15 192:4,21	95:18,19,19,20	90:1,18 94:9,9	genetic 57:18 80:15	<b>giving</b> 96:6 135:19
193:14 195:2,4	105:13,14 178:6	95:4 102:5 108:17	genetics 57:6,15,17	147:21 149:5
244:22 253:14	198:14	110:17 116:11	81:3	173:14 262:21,22
foundation 42:14	fundamental 10:8	132:2 146:6	gentleman 260:11	<b>glad</b> 4:15 5:1 100:9
43:3 69:9 78:21	113:10 114:15	234:14,16 235:3,7	gentlemen 173:11	<b>global</b> 1:2 24:7
144:8 185:22	Fundamentally	235:10,15,20	geographies 59:1	45:4 68:1 69:5
foundations 10:3	113:7	237:20	61:18	73:10 77:13 80:14
29:15 31:17 48:9	<b>funded</b> 9:6 30:16		geopolitical 8:17	83:18,19 92:14
119:5 153:21	78:20 147:17	<u> </u>	<b>George</b> 125:16	94:1 117:1 167:21
205:11 206:20	190:22 192:5	gain 27:3 52:6	<b>Gerald</b> 122:5,14	167:21 205:15
242:8	198:11	55:13	124:13 125:7	215:2 237:17
four 28:13 60:2	<b>funding</b> 31:14 41:3	gained 124:7	144:6 146:3	239:16
61:18 68:3 84:2	41:5,8 45:13	154:11,11 254:16	Germany 195:7	globalization
165:12 175:5	47:15,18 48:5	gains 31:5 102:10	<b>getting</b> 5:19 8:22	237:22
<b>fourth</b> 12:7 187:2	52:10 73:14 77:2	102:12 206:14	19:12 20:10 22:10	globally 257:3
191:11,12 203:15	79:6,11,21 81:1	<b>gap</b> 140:16 147:10	48:4 90:6 91:15	<b>go</b> 9:8 13:22 37:6
<b>four-fold</b> 201:2	84:5 87:4,8 89:9	gaps 129:19,20	92:8 99:3 100:7	43:4 49:9,17 71:7
			•	•

			l	l
74:15 75:16 76:6	80:13,20 81:11,16	100:22 107:12	217:16 245:18,21	greenhouse 80:11
82:15,21 88:5	81:19 82:10 86:15	111:12 116:3	246:5	grew 258:14
91:9 100:17 101:7	87:5,6 89:5 91:4	130:21 133:8	graduates 199:12	<b>ground</b> 65:12 72:1
104:5 109:14	91:14 93:12 95:10	135:18 144:5,21	245:10 255:3	75:19 94:11
115:7 116:3	95:13 97:20 98:17	146:11 148:10	graduating 145:5	113:18 114:10
129:13 130:12	99:9,14,20,21	149:8,12 158:20	145:14	263:2,22
131:2 133:18	100:3,5 103:20	164:18 174:9	graduation 208:18	<b>grounds</b> 215:11
134:19 143:10	104:7 105:13,14	181:21 191:4	<b>grain</b> 64:13,14,19	<b>group</b> 17:18 18:11
144:11,14 149:20	106:20 108:15	197:8 198:5 199:9	65:17 98:14,17	18:15,19 35:14
150:2,11 160:22	111:7,17,22	202:11 205:3,11	254:3	37:1 45:7 50:1
162:3 175:18	112:14,16 113:5	212:17 221:1	<b>grains</b> 65:15 110:6	117:13 118:16
195:9 199:5	114:14 115:6,12	232:19,20 241:7	128:11	202:3 228:13
200:22 209:22	115:20 118:18	242:18 253:11,12	<b>Grand</b> 1:10 172:20	236:11 240:4,5
210:9,18 211:6,10	119:7,9,14,20	255:7 261:1	grant 8:21 13:15	262:19 265:19
211:11,13 216:6	120:1 122:14	263:20	20:22 31:11 32:1	<b>groups</b> 16:22 31:18
218:1 219:16	124:11 130:13	<b>goods</b> 83:18,19	34:14 36:2,8	132:18 188:16
220:8 224:13	134:11,22 137:13	<b>gotten</b> 90:1 155:12	48:18 145:4,9	196:14
229:3 231:5 232:7	146:19 150:10	233:1	152:18 191:17	<b>grow</b> 133:1
232:7 233:2	154:7 157:10	governance 176:1	196:9 209:16	<b>growing</b> 170:13
236:18 240:19	159:22 160:13,15	182:8	239:14 259:8	215:5 220:3
244:22 256:14	161:16 164:4,10	government 31:16	granted 16:11	grown 150:19
257:11 258:8	175:18 189:1	47:6 51:19 113:19	granting 162:13	growth 10:18 52:10
262:9 264:11	190:5 191:19	116:22 118:4	grants 78:13 144:8	67:14 166:3 254:9
265:14	193:11 194:21	119:1 132:7	144:19 145:7	guarantee 214:17
goal 157:21 201:12	197:11,19 219:2	145:11,12 151:19	194:21 236:22	guess 89:11,12
202:9 203:7	221:13 223:15	153:20 157:19	237:5 245:7 250:3	246:2
214:22	224:21,22 225:2,4	158:4 168:15	grappled 46:19	guidance 99:15
goals 24:20 30:16	225:20 226:13	178:10 206:19	95:8	131:7
70:1,6 177:19	228:19 229:18,19	234:19,21 262:7	GRE 245:20	guidelines 225:13
208:5,22	231:5 233:12,19	governments	great 43:8,17 45:4	229:1
goes 23:1 44:17	233:20 234:2	172:16	49:15,15,19 56:6	<b>Guinea</b> 74:13
109:9 165:8 169:2	235:2,21 236:5,10	Government's	56:7 58:19 65:9	guys 231:14
206:21 214:14	238:21,22 240:13	167:9	68:14 85:15 87:1	<b>gu</b> ys 231.11
GOforIT 157:8	240:19 241:3	governor's 53:19	87:5 94:3 100:12	H
going 9:8 11:14	244:2,2 247:3,13	Grace 2:10 121:12	119:21 125:2	<b>Haiti</b> 16:7 29:9
20:13 22:2 24:3	248:17,19,22	121:22 125:5	144:2 153:13	30:5,8,14 31:3,9
25:1,1 27:2,14	250:6,12,14 253:6		167:20 173:11	31:15,16,19 32:16
28:2,9 33:13 34:3	253:17 254:10,11	154:13	206:16 219:9,10	33:7,20 34:5
41:6 43:15 45:16	255:19 257:10	graduate 44:1,2,20	220:9,13,14,19	half 76:21 83:9,17
	258:6 260:15	_	241:22 242:2	84:20 94:16
46:13 50:14,16		121:7 122:7,10		169:19 229:14,16
53:9 54:4,7,7 56:3	267:1,4,5,9,16,18	143:9 144:13	245:3 248:1,5	231:4
56:15 58:4,12,13	267:19	156:20 157:8	260:10	halfway 94:2
59:15 61:5 66:20	<b>good</b> 3:22 33:4 55:1	161:18 163:11	greater 15:12	hall 219:17
68:2,4 71:7,22	65:1 68:1 69:1	192:6 208:6,10	74:17 153:5	hand 72:13,13 76:3
73:22 75:17,20	72:22 74:6,16	211:14 213:14	247:14 248:17	76:3 100:18
76:1,2,14,17	88:20 90:1,5,13	214:7 215:9	greatly 162:2	113:13,13
77:18 79:18,20	94:20 95:18	216:20 217:1,4,11	green 128:14	113.13,13

	l		1	100111070
handicap 170:2	headquarters	226:6	258:16	130:14 135:3
<b>handle</b> 81:19	136:16	<b>helpful</b> 16:12 73:22	holders 196:21	139:3 141:10
220:15 257:17	health 81:4 92:14	105:6 112:3	holding 54:20	144:2 146:2
handles 5:8	94:1 95:21	<b>helping</b> 69:16	holdings 126:13	148:10 151:10
hands 34:5 205:3	healthy 187:15	114:4,12 130:5	holds 121:1 218:11	154:3,13 156:10
263:12 265:10	hear 6:4 9:9,12	141:4,8 156:5	holistic 181:22	158:22 159:21
handsomely 70:16	11:22 12:4,18	166:9 169:4	home 59:12 130:2	160:7 162:22
<b>handy</b> 134:19	32:8 45:16 55:4	191:18	130:12 134:14	163:18 263:17
<b>hanging</b> 112:10	101:8 105:12	helps 169:4 206:20	136:6 147:9,11,16	<b>Hubert</b> 166:20
<b>Hansen</b> 259:6,7	106:7 137:16	209:20	148:6 149:20	huge 96:20 108:12
266:20	153:3 219:20	hesitation 54:9	155:22 208:19	185:19,20 187:19
happen 25:21	heard 118:10,15	<b>heyday</b> 168:17	211:15 236:17	188:3 189:8
104:7 196:17	139:18 161:9	<b>Hi</b> 107:16 109:2	240:16	193:10 221:12,14
happened 27:9	202:15 219:6	HICD 2:14 8:2	hone 148:4	221:15 224:12,16
227:2 245:13	230:15 261:11	12:2 21:6,7,10,11	honest 167:7	224:16 225:21,21
happening 98:20	hearing 13:19 55:1	103:18,20 104:19	honorary 4:20	226:21 250:14
246:11 262:15	85:14 86:17 115:6	105:20 164:11	<b>honored</b> 4:16 234:7	261:9
happens 64:17	117:19 135:11	192:16 204:4	hope 11:1 36:10	hugely 69:5 195:13
144:3 150:16	261:14	207:5 236:7	58:6 73:13 77:1,2	<b>human</b> 1:2 2:7 7:7
232:6	heart 26:15 83:18	265:19 266:5	77:14 78:16 79:5	9:17 11:5 12:1
happy 58:1 75:18	115:2 116:17	<b>hiding</b> 130:4	82:4 85:1,15	17:9 18:6,9 19:3
75:18,20	241:12	<b>high</b> 66:21 71:16	90:14 93:22 95:21	19:13,20 21:1
hard 3:18 54:6 80:4	heartland 8:15	103:10 109:14	99:19 107:18	22:10 23:5 27:15
115:12 147:14	heat 62:15,21 65:10	126:20 208:19	164:1	28:6 114:20 117:7
224:20 230:3,4	heat-tolerant 68:15	211:14 252:18	hopefully 78:19	118:14 119:3
231:21	heavily 74:8 110:18	<b>higher</b> 6:20 10:6	91:5 94:20 147:22	129:2 135:21
<b>harder</b> 26:21	heel 174:15	13:7 15:7 16:6	164:19	165:1 168:3,13,18
harmonization	<b>Heifer</b> 4:8 48:11	23:16 75:6 99:10	hoping 70:7	173:17 175:6
184:7	help 15:8 23:21	150:6 183:22	horticultural 67:17	188:9 191:1 201:3
harmonize 180:1	26:7,8 28:9 30:13	184:3 192:13	99:10 110:5	201:13,18,19
harmony 184:6	31:9,12 32:15,21	196:10 197:7	horticulture 109:3	202:1,9 204:7,9
<b>Haroon</b> 2:9 120:21	33:1,3,9 80:16	231:3 252:18	258:18	205:22 206:6,11
130:14 144:10	94:11,19 95:21	257:4 264:7,20	hospitality 54:2	206:13 221:10
156:11 160:21	97:3 138:6 141:6	highest 17:22	host 54:3 203:1,17	227:5 234:11
196:2	141:22 145:20	262:17	204:10,14 205:14	235:5,9,13,18
Harvard 7:4	148:3 152:22	highlands 76:7	205:19 207:21	236:1,11 239:2
harvest 101:9,15	166:9 170:17,21	highlight 78:10	208:2 213:16,21	243:12
107:6 109:4,6,10	183:17,18 184:2,5	106:11 212:1,15	217:2,11,16	humanity 170:5
110:4,15 111:9,10	184:12 189:4	241:4	hotel 54:18	<b>humid</b> 79:10
126:11,16 137:16	198:3 224:7	highly 64:22 65:12	hour 6:2	<b>Humphrey</b> 166:20
harvesting 111:18	236:18 249:9	200:6	house 68:22	hundred 152:2
hat 254:4	254:15 260:14	<b>Hillary</b> 107:16	households 69:18	hunger 2:8 4:13 7:8
hate 163:21	263:18	Hispanic 36:4	housing 171:2	117:9,12,17,22
hazards 127:7	<b>helped</b> 133:10	historically 36:3	<b>Howard</b> 1:22 2:9	191:10
head 120:10 136:14	134:19 135:14	history 170:4	7:10,14 115:16	hungry 93:16
headcount 162:14	136:20 137:18	holder 122:5 252:6	116:3,5 124:13,22	hurdles 160:20
heading 118:15	138:3 139:5 142:3	254:15 256:5	125:2,5 129:3	hypothesis 255:20
			<u> </u>	
	1		1	1

т	69:18 239:15	226:12 227:22	71:17	Indo-Gangetic
IAD = 206.10	260:7,10	228:2,9 232:11	inconvenient 54:12	69:4
IARs 206:19	<b>imparting</b> 193:10	233:2,4 250:9	incorporate 133:19	inducted 3:6
ICRISAT 66:1	238:10	252:16 253:3	216:10 228:6	indulgence 160:8
83:13	implement 15:8	255:15	235:9 252:9	industrial 166:1
ICT 183:1	103:2 149:21	importantly 25:16	253:20	170:8 171:15
idea 47:17 63:12	implementation	26:1	incorporated 253:1	industry 31:17
68:4 71:21 82:13	13:11 21:7,10	imports 108:5	increase 16:11,16	inexhaustible
101:4 142:9	98:3 124:2 174:9	impressed 253:12	70:5 123:3 165:16	170:13
231:16 232:4,6	174:10 179:6	impression 105:16	171:15 178:2	inflexibility 245:21
254:7 266:1	203:12,20 210:22	242:1	184:10 188:4,10	246:13
ideas 35:11 42:13	implemented 132:4	impressive 56:1	231:6	influenced 118:9
53:11 60:1 61:9	132:9 196:18	85:21 193:15	increased 65:10	inform 18:2 22:3
83:4,18 155:19	236:22	210:19 212:21	172:14	27:21 29:4 254:14
160:16 264:10	implementing	243:5	increases 64:18	266:3
266:14	174:5 235:10	impressively 194:7	202:5	<b>informal</b> 50:6,19
identification	252:12	improve 11:15	increasing 109:17	information 19:12
21:11	implication 108:9	101:4 118:19	126:9 177:11,12	20:20 50:18 67:5
identified 46:1	implications 58:11	123:10 132:16	177:16 247:13	97:9 113:21
128:2 147:5 179:5	implicit 106:6	204:9 224:14	increasingly 63:5	145:14 181:3
182:7 197:19,20	imponderable	improved 9:19	64:15 98:13	183:4 218:3 250:8
229:17	170:11	improvement	incredible 10:18	253:10,12
identify 29:12	importance 8:17	15:22 146:21	44:17 55:21,21	informed 23:13
40:19 129:19	34:13 35:5 118:13	166:2	252:16	156:6 168:7
139:5,11	130:6 198:7 252:8	improving 75:4	incredibly 43:3	infrastructure
<b>identifying</b> 136:21	important 22:21	123:5,9 141:3	45:3 76:8	205:9,22 248:10
137:18 188:13	23:17 24:1,18	201:19 202:2	incubate 182:17	infused 239:19
242:16	37:15 38:3 42:7	inadequate 169:21	independence	initial 75:10 76:22
ignored 227:12	43:4,15 48:6,20	173:22 174:10	219:12	230:22 231:15
IITA 104:17	62:3,4 63:21 69:5	175:22 174:10	independent 11:9	<b>initially</b> 235:5
Illinois 4:22 166:19	70:13 71:12 74:16	inaugural 165:10	31:13	initiated 239:22
illustrates 8:18	76:8 79:13 82:22	169:8 187:7	<b>Indiana</b> 167:19	259:19
illustrations 260:10	83:6 86:5 98:9	inauguration 187:6	indicate 90:14	initiative 24:9
illustrious 166:10	108:18 112:19	incentives 175:21	165:4	25:22 35:14 38:20
Ilorin 126:2	119:7 124:11	inception 78:3,4	indicated 12:18	59:4 69:2 79:4
ILRI 104:17 immediate 24:15	138:3 141:17	98:1 100:10 104:8	16:13,19 19:1	89:2 90:18 165:3
	142:18 144:9	incidence 64:18	21:14 168:10	167:22 185:9
immediately 44:12	149:7 150:8 154:9	include 22:1 62:10	indicating 171:19	191:6 217:21
223:18	155:18 158:11	83:11,13 252:17	indication 45:21	221:18 234:17
impact 81:13 93:16	163:16 174:21	included 36:2,4	indications 252:21	235:7,14 255:1
101:13 109:20	184:4 188:21,22	including 22:2	indicator 86:4	initiatives 17:11
130:18 150:6	189:2 190:2,3	97:10 169:11	indigenous 262:3	179:18,19,21
200:4,7 250:11	191:5,7 193:8	173:3 186:19	indirectly 12:11	184:7 191:4 204:3
251:17 259:21	194:14,20 195:3	203:3 230:18	individual 201:20	263:20,20 264:1
261:18 263:21	195:13 197:13	inclusion 187:17	260:9,10	initiators 258:8
267:2	199:3 210:1	inclusive 107:8	individuals 9:9	innovate 60:12
impacted 243:17	212:10 214:10	income 64:22 67:14	161:14 192:17,18	innovation 13:17
impacts 62:15			101.1.1,2.1,,10	
	l		l	ı

62 4 122 11 127 7	100 1 204 14	200 22 212 12	1.4460	56 22 110 10
63:4 132:11 137:7	199:1 204:14	209:22 212:12	interactive 6:9	56:22 119:10
139:22 157:9,13	205:19 208:21	213:7 217:5	interest 64:4	164:13 186:10
160:5 182:17	213:22 214:4,20	230:21 232:13	125:21 135:9	214:6 251:2
innovations 9:16	222:8,15 231:1	238:5 249:6,10	136:12 169:12	introduced 166:18
139:13 145:22	institutional 1:2	251:11 254:12,13	230:11 249:9	167:17
201:10 216:8	2:8 7:7 9:3,17	256:12 257:5,6	260:18 266:8	introducing 96:19
innovative 10:9	12:1 17:9 18:6,9	258:12,22 264:13	interested 38:10	172:1
76:13 93:7 139:17	19:3,21 21:2 23:6	institution's 206:3	45:18 54:10,10	introduction 58:1
192:4 214:6	27:16 28:6 114:21	instructors 192:6	85:1 86:17 112:15	173:19 174:17
216:19 218:4	117:8 118:14	instrumental	135:11 191:11	200:10
input 5:14 85:6	119:4 144:5	183:21	231:11 242:12,20	invest 60:13 112:1
140:2 200:15	146:22 165:2	integral 207:19	259:4	151:1,5 184:12
inputs 20:18 23:11	167:10 168:4,14	integrate 71:13	interesting 56:10	230:18 232:2
197:2 252:5	168:18 173:17	144:22 228:6	129:4 141:10	248:5,8
insect 65:8 127:7	175:6 182:5 189:8	integrated 61:6	152:16 227:1	invested 207:9
127:16 128:12	191:1 192:16	70:10 106:11	267:6	230:5
insecticidal 128:7	197:21 198:8	205:18 210:2,22	interests 60:16	investigating 128:6
insecticides 127:11	201:3,13 202:10	252:6	135:15 208:4	investigator 43:22
insects 127:6	204:7,10 206:7,12	integrates 209:12	interface 51:1	investing 151:8
<b>inside</b> 232:13	213:1,4,12 221:11	integrating 67:11	intern 240:21	190:3 207:13
insight 28:1 242:1	229:1 234:11	70:4 71:16 74:18	internally 230:2	236:1 248:10
insightful 160:16	235:6,9,13,18	106:1 131:21	international 4:9	investment 21:8
insights 20:20	236:1,11 239:2	integration 70:8	9:5 12:6 17:1	70:15 73:5,21
254:16	243:12 246:4,15	74:17 105:20	22:7 38:21 40:2	74:1 153:3 170:19
insignia 172:20	247:15 250:10	intellectual 201:18	48:11 55:22 56:9	174:6,7 176:20
insisted 235:12	260:9	intended 26:11	57:10,16 59:10	177:6,10,11,13,17
INSORMIL 63:1	institutions 9:16	163:2	77:8 121:17	177:18,19 178:17
74:20 266:22	10:15 15:7 16:6	intensification	131:16,19 138:9	178:18 181:10,11
instance 141:7	20:21 34:14,19	61:17 68:3 78:5	138:12 141:7	181:15,20 190:6
<b>institute</b> 66:10 77:9	35:7,17,19,22	84:1 92:12 95:11	155:16 166:13	224:11 225:12
120:9 121:15	36:2,5,8,12,22	96:13	167:1 168:21	226:5,7,18 228:8
122:2 126:7,8	81:1 113:18 118:9	intensify 98:16	172:11 200:1	256:20
129:20,21 131:20	120:3 140:20	intensive 252:3	202:19 203:3	investments 24:10
136:4,5 141:8	141:8,18 142:4,12	intention 99:4	215:19 233:18	62:6,8 66:3 73:6
155:3,4,7,13,15	146:20 159:6,11	103:5	236:16,19,21	100:2 111:15
155:22 172:6	172:13 175:14	intentional 257:19	237:4 238:5 266:7	112:12 176:15
233:18 237:1	176:2,20 179:4	intentions 23:4	internationalizing	178:2 203:8
institutes 80:8	180:17 181:16,17	134:22	238:1	investor 202:18
145:1	182:6 183:13	interact 6:17	internationally	investors 173:4
institution 27:4	184:18 188:5,7,19	123:18 138:6	237:9 243:8	invitation 53:17
113:19 137:21	189:14,18,21	188:12 195:22	internship 204:20	54:16 221:21
139:6 140:22	191:17 195:19	interacting 117:6	240:9	invite 97:14 171:4
141:3,4 144:14,17	196:9,10 197:19	interaction 5:18	interplay 89:3	<b>invited</b> 136:11,13
147:3,11,21 159:8	199:8,11,13,14	8:8 39:19 130:9	interrupt 6:7	161:16 266:6
159:9 176:13	203:1 204:11	188:15	intervention 94:7	inviting 234:6
179:5 196:15	205:5,10,15	Interactions	interventions 61:15	involve 66:15
197:22 198:19,20	206:19 208:7	186:19	<b>introduce</b> 3:9 4:20	196:11 209:19
	-	-	-	-

229.12	125.21 126.10	J 4l 65 . 20	70.7.96.4.07.15	122.21 125.12
238:12	135:21 136:18	Jonathan 65:20	70:7 86:4 97:15	132:21 135:12
involved 9:3,10	137:22 140:21	Jones 2:16 97:15	125:8 145:10	140:5,22 142:5
10:11 16:15 18:1	145:3,13 148:15	160:2 172:2,2	173:2 174:21	145:16 146:16
18:16 38:19 40:3	148:16 150:12	173:2,6,7,9	182:2 188:17	148:13 149:2,19
42:9 43:7 44:15	154:7,7 158:9	188:22 244:8	239:4 249:15	150:10,15 151:12
45:6,11 47:1	188:17 228:22	260:22	263:4 265:2	152:3,4,11,17
48:10 52:16 53:6	229:2 231:9 263:4	journals 129:17	keynote 7:3	157:15 161:2,15
54:14 70:18,20	issues 14:8 15:12	145:18	kicked 18:12	162:9 164:1 165:4
72:3 88:8 90:6	16:22 33:21 41:16	judged 66:20	kids 150:18	165:5 172:2
91:2 93:20 102:13	45:12 46:16 59:2	judgments 112:1	kin 32:21	173:21 175:1
123:13 124:1,21	60:14 71:19 90:17	Julie 1:22 2:9 7:10	kind 15:5 16:17	176:21 177:5,17
149:17 151:21	95:22 108:21	7:12 46:12 115:16	27:5 31:12 51:15	179:3,16,17 180:2
155:4,10 195:6	110:20,21 111:10	115:16 116:5	69:22 78:11 81:17	180:3,4 181:15,18
209:11 214:8	111:13,13 135:2	122:21 130:20	82:4 101:13,20	182:15 183:14,17
involvement 34:9	143:17,22 177:15	135:17 136:11	123:16 130:18	183:22 185:17,22
39:22 42:8 45:14	189:8 192:2	137:22 138:22	137:17 145:15,20	186:2 187:5
71:2 76:16 88:11	194:22 227:8,9,10	163:14 263:17	148:17 149:2	190:18 197:10
involves 138:16	248:14 267:5	July 129:12	152:11,12 155:9	201:4 207:14
191:8 201:15,21	item 38:15 43:15	Juma 7:4 193:21	158:21 187:12	210:5 212:9,18
202:17,22 204:20	53:8 56:16	199:15 256:18	242:19 251:15	215:12 219:14
involving 206:16	items 14:3 56:13	<b>jump</b> 142:9	253:19	220:21 221:17
in-depth 60:5 90:1	<b>Ivorian</b> 172:19	jumping 86:2	kinds 46:16 60:9	222:7 224:20
in-house 59:20	J	jumpstarted	61:15 70:4 71:19	231:14,21 234:13
<b>in-lab</b> 204:21		237:13	74:19 79:11 86:22	236:6,14 238:7,10
ion 123:2	jail 245:11	juncture 5:15	111:7 142:6 161:1	242:1,2 243:20
Iowa 1:10 8:14	<b>January</b> 30:2 165:10 190:22	<b>June</b> 3:6 14:9 17:7	194:12 222:14	244:1,9 247:17
106:5 121:3 122:7		18:12 19:1 35:9	243:10 253:2	248:12,18 252:13
122:9,11,22	Japan 57:12 195:7	39:18 59:7 97:16	knew 54:6	259:22,22 261:20
124:15,19 132:4	<b>Jemimah</b> 131:18 <b>Jo</b> 1:15 4:7 48:9	115:5	know 8:10 23:15	262:5,6,10 263:1
186:11 238:18	51:4 53:10 55:9	K	25:7,13,18 26:9	263:10,12,21
239:12 240:4,6	55:20 56:12		27:6 32:18 38:9	264:5,12
241:5,6,9,10		Kampala 227:19	39:16 42:21 43:14	knowhow 237:17
iron 123:3	<b>job</b> 91:11 143:12 143:12 158:20	<b>Kamuli</b> 132:3 <b>KARI</b> 194:1,3,9	45:10,16 46:12	knowledge 46:8
irrespective 62:16		, ,	47:13 51:16,19	113:22 124:7
Irv 65:19 82:5	191:16 229:4	<b>keep</b> 86:1 98:9	52:12 53:13 54:1	154:10 157:5
254:2 259:5	<b>John</b> 5:7 20:12 24:4 33:15 41:10	100:8 102:7 106:4 150:9 153:2 164:2	54:9,12,21 56:8	163:6 170:5,12,16
<b>ISE</b> 237:5,13,15,20	41:20 52:17	194:21 245:11	64:5 65:5 70:3	193:10,11 194:10
238:4,15 239:5,15	266:21	keeping 150:10	74:1,14 76:12	197:1,2,4,4,17
240:18 241:2	<b>join</b> 164:6 265:10	233:3 245:4,9	77:14 80:10,22	205:21 222:11,12
<b>ISE-funded</b> 238:20	266:9	246:21	84:20 86:7 88:19	223:1,3 238:11
isolated 160:18			88:21 89:8,11,20	250:4 252:2
isolation 179:21	joined 3:14,19 joining 173:1	<b>Kenya</b> 157:2 193:20,22	91:1 92:7 94:11	254:14 262:3,4
issue 19:20 22:20	<b>joint</b> 194:18 216:13	kept 162:6 193:1,1	94:15 98:8 101:12	knowledgeable
34:12 41:2 87:18	O .	_	101:22 103:5	252:22
101:2,20 105:19	<b>jointly</b> 59:5 132:4 167:17 194:22	<b>key</b> 13:5,11 16:21 46:13 59:22 60:18	104:6 105:10	knowledge-inten
106:16 110:6	207:20	63:13 64:20,21	110:9,14 117:18	196:19,21
115:17 134:17	201.20	03.13 04.20,21	118:22 119:1	known 103:7

	 [	1	 	l
138:10 209:9	lay 29:15 58:6	<b>lecture</b> 219:16	<b>life</b> 9:20 136:22	live 76:9 224:9
211:21 222:7	258:10	259:3	169:22 170:18	<b>lived</b> 166:11
229:21	<b>laying</b> 104:21	lectures 209:19	<b>lifetime</b> 193:17	livelihoods 108:13
knows 156:3	<b>lead</b> 17:17 36:15	<b>led</b> 7:9 10:14 29:9	<b>light</b> 168:5 247:7	132:5
224:18 232:7	75:12 84:6 129:15	29:11 35:7 39:18	lighten 171:2	livestock 63:14
234:16	136:13 179:12,14	43:2 50:2 60:17	<b>liked</b> 97:18	70:8 71:13 74:15
<b>Koran</b> 264:16,19	181:16 194:8	69:10 127:3	<b>limit</b> 151:2	75:1 77:8,10
	218:9,16 221:1	234:18 254:9	limitation 144:11	95:19 99:11
L	<b>Leader</b> 233:17	<b>left</b> 4:9 62:6 121:12	limitations 17:16	131:20
lab 80:12 190:9	<b>leaders</b> 93:4,5,6	141:12 156:2	<b>limited</b> 44:4 128:21	<b>living</b> 169:20
labor 171:14	212:11 215:2	legacies 132:18	145:12 170:11	171:17 220:1
laboratory 125:19	240:7 255:1 257:1	<b>legacy</b> 167:13	<b>limits</b> 216:4	load 101:5
125:20	257:2	186:21 187:1	line 94:8 131:22	local 48:22 69:17
lack 142:16 144:12	leadership 18:14	legislated 168:6	157:20	72:5 73:13,16
221:10,10	34:1 43:13 46:13	<b>legume</b> 65:11 83:8	<b>linear</b> 139:14	97:2 98:8,22
lacking 31:2 143:3	120:17 121:5	98:17 99:9	lines 258:13	99:20,22 100:3
<b>Ladies</b> 173:10	137:22 138:2	legumes 64:13,14	link 47:17 140:4	123:9 124:2
lagged 64:16	167:9 168:20	64:16,20 65:9,18	142:17 155:21	132:20,20 134:7
laid 36:11 242:10	174:16 202:6	66:6 67:10 70:4	179:9	155:16 158:15,17
land 8:21 13:15	224:5 233:14	71:17 74:17 93:11	linkage 140:14	197:17 198:8
20:22 31:11 32:1	252:20	98:14 111:5	183:8 197:21	253:14 255:4
34:14 36:2,8	<b>leading</b> 31:4 34:1	<b>Leone</b> 172:5,9,21	199:16	256:14
48:17 191:8,16	73:17 79:19	lesser 128:13	linkages 57:10	<b>locally</b> 127:20
196:9 209:15	132:17 167:17	lesson 163:16	116:14 163:9,9	198:3
239:13 259:2,8	236:10 252:9	<b>lessons</b> 30:6 114:13	189:1	logistics 5:9
lands 79:10	leads 42:14 183:12	239:18 265:6	linked 82:3 205:15	long 32:6 61:1 87:7
language 52:18	189:11 204:21	letter 14:21	<b>list</b> 86:10 206:9	128:12 129:6,7
136:6,7 216:1	206:2 253:12	<b>let's</b> 60:4 80:21	229:12	185:1 192:14,22
<b>laptop</b> 131:9	<b>LEAP</b> 120:22	81:21 92:1 100:17	<b>listed</b> 102:22	198:22 199:10
large 29:22 71:10	121:4,6 130:16,22	130:15 221:17	214:15 245:16	201:8 229:21
247:20 248:10	157:5	229:7	246:16	230:1 243:13
249:1 258:19	learn 27:4 32:15	level 72:6 73:12	<b>listen</b> 46:21 266:10	246:14
<b>largely</b> 254:10	193:12 196:2,3	77:13 78:17 79:8	<b>listened</b> 59:9 91:1	longer 24:17 26:20
larger 4:11 80:14	209:21 266:2	79:12 80:2 85:12	<b>listening</b> 22:6 43:6	29:16 37:11 53:7
90:4 153:7	learned 59:8	114:10 160:18	75:19 265:21	62:6 87:11 105:22
largest 108:4	136:22 149:21	201:20 202:4	little 12:5 49:4	long-range 30:15
lastly 184:20	163:20 171:19	219:8 224:9 225:6	52:18 53:18 55:16	long-term 163:7
205:12 215:1	199:6 237:10	228:8 231:3,16,17	55:19 57:3 58:13	193:13 204:13
217:19	239:18 240:16	246:8 252:19	60:5 61:9 82:8	207:1,3,5,11
late 160:9 267:19	242:7 265:6	253:1,8 256:22	86:8 89:11 93:12	208:16 209:3
lately 212:20	<b>learning</b> 16:7 30:6	257:5	93:15 95:10	210:17 214:8,17
latent 221:7	46:21 91:2 100:13	levels 39:19 92:5	105:12 106:20	217:22 260:19
latest 179:10	163:22 192:11	95:1	112:7 118:5 119:8	look 10:17 12:12
<b>Latin</b> 97:12 186:16	196:8,20 238:12	leverage 27:4 52:6	122:15,17 130:15	16:15,19 17:14
212:3 254:9	leave 141:12	leveraging 49:7	139:7 160:9	34:17 39:10,13
258:12	164:19 228:19	206:18 210:13	193:20 232:5,18	42:12,17 44:18
launching 78:22	229:7 233:12	Library 53:21	234:9 244:9	45:14 47:11 48:6
	-		-	-

40.20 50.17 51.14	77.10.00.20.00.2	126.5	02.2	4-b 26:10 217:2
48:20 50:17 51:14	77:12 89:22 90:2	maculatus 126:5	managers 93:3	match 26:10 217:2
52:13 54:11 62:13	90:10 91:9 93:19	magic 47:21	manages 83:3	matches 26:9
75:4,5 93:3,7	93:20 95:2 96:18	main 31:8 139:11	<b>Mandarin</b> 240:12	matching 213:17
109:13 115:10	97:21 98:19	211:7 237:21	240:12	material 170:9
118:16 136:18	101:12 102:15	mainstreamed	Manhica 120:7	materials 123:22
162:10 164:4	103:6,7 110:2	228:4	135:17 136:2	125:22 126:4
175:11 176:7	111:10 112:12	maize 62:19 71:9	139:10 148:11,12	127:18 171:1
180:9 185:18	113:3 124:6	71:16 72:21 74:14	159:1 160:4	177:18 240:11,14
187:8,13 188:14	129:19 141:11	78:18 83:5 87:16	Manica 2:10	matter 30:11 41:15
189:6 190:18	147:4 161:11	95:19 96:15 97:4	manner 178:3	55:17 71:15 75:8
191:21 212:19	163:20 165:5	98:13,19 99:8	247:6	111:14 243:14,19
219:16,22 230:2	168:2,5 171:18,20	111:4	manuscript 129:16	mayor's 53:19
242:9 244:22	181:7 191:3 195:9	major 8:19 24:9	map 228:14	Mazur 106:4,5
259:20 260:2,7,15	197:3 199:7	34:9 35:16 54:18	<b>Maputo</b> 136:17	McKenzie 59:14
264:16,18	204:18 210:12	60:9 62:19 66:7	Maredia 2:18	91:7
looked 8:9 60:7,8	211:7 221:7 229:3	137:19 153:22	199:22 200:2,9	McVey 15:15
60:10,13 109:7	230:11,15 234:14	173:4 193:2,3	218:8 245:14	McWHIRTOR
175:13,14,16,20	241:3,14 242:4,15	<b>Makerere</b> 121:1	249:22 254:18	100:21,21
175:21 229:12	248:14 249:1,14	132:6 156:22	<b>Maria</b> 138:9	meager 249:3
253:9	251:4 252:15	makers 176:7	Mark 103:15	meals 127:22,22
looking 32:11	255:13,18 257:3	making 46:8 67:10	104:18 112:8	mean 49:9,14 50:13
35:18 38:10 45:22	258:2,4	86:15 111:22	234:5,9	50:14 56:7 78:2
46:14,15 50:10	lots 4:11 74:14 75:2	116:9 129:22	marked 31:21	85:14 86:7,11
51:6 52:15 62:18	133:10 179:22	165:22 168:13	markers 96:17	101:10 102:14
67:3,4 73:3 74:10	224:19 231:19	173:2 181:7	market 10:8 65:1	118:11 119:15
74:13 77:19 78:22	232:17,22,22	223:12,20 224:10	110:20 111:1	120:14 129:6
93:11 95:1 109:16	love 81:7 94:11	237:15 248:7	133:1 137:12	133:7 155:14
110:12,19,22	187:12 190:12	<b>Malawi</b> 73:2 157:1	191:9	161:19 179:13
111:7 113:17	low 112:10,11	157:1	marketing 123:15	201:3,13
116:18 117:5,18	167:8	<b>Malawian</b> 173:20	132:19	means 68:7,8,9,10
123:1 132:2	lower 146:16	<b>Malcolm</b> 5:3 41:10	marketplace	188:6,12 191:21
136:15 137:3	lower-lying 75:4	41:10	183:11	192:19 193:9,13
143:4 180:18	lowly 172:4,8	<b>Mali</b> 74:9	markets 65:2 69:17	
182:1,4 217:5	Luck 1:15 4:7,7	malnutrition 60:8	100:1 132:12	202:4
266:13	48:9 49:14 50:5	manage 63:5 83:2	marks 10:4	meant 107:7
looks 180:15	53:10,12 56:2	89:5 93:9 149:14	Marriott 1:9	measure 261:18
losing 108:13	88:14 152:15	157:14 217:20	marshal 15:6 63:18	measures 127:12
loss 101:9 109:12	154:14	220:19 241:11	Marty 15:15	127:15
126:11,16 155:5	<b>Lugar</b> 23:9 167:18	managed 84:22	marvelous 149:12	mechanical 171:2
losses 101:15,22	167:18	93:10 121:10	Maryland 57:9	mechanism 104:12
109:19	lunch 6:18,19	150:2	mass 174:11	175:22 228:16,17
lot 19:17,17,18	115:20 116:2	management 13:12	175:10	mechanisms
20:12 23:13 28:9	Luncheon 2:6	13:13 63:4 67:20	massacring 120:6	137:19
30:7,9 48:4 54:14	<b>Lynch</b> 65:20	77:11,11 176:1	massive 52:9	medicine 135:7,10
55:8 56:9 59:8,9	M	182:8 191:9 197:9	102:12 227:5	medium 226:20
60:6 64:11 66:17		202:20 205:7	Master's 126:1	meet 29:1 53:18
71:2,19 76:13	machinery 114:2	250:4	135:6 150:11	54:13 94:1 215:18

217:8	125:15 131:6	mine 88:15 152:22	mobilization	months 59:16
meeting 1:1,4 3:4	138:7 150:1	minimal 30:8	248:22 249:13	77:22 78:16 81:15
4:15 14:10 28:15	156.7 150.1	147:22	mobilize 204:8	129:13
33:13 35:9 39:10	mentored 131:18		mobilized 173:2	Monty 2:16 97:15
50:6 53:9 54:12	mentoring 120:17	minimally 178:5 minimum 70:5	mode 161:13	160:2 172:1,9
	$\mathbf{c}$	<b>Minister</b> 226:11	model 42:12 48:22	224:15 225:11
54:19 55:13,16	121:20 154:17,20			
65:16 95:4 100:9	161:12 205:4 mentors 154:7	ministers 174:8	59:18,22 66:2	227:10 230:12 244:8
104:8 195:21 200:21 218:21		227:3,17,19 228:11	114:4 201:4,7	
	161:15 163:10	ministries 226:18	202:14 203:6,16 204:1 206:9 207:8	Moon 69:10 Moral 48:19
227:17 254:21	mentorship 133:22 208:10 209:9	256:10 258:6		
267:17,21			208:15 209:4	morning 3:22 4:4
meetings 28:21	merely 111:14	Ministry 226:9,14	210:2 212:18,20	6:1,2 14:2 34:21
29:3 50:12 101:8	merit 101:20	Minnesota 57:8	215:15 239:4	58:2,6 118:10,13
195:5 239:10	172:18	80:12 166:21 186:14	246:10 257:7,11	137:15 168:11
Melinda 69:8	merits 103:9		models 244:14	230:16 234:12,13
member 4:3,20 8:4	met 14:10 43:8	minor 31:5	261:21	235:4 238:18
25:6 29:10 131:6	44:6 50:1 177:20	minority 16:6	model's 246:1	240:17 259:11
252:11	238:19	34:13,19 35:6,16	moderated 7:10	motion 13:2
members 3:9 6:5	methodologies	minted 116:6	moderating 115:16	mountaintop 230:9
16:3 19:7 22:17	137:5	minute 120:13	moderator 1:18,22	move 15:19 26:8
40:10 42:8 43:16	metrics 30:18	minutes 58:13	8:3 122:21 125:12	32:16 33:4 42:2
44:6 49:10 55:11	Mexico 140:10	141:12 160:10	modern 216:18	46:22 52:7 54:7
59:9 76:11 77:17	149:19 150:1	212:22 261:2	modest 16:11,16	54:21 56:16
85:3,19 88:6	Michigan 72:3 79:2	misery 169:21	17:17 237:13	101:21 229:5
93:22 104:1 140:1	200:2 258:18	mismatch 214:2	Moines 1:9,10	230:22 261:16
141:13 151:12	micro-nutrient	missed 187:7	<b>molecular</b> 96:17	265:11
204:15 239:9	94:6	234:12	moment 3:8 14:2,8	movement 219:4
247:1 261:11	mics 100:19	mission 13:9 16:3,4	56:22 110:11	moving 13:19 17:6
men 93:17 220:5	mid 71:8 230:10	18:3 62:11 68:19	momentum 183:2	17:18 18:13 37:10
mention 27:13 63:3	237:2	70:17 73:14 77:2	money 76:19 83:11	38:16 60:19 77:22
66:5 80:20 98:10	middle 66:17 81:5	80:17 84:5 99:18	84:1,4 94:2,14	88:13 94:5 153:2
106:7 166:6 181:5	133:2	110:18 112:14	95:13 96:5,20	161:1 233:3 253:9
222:20 251:22	middleman 133:3	162:17 209:16	99:18 131:13	Mozambique 120:8
mentioned 11:22	mid-career 120:16	missions 28:5	146:17 148:17	136:3,4,17 141:9
28:14 50:13 59:8	157:11	69:11,11 72:14,18	151:20 153:1	150:2
72:19 76:20 78:9	Mike 100:21 102:8	73:1,7 76:4 78:20	158:4 184:12	MSI 35:14 36:16
80:7 81:21,22	mileage 48:5	85:14 92:18,21	208:17 222:11,22	36:21
97:21 103:17	milestone 166:6	96:22 98:2,4	223:4 230:19,20	MSs 175:16
104:4 138:1	milestones 167:6	100:2 111:16,22	231:9,13 245:12	multilateral 21:18
148:13 152:20	millet 63:7,8	197:14 209:20	250:3	234:21
159:3 166:16	million 66:12 76:21	<b>Missouri</b> 3:7 8:13	monitor 13:20 37:5	multiplied 47:15
201:5 216:1,12	94:16 178:19	45:2 51:22	<b>monitoring</b> 13:13	multi-prong
221:12 223:21	229:17 231:3,15	misuse 127:3	38:9 42:16 259:12	204:12
225:11 230:14,17	mind 13:21 25:2	<b>Mitchell</b> 109:2,3	260:1	<b>Murano</b> 1:17 3:16
235:4,22 255:6	151:22 174:10	mix 93:14	monologue 49:9	3:16 19:8 25:5
259:11 261:14	238:21 257:22	mixed 71:8 262:19	month 54:6 56:5	29:11,17,19 34:1
mentor 124:1	minds 110:2	<b>mobile</b> 139:18	194:9	88:18 101:1 243:4

mutually 238:3	161:19 162:15	237:11 244:10	237:1 264:15,16	184:17 186:15,18
myth 211:8	necessary 115:11	245:9 266:4	Nigeria 125:13	199:12 244:1
Mywish 2:18	181:3 183:3 202:9	negotiated 164:15	126:2,7,12,17	248:11 249:1
199:22 243:1,5	264:7	negotiations 57:16	127:9,20,22 128:3	254:16 261:8,10
<b>M&amp;E</b> 81:13,20	need 11:20 17:7	neighboring 73:6	128:9 129:9	numbers 82:8
261:17	23:5 24:10 28:3,9	<b>NEPAD</b> 173:3	147:18 253:15	210:19 212:21
	34:2,6 37:7 44:3	<b>NERICA</b> 172:13	Nigerian 121:15	213:1 225:11
N	47:11 48:15 50:16	172:22	122:1 126:6	230:3,7,12 231:14
<b>NAFDA</b> 127:10	51:8 54:13,21	network 134:4	127:13	243:17,20 246:20
name 4:7 103:15	68:11,12 104:1,9	206:21	<b>Nigeria's</b> 126:10	247:20 250:21
106:14 116:5	109:18 114:2	networking 130:11	<b>NIH</b> 47:18	nut 65:12
125:12 136:1	127:14 128:22	148:8 205:13	<b>nine</b> 10:19	nutrient 102:11
151:17 166:11	131:10 142:4	208:11	nitrogen 65:6 75:1	nutrients 68:8
203:14,15 259:6	145:7,16 147:12	networks 138:5	<b>Njuki</b> 131:19	<b>nutrition</b> 46:5,6,6
names 120:6	150:9,11 162:12	never 229:5 240:22	nomination 44:14	61:15 66:18 67:4
<b>NARO</b> 122:12	162:18 169:12	new 18:5 38:17	non 80:1 132:6	68:6 71:18 81:3
narrow 195:10	178:9 180:1 181:1	42:21 43:5 60:21	216:4	84:8 92:6,13,14
<b>NARs</b> 73:16,20	182:6 185:19	62:18 63:7 66:8	nonexistent 245:5	92:18 94:2,5,18
75:13,20 212:2	188:10 190:8,9,9	78:5,12,12 79:1,3	non-focus 21:15	95:20 96:12
<b>nation</b> 8:16 151:7	195:19 197:5	79:9,15 80:7	non-government	106:10 123:2
national 66:9 70:19	198:14 213:17,21	86:22 95:11,13	234:22	131:22 192:1
70:19 71:3 72:6	214:2,4 215:5	101:21 105:11	non-governmental	nutritional 67:5
78:7 80:8 97:13	216:21 228:3	114:12 116:11,19	10:2	101:17
97:22 122:12	235:8 237:19	116:20 136:22	non-researchers	nutritious 84:8
127:8 153:16	244:3 246:21	140:10 142:6	59:11	nutshell 185:17
162:4,10 172:18	247:14 248:14	149:19 150:1	noon 256:18	
175:11 177:1	252:16,19,20	165:3,22 181:1	normally 150:16	0
233:17,18 237:1	253:19 256:19	184:13 185:4	Norman 121:17	<b>Obama</b> 4:17 13:2
249:4	257:19 258:2,10	189:18 205:20	norms 189:9	15:2
nations 165:14,19	258:21 259:11	224:6,11 227:14	north 45:2 74:15	objective 86:10
166:3,9 170:7,19	261:2,13 262:1	228:20 229:15	<b>notably</b> 174:6	objectives 10:5
171:8,9,15 249:3	265:3	239:16,22 242:8	176:9	30:21 32:5 177:20
native 218:12	needed 14:19 16:2	244:14 249:22	notes 86:1	201:1 252:12
<b>natural</b> 108:21	37:11 213:9	250:3 252:4 253:6	noticed 36:19	obligated 82:10
127:17 131:12	246:14 248:20	257:1 258:3,9	227:4 251:8	observations 21:16
187:18,20 192:1	needing 170:20	265:7	<b>notion</b> 105:3	observed 33:21
206:2	needle 73:9	newest 186:22	187:22 188:20	176:12 255:20
nature 42:5 47:9	needs 21:1,2 22:11	newly 116:6 156:8	193:6 194:17	obstacles 32:22
207:1 208:16	23:12 32:22 91:13	news 181:21 257:1	195:18	33:10 60:19
246:7,8	102:4 104:7 107:9	NGO 258:7	<b>November</b> 227:18	<b>obtain</b> 204:16
near 104:22 106:1	107:10 111:14	NGOs 31:17 117:2	nowadays 214:21	obtained 126:1
241:11	140:20 173:21	203:3 206:19	246:11	obvious 87:16
nearer 62:8	178:8 180:8,10,16	256:11	NSF 47:18	obviously 34:11
nebulous 166:11	182:7 190:17	nice 58:1 236:6	number 9:9 70:21	41:22 44:3 89:3
necessarily 63:20	213:6 215:17,18	<b>Nielson</b> 2:19 218:9	72:4 134:4 153:7	104:21 105:4,21
67:22 69:13 71:20	217:1 222:18	218:11,19 253:5	165:21 175:13	234:17 235:17
83:1 108:15	226:3,5 236:14,17	NIFA 66:9 236:16	176:19 178:20	236:2,15 260:9
	220.3,3 230.17,17	11411 00.7 230.10	170.17170.20	,
	I		1	I

051.15	24 11 20 0 16	0 107.17	107.10	42 20 17 2 25 1
occur 251:15	34:11 38:8,16	Oregon 107:17	overhead 87:10	part 3:20 17:2 25:1
occurred 38:4	39:6 42:14,15	organic 71:15	overlap 180:2	28:22 42:1 48:17
occurring 46:7	45:9 78:20 112:18	organization	251:8	53:22 70:13 77:4
occurs 110:4	online 41:12,17	122:13 132:7	overlooked 109:6	84:4 88:7 90:9,19
October 1:8	on-farm 123:7	138:16 153:17	255:16	98:12 107:20
offer 3:10 36:7	124:21	154:22 202:3	overnight 231:5	109:16 121:8
205:8,12 246:10	on-site 131:7	213:11	overseas 84:18	123:7 124:14
offered 118:3 217:4	on-the-job 149:6,9	organizational	236:18 241:8	136:17 143:14
offering 216:12	open 40:10 43:15	175:7 201:21	overview 201:7	145:8 154:17
office 6:13 19:12	49:10 54:16 56:19	206:15	Owens 5:2 33:16	181:19,20 188:1,7
20:12 53:19,20	91:21 100:17	organizations 10:2	39:3 41:2 52:20	188:21 191:5
57:1 131:3,18	263:11	72:5,7 97:11	owner 251:3	192:18 198:19
236:15	opening 2:2 42:5	99:22 114:11	ownership 174:15	199:1 200:14
Officer 172:20	operating 92:19	134:7 158:16,18	o'clock 7:6 115:15	207:19 208:1
offices 95:5 139:15	operation 250:19	185:16 203:3	115:19	213:13 235:7,14
139:15 150:4	operational 85:12	255:3	P	250:9
<b>official</b> 136:6	operations 178:8	organization's	pace 29:4	participants 161:7
of-state 210:15	<b>Opit</b> 125:16	202:5 206:22	_	participate 100:14
oh 41:13 191:20	opportuned 155:9	organized 131:1	pack 248:17	147:7 157:13
207:22 232:3	opportunities 6:22	158:15 163:15	packaging 89:17	218:20
<b>Ohio</b> 73:17 103:16	65:5,10 73:1 74:6	226:3 228:21	91:6	participated 42:3
okay 7:13 28:12	75:2 92:19 111:8	organizer 174:18	packing 240:10	100:11 240:2
37:12 41:19,20	157:9 161:4 162:1	organizers 164:16	<b>PAEPARD</b> 183:5	241:1
53:8,12 58:8 60:4	204:14,20 205:13	173:14	page 76:1	participating 17:1
82:19 86:11 88:4	206:9 209:18	orientation 239:10	pain 91:10	205:19
100:16 107:14	257:14 258:9	<b>OSU</b> 125:20	<b>Pakistan</b> 70:13,16	participation
114:16,19,22	opportunity 5:13	Otitodum 2:10	Pakistan's 70:18	115:22 231:6
120:4 121:13,13	5:15,16 6:17 8:8	Otitodun 121:13	panel 1:13,18,22	240:18
122:3,14 125:2,2	36:11 45:20 63:10	125:11,13 129:10	2:7,14 8:2 22:4	particular 25:22
135:3 137:12	69:20 92:2 101:1	146:9 155:2	117:6,21 118:20	142:6 163:4
139:3 144:2,6	107:2 112:16	outcomes 67:15	122:19 163:22	174:20 186:1
146:2 154:13	115:21 116:13	96:12 260:3	164:11,11,13,22	227:9
156:10 160:7,12	118:1 123:18	outlets 132:20,21	171:22 173:13	particularly 8:20
187:4 191:3	131:5 134:19	<b>outline</b> 20:14,15	186:8 194:20	9:20 10:12 12:9
218:19 221:17	135:19 138:6,11	output 245:10	241:15,20 261:11	20:21 24:14 45:18
267:20	138:14 156:5,12	267:6	265:14 267:13	48:17 57:11 64:19
Oklahoma 125:14	173:15 205:3,8	outputs 260:3	panelist 119:15	65:8 96:11 104:4
125:16 129:7,11	206:14 212:15	outreach 209:13	panelists 119:10	168:2 174:3
<b>old</b> 6:3 14:3 18:8	213:17,20 214:3	239:13,19	141:14 151:14	186:16 188:17
38:16,16 42:19	215:21 216:14	outside 157:18	156:13 160:12	191:11 192:12
56:13 229:14	217:14 218:20	158:5 195:12	163:19 164:7	200:3 242:17
old-timers 3:17	223:4 240:1 241:1	196:6 219:19,21	paper 25:19 26:12	partner 66:7 77:15
ones 9:21 151:21	247:7,18 260:4	outsiders 226:9	paragraph 14:22	203:17 204:10
189:2	options 55:18	overall 22:10	238:22	229:6,8
one-way 253:9	<b>order</b> 114:2 127:1	108:18	paraphrase 244:8	partnering 64:4
one-week 240:15	142:8 170:16	overdue 216:7	parcel 89:8	69:12
<b>ongoing</b> 18:11,19	172:18,21	overflowing 219:18	parceled 90:12	partners 11:16

59:11 62:12 70:19	peace-loving	128:12 250:13,18	pillars 226:4	212:10
71:3,4 72:2,3	170:15	perishable 109:13	pilot 198:10	players 202:17
73:13 75:9,11,13	peanut 83:12	person 63:5 149:18	<b>Pioneer</b> 240:21	players 202.17 plays 202:6
75:20 77:1,3,3,7	pedagogic 143:2	155:6 218:16	place 19:14 21:3	pleaded 263:15
79:21 82:2 84:7	Penn 65:16,20 82:5	247:16 258:10	22:5 39:9 55:21	-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			please 164:6
90:16 98:1,8,22	penning 84:12	personal 251:1	56:6 90:3,15 112:13 127:10	224:13 228:4,5 263:17
100:4 105:4	Pennsylvania	personally 81:7		
107:11 112:3	167:19 258:16	118:7 163:19	143:11,20 161:12 190:5 192:3 197:8	pleased 65:3,14
117:1,4 119:1	<b>people</b> 9:20 10:19	178:22		102:20
181:17 202:22	26:21 34:6 51:10	personnel 175:14	262:6 263:6 264:9	pleasure 56:21
206:17 219:14	54:14 55:3 60:9	175:15,17	265:8	122:19 173:11
222:13 226:1	64:11 65:21 66:1	perspective 5:13	placed 208:5	238:16
228:13 233:10	76:9 84:20 86:15	7:6 48:3 52:15	places 79:17 86:1	<b>pledged</b> 16:13
236:19 239:22	86:21 87:8 95:2,5	56:14 146:10	<b>plain</b> 230:9	plenty 26:4 85:6
248:19 253:2	97:15 99:7 104:9	148:18 193:14	plains 69:4	112:16 171:12
261:5	108:13,14 133:16	196:15 201:15	plan 30:15,17	plus 33:20
partnership 9:22	138:4 142:22	215:18 246:1	31:19 32:4,5	point 14:16 32:11
48:13 69:8 89:21	149:17 152:2	perspectives 2:7,14	88:12 92:5 165:18	49:22 62:4 70:12
90:5,9 91:11	153:7 154:12	8:2 11:12 13:21	180:21 198:20	70:22 88:1 98:9
99:12 148:21	161:2,10,15,16	117:7 164:12	203:20 207:20	102:7,8 103:13
159:10 208:9	162:2,14 169:19	<b>pest</b> 89:7	228:14 230:21	105:16 144:9
222:19 223:2,3	170:6,11,21	pesticides 126:18	231:2	159:7,15 161:6,8
232:13 249:4,6	192:22 194:1,2	126:18,20 128:16	<b>planet</b> 187:19	165:9,13,21,21
250:2	195:3,4,6,11,14	128:20	planned 181:14	190:2 196:4 211:8
partnerships 68:18	197:3,17 220:3,20	pesticide-resistant	planning 21:12	236:9 243:15
69:16 99:20	221:8 222:4 223:5	127:6	33:16 51:18 65:22	247:10 250:22
113:18 148:20	225:2 226:13	<b>pests</b> 65:7,9 127:16	174:7 197:18	<b>pointed</b> 15:2 112:2
183:6 206:18	230:15 243:17	128:13 253:15	203:11 224:9,10	194:4,19 199:15
parts 251:10	245:4 258:5 259:1	<b>Peter</b> 263:15	224:14 225:18	209:17
pass 216:2	259:16	petroleum 108:5,7	<b>plans</b> 33:8 98:3	<b>points</b> 159:3
<b>path</b> 52:10 152:19	peoples 170:15	<b>PhDs</b> 175:16	174:6,7 181:10,11	165:12 243:3
153:3 154:4	percent 83:5,9,16	phenomenal 44:20	181:15,20 203:19	poisoning 127:4
Patrick 102:18	83:21 84:3,9,11	philanthropists	225:13 226:6,7,18	policies 254:15
251:21	101:11 109:9,12	178:11	228:8,8 231:12	<b>policy</b> 5:7 6:13,21
pattern 232:22	109:14 126:14	philosophy 239:4	<b>plant</b> 57:5,14,17	13:12 21:6 26:12
<b>Patty</b> 233:16	157:22,22 158:1,2	<b>phone</b> 19:9	66:22 83:15,22	57:2 61:14 66:18
Paul 6:22 45:18,20	177:1 207:10	<b>phones</b> 139:18	101:2 125:21	79:1,4 81:3 83:20
80:12,20 111:20	percentage 82:12	physiology 122:8	126:4 162:11	110:20 142:18
112:17 166:19	258:19	124:9	172:4,7,8	176:7 186:21
Paula 5:8	percentages 101:10	<b>Ph.D</b> 121:2 150:11	planting 128:21	224:10,14
pay 70:15 73:12	performance	218:11	<b>platform</b> 183:5,10	political 24:13 26:6
141:2 150:17	129:19,20 137:20	piece 66:7 250:8	206:16 209:5	26:20 189:6,9
paying 69:13	139:6 147:5,10	pieces 76:2 113:1	platforms 137:7	262:18,19
payroll 87:9	158:12 201:20	piggyback 96:22	139:22 160:5	pool 171:4 249:22
pays 197:8	202:2	pillar 179:4,7,7	play 80:13 183:18	pools 215:22
peace 165:11	period 6:16 56:19	191:12,12 225:16	184:2,5 217:17	poor 60:10
171:11	100:18 109:21	225:16	played 8:22 90:20	population 10:18
	100.10 107.21	220.10	p.u., cu 0.22 70.20	population 10.10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

217:9	practice 166:8	pressures 24:14	probably 32:18	productive 68:7
portfolio 59:17	practices 103:6	prestigious 255:5	76:11 100:12	220:7
60:22 81:18 83:17	111:12 207:8	Pretoria 135:8	105:17 143:14	productivity 10:21
103:19 108:18	252:6,14	pretty 242:10	144:20 196:2	31:6 60:20 61:11
109:16	practitioners 252:9	preventing 186:4	241:12,14 259:13	83:9 96:13 99:6
portfolios 110:19	252:22	preventing 100.4	265:20 266:11	109:17 113:10
Portuguese 136:7	precipitated	126:16 155:5	problem 51:11	264:17
posed 170:2	176:14	previous 60:7	62:17 65:8 87:7	products 108:4
position 29:5 46:13	precise 129:12	194:20	87:12 127:2,5	121:15 122:2
202:11	preeminent 170:7	price 65:1 117:11	137:14,16,17	126:7 253:18
positions 212:8	prefer 130:3	prices 10:13	147:9 162:20	profession 136:10
positive 36:17	150:17	pride 220:11	178:21 196:7	professional 125:8
190:18	preferably 145:18	primarily 77:1	221:5 223:16	130:19 135:15
possesses 170:5	premise 23:3,6	235:15	247:12,12 248:1	147:21 155:14
possibilities 199:6	preparation 200:17	primary 37:1 184:1	249:15	161:21,22 163:8
possibility 77:16	prepare 215:2	201:15 247:19	problems 16:2	182:10 208:4
147:20 192:11	prepared 21:5	256:6	126:19 127:8	224:9 252:19
possible 34:7 44:9	158:13 229:11	<b>primitive</b> 170:1	134:14 210:7	254:6 256:12
92:17 120:6 188:2	preparing 258:5	principal 43:22	222:14,16 242:16	professionalism
232:17	prerogative 164:21	45:12 255:11	process 6:9 21:20	161:19
possibly 73:2	present 1:20 25:10	principle 203:13	22:3,12 35:8 39:9	professionally
189:16	190:20 201:9	principles 225:13	40:12 44:3,14	118:7 155:13
post 101:9,14 107:6	207:7 211:22	prior 53:20 104:20	51:18 58:15,17	professionals 225:1
109:4,6,10 110:4	247:1	240:13	59:5,9 60:7 85:16	225:14 226:9,17
110:14 111:9,10	presentation 53:11	priorities 29:12	86:20 97:16	professor 8:4 18:17
111:18 126:11,16	56:18 85:10 89:21	36:19 51:17 60:18	105:10 161:20	163:5 186:11
137:16 143:16	100:22 107:18	64:6 65:19 181:14	163:7 164:4 168:7	193:2,3,21 195:21
146:5 198:14	187:13 188:22	190:6 191:15	174:3 191:19	195:22 200:1
208:10,17	199:21 200:18,20	prioritize 190:10	196:5 202:3	219:20 256:18
posted 39:1	201:1 208:12	prioritized 58:22	225:19 228:6	258:18
potato 138:8,9	252:2 254:2	priority 16:2 22:21	230:22 262:22	professors 193:8
potential 16:1 35:6	presentations	23:18 24:1,6	processes 8:9 9:11	198:17 217:15
49:5 63:10 64:9	101:8 244:7	61:18 81:6 103:10	1 -	237:8 240:5
74:16 86:22 208:4	presented 32:13	177:14	46:3 47:1 51:15	professorships
257:13	58:3	<b>private</b> 10:1 15:8	58:4	194:2
potentially 90:7	presenters 254:2	22:9 31:17 46:18	procurement 13:11	program 6:10 9:7
potentiate 96:21	presently 127:13	48:14 71:3 97:2,4	21:9	11:19 12:3,7,17
poverty 4:13 60:8	<b>President</b> 4:1,8,17	98:22 100:1 112:5	<b>produce</b> 132:13	13:5 16:7 21:12
68:5 170:1	15:2 165:9 169:8	113:20 114:1	137:9,12 170:22	43:20 56:18 63:6
powder 127:10	172:19,22 187:6	117:1 134:8	producers 176:5	66:9 70:20 72:12
power 48:21 90:8	Presidential 53:21	140:14,14,18	product 40:12	73:4 78:13,20
168:22 171:2	187:7 234:17	171:13 178:11	42:14 125:19	79:1,2 80:7 92:12
powerful 47:17	Presidentially-ap	203:4 234:22	176:5	93:12 94:20 95:16
49:7	11:9	254:11 255:1,2	production 60:3	95:21 96:5 120:16
powerhouse 63:17	presidents 174:8	257:2,5 262:7	101:4,5 108:10,11	121:10,18 122:6
practical 56:8	President's 167:13	privilege 147:6	122:8 123:15	122:10,11,17
practically 245:5	press 32:19	<b>Prize</b> 115:5 172:3	124:8 172:14	123:7 124:16
	I .	1	1	1

120 17 120 0	100 10 100 11	15616	1	102 22 104 10
129:17 130:8	192:12 198:11	<b>proportion</b> 156:16	pulses 65:15 254:3	103:22 104:18
132:8 140:6	199:9 203:7,10	<b>proposal</b> 145:4,9	purchase 127:1	105:5 106:22
146:10 147:7	208:6,14 209:6	proposals 194:19	<b>Purdue</b> 3:13 8:4	112:22 137:11
148:1,2 155:7	216:13,16,20,21	propose 32:1	39:17	152:12,21 153:15
156:9 157:8	217:4,8,11,17	proposed 25:15	purpose 76:22	154:20 164:20
159:16 162:5,10	220:20 221:2,3,9	165:11	136:22 201:19	177:10,12,15
165:9,17,22 167:4	224:4,5 232:18,19	prosperous 170:3	202:1 203:9	232:4 243:8
167:7 171:14	233:1,18 234:10	protect 128:11	purposes 25:11	244:10 261:12
178:4,5 180:12	236:16 237:14,22	protectant 126:4	<b>pursue</b> 143:18	questions 103:14
181:6 182:11,14	238:3,4 239:14	protectants 128:10	150:19 151:3	106:19 110:10
183:1,4 184:13	244:15 245:21	129:1	pursued 30:17	139:1 141:13
198:14 202:16,20	247:22 248:18	protecting 177:18	pursuing 32:4	151:13 153:12
209:14 212:5	249:13 251:16,17	protection 101:3,3	119:14 150:14	156:13 160:11
215:8,20 217:21	253:21	125:22 127:15	255:9	186:9 241:15,20
218:5,18 223:20	progress 9:14	<b>proud</b> 35:3	<b>push</b> 54:5 107:4	247:5
232:20 233:17	24:20 26:9 29:18	<b>provide</b> 5:16 15:12	219:4 223:12	quick 62:13 266:16
236:19,22 237:5,6	30:9,19 31:21	19:4 21:22 22:14	230:17 232:16	quickly 30:21
237:20 238:3,15	32:4,20 46:11	28:17,19 29:17	233:10,13 262:13	104:7 156:11
239:15 242:20	85:4 96:9 101:16	39:8 74:22 107:1	<b>put</b> 25:8 50:8 76:2	<b>quite</b> 59:21 76:18
246:18 249:4	105:2 166:2 181:7	112:18 143:20	82:11 88:3 103:20	181:7 194:6
253:6 254:21	<b>project</b> 30:20,22	161:3 191:16	141:5 151:19	231:13 245:7
256:1 259:17,18	34:17,18 123:13	208:17 214:5	164:1 165:1	259:13 261:9
264:3 265:8	123:19,21 124:3	provided 15:3	174:22 180:7	quote 173:20,20
266:21 267:1,4	132:15 177:21	17:12 36:12 43:3	183:22 189:10	174:3 244:8
programmatic	203:17 207:1	161:3 200:19	204:18 226:6,7	<b>Q&amp;A</b> 2:12 7:22
102:15 103:11	208:1,22 213:18	provides 48:5	228:22 230:19	266:12
programming	214:1,18 231:3	104:11 120:17	231:2,13 232:16	
253:8	238:20 239:1,5	121:6 126:14	234:2 247:17	R
<b>programs</b> 2:3 6:12	240:3,19 241:2,5	131:8	250:3 262:6,10	radar 144:18
9:6 12:4,8,17 16:8	241:11 247:2,8	providing 19:20	263:5 265:8	<b>raise</b> 171:16
16:21 27:17 36:6	projected 10:18	79:7 80:10 222:8	<b>putting</b> 80:5 81:17	raised 23:14 107:5
36:22 51:3,13	<b>projects</b> 12:10,16	<b>public</b> 1:1 2:5 5:12	84:2 96:5,20	144:10 247:11
61:17 62:19,19	30:15 31:2,15	5:12,18 6:15 7:5	102:14 230:21,22	rally 168:22
68:3 70:19 78:6	203:10,22 205:3,8	17:20 42:6,6	264:9	range 13:14 15:11
79:8,9,16 80:1	209:1 214:13,14	44:16 48:14 52:10	P-R-O-C-E-E-D	68:11 175:18
81:2,2 83:1,2	214:21 215:4	53:14,22 55:1	3:1	207:12
92:18 95:11,14,17	229:12,15,15	56:4,19 68:1 71:3	<b>p.m</b> 267:22	rapid 52:9
96:8,9 98:2 103:2	232:5 235:20	83:18,19 91:22		rarely 222:4
104:3 105:11	236:5 243:11,18	100:1 256:11	Q	rate 208:20 211:14
115:3 118:20	prominent 173:20	259:8	<b>quality</b> 9:19 45:15	reach 141:22
119:22 120:2	promise 52:12	publication 210:6	52:4 175:15	read 14:22 99:17
131:21 141:22	promote 180:4	publications	177:13 182:9	169:15 195:10
142:6 143:5	264:17,19	147:13	220:14 256:6	238:22
147:16 151:20	promoting 48:7	published 26:12	quarterly 245:6	reading 32:18 40:4
159:17 165:11	260:19	129:16 187:2	<b>question</b> 23:15,17	ready 229:3,4
177:22 186:16	<b>prompted</b> 164:17	publishing 156:3	87:15 88:9,16,18	262:12,12,13
191:1 192:4,7,11	prompted 104.17	pull 44:13 193:22	89:1 99:14 103:17	263:11 265:9,9,9
1,71,172,1,7,11	Property 1111	F		
	<u> </u>	I	I	l

157.6.60.10	106.0 107.0 12		02-20-224-9	24.9.10.25.20.21
real 57:6 69:19	196:8 197:8,13	recommended 30:4	92:20 224:8	34:8,10 35:20,21
74:8 76:10 210:7	198:1,5,22 199:9	30:5	register 138:12,14	36:19 37:2,18,19
250:11 266:16	199:17 214:19,22	reconsider 10:14	regular 155:15	37:22 38:1,8,17
realign 51:16	215:4 217:7 221:1	record 27:12 107:5	regularly 29:1	38:18,20,22 39:5
reality 94:10	223:4 232:7 235:2	164:9 245:3	127:19	39:7 40:3,5,18
112:11	241:4,7 242:7	246:21	regulations 189:10	41:11,12,16,22
realize 28:8 40:22	244:17 245:3	recovery 165:18	189:20	89:15,19,19 100:9
170:17 221:19	248:4 249:15	redevelopment	reinvestment 236:3	234:3 267:10
realized 14:10	251:12,14 252:22	157:16	related 12:9,19	reported 34:10
140:16	253:4,6,8,10,20	redirect 58:18	38:5 121:9 137:11	35:8 128:15
really 8:12,15	258:8 259:10,15	reducing 10:12	140:11 159:16,17	reports 20:3,4
23:13 26:11,18	260:3 266:12	242:22 244:18	159:19 160:6	25:20 30:19 38:10
27:19 33:4 34:2	reappointed 4:16	reduction 186:4	165:15 245:16	44:19 245:6
40:19 47:10,22	reason 54:8 195:11	reductions 66:21	relationship 129:8	represent 48:8
49:6 50:16 52:7	223:20	redundant 89:1	130:12	109:3
59:16 61:7 65:20	reasons 23:20 26:6	reexamining 35:5	relationships 207:2	representative 50:9
66:2 68:5 73:12	30:10 32:20	referred 37:17	251:9	50:11,17
79:16,19 80:4	147:19 195:3	68:21	relative 168:2	represents 42:1
81:16,18 82:1	223:10,14	referring 175:5	231:9	63:15
84:19 85:21 86:4	recede 75:7	refinement 59:14	release 151:15	request 15:18
86:4 88:14 90:1	received 172:17,20	refining 85:5	released 148:3	19:11 28:22
91:8,12 93:7,22	200:16 243:6	reflect 9:2 98:2	relevance 134:18	168:11
95:6,8 97:3 99:4	250:16 259:16	118:6 119:17	134:21	requested 17:2
99:13 100:7	receives 131:2	142:2	relevant 166:16	20:1 22:6
102:13 103:9	161:18	reflected 200:20	198:3 213:16	require 216:2
104:22 108:11	receiving 108:4	264:22	reliance 126:10	248:17,22 257:16
109:15,18 114:10	141:6 148:16	reflecting 264:10	206:3	required 37:18
114:14 117:5	149:1	reflects 64:6 72:10	relieve 170:6	174:12
119:22 126:19	recipient 120:8	94:9	rely 225:2	requirement
128:5 129:18	135:5	<b>reform</b> 13:12	remains 221:12	245:20
130:5,7 136:7	recognize 47:12	194:14	remarks 169:8,14	requirements
137:2,10,21 138:5	48:15,21 49:5	reforms 13:2 21:9	219:2 223:21	245:22
140:18 141:22	51:7 71:11 115:10	refresh 58:15	remediation	requires 162:18
144:3,9 147:7,12	221:7	refreshing 42:5	257:16	163:7
147:17,21 148:3,5	recognized 24:8	regard 67:7 105:7	remember 61:2	requiring 10:19
148:16,20 149:16	209:10 250:2	105:8 242:13	66:19 97:17	research 2:3 6:12
149:21 150:22	recognizing 24:10	regarding 153:14	133:13 263:14	6:13 7:17 8:20
152:15 153:22	44:17 48:1	regards 89:17	<b>remind</b> 169:16	12:4,8 17:22 21:1
155:10,12 156:4,6	recommendation	107:7	reminder 9:2	22:8 38:18,19,21
159:12 160:15	21:21 31:7,10	region 69:11 73:3	remove 33:9	39:21 40:2,7 42:2
167:5 168:1,6	43:19 52:21 53:1	74:7 75:11 158:21	<b>repeat</b> 169:9	42:15 45:5 46:3
169:4,10 171:14	recommendations	211:16 218:10,22	171:18	46:11 47:17,21
171:20 177:4	15:13 32:10,13	regional 72:6 73:4	repeating 27:8	49:7 52:3 56:17
179:1 188:20	33:2 36:13,14	78:8 158:16,18,18	replace 198:20	57:1,11 59:1,6,17
190:1,2,12 191:3	118:17 119:20	185:11,15 194:10	report 12:13 18:7	60:1,22 61:3,13
191:5 193:13	148:14 168:13	225:6 262:20	20:7 29:20,21	62:11 63:17 66:18
194:14,20 195:3	225:14,15	<b>regions</b> 11:3 31:6	30:3 32:7,14 33:6	69:15,18,21 71:20

72.7 77.9 70.1 0	266.7	magnested 40.1	211:14	224.12 225.4
72:7 77:8 79:1,9	266:7	respected 49:1	*	234:12 235:4
81:14 82:21 83:1	researchable	200:6	reveals 8:15	259:11 Pakara 56:22 57:2
83:2,21 88:12	110:21 111:13	respective 145:1,19	reversal 183:21	Robert 56:22 57:3
91:9 92:7 94:18	researcher 120:10	145:22	reverse 74:1	106:5
95:14 97:8 102:12	138:7	respond 38:2 87:22	review 3:11 6:1	robust 14:18 31:20
102:16 103:2,8,18	researchers 42:6	91:21 92:2 110:9	44:14 52:20,22	45:14 Deals 52:19 55:16
105:2,11,15 109:8	47:16 65:17	112:21 140:19	59:14 201:8	Rock 53:18 55:16
110:16 113:16	117:16,21 120:19 121:21 130:3	153:11 233:20 242:12	reviewed 45:10 181:12	55:20
116:8 120:9,15	140:4 150:4 183:8	responded 228:10		Rockefeller 153:21 Rokel 172:21
121:8,15,19,22 122:2,6,13 123:1	183:9 199:16	_	reviewing 14:2 reviews 77:21	role 8:19,21 10:14
122.2,0,13 123.1 123:8,12,19 124:4	204:22 205:13	respondent 233:20 234:6	revitalization	11:9 14:11,17
123.8,12,19 124.4	204.22 203.13	response 16:13	167:14	16:20 17:14 34:20
124.10,21 123.19	217:15 237:8	29:9 38:6,12 39:7	revitalize 14:13	35:5 38:6 67:6
123.20 120.3,7,8	238:13	99:13 152:21	reward 44:9	80:14 105:8,9
130:3,4,7 131:4	resilience 61:11	197:9 254:7	re-engage 37:7	116:11,19,20
131:20 133:12,21	113:11	266:19	re-institute 43:20	168:3 202:6
136:4,5 138:18	resilient 83:4	responsibility	rice 62:20 68:15,15	212:10 217:17
139:14 140:17	resistance 64:2	126:9 154:18,21	69:14 72:17 75:5	roles 5:17
141:8 142:17	89:7 96:18	224:3	83:5 95:16,16,17	rolled 113:12
143:18 144:12,16	resistant 128:15	responsible 73:9	98:12 99:8 105:14	rolling 113:3
145:12,21 146:1	resolve 160:21	responsiveness	128:13 172:5,6,14	Ron 254:18
147:16 150:9	resource 108:5	17:13	rich 50:3 57:20	room 5:5 53:14
153:17 154:2	113:8,22 114:9	rest 80:11 156:12	76:8 151:11	85:6 119:2 139:19
155:4 156:1,5	197:10 202:10	160:11 162:7,19	259:20	220:2,4 223:5
159:9 167:4	206:2,6,11 242:3	241:13 265:20	richer 98:19	224:13 234:16
172:12 175:3,12	248:20 249:5,5,13	restructure 103:18	<b>right</b> 7:13 19:1	rooms 54:18,19
176:6,16 177:2	resources 17:12	restructured 59:17	32:11 49:15 51:16	roots 48:20
179:8 180:14	30:7 52:6 57:17	result 26:17 96:16	52:8 53:1 58:20	Rosenbrandt 112:8
182:6,8,16,19	57:19 63:18 66:12	results 20:5 24:16	72:13 73:20 82:9	rotation 111:6
183:6 191:10	73:10 80:6,15	36:17 105:1	85:2 96:3 104:5	round 141:15
194:3,21 196:6	81:8 82:15,20	128:18 138:18	120:5,7 122:16	royalties 141:2
198:4,4,5,14	93:4 94:21 95:10	140:18 142:17	123:14 141:20	<b>Ruan</b> 266:1
199:13 202:16	102:14 108:21	151:4 156:2	245:15 247:10,12	<b>Rubin</b> 249:20
203:8,10,12,19,21	109:22 113:11	250:11 260:2	254:5 260:11	251:2
205:12,16 207:15	123:10 132:14	retailers 133:5	263:16	rules 189:10,20
207:19 208:22	141:1,3,5 154:15	retention 175:8	<b>Ring</b> 82:17	260:1
209:11,13 210:3,5	170:9,12 171:5	188:1,5	<b>ringing</b> 118:12	ruminant 45:1
211:17 212:5	176:22 177:3	<b>rethink</b> 189:19	ripple 111:2	run 182:15 226:13
213:19 214:18	185:21 192:1	262:1	risk 150:20	rural 132:11 176:5
216:15,16 234:15	204:9,10,19	rethinking 189:18	risks 10:12 99:9	186:20,20,21,22
235:15 238:2	205:22 210:13	193:8	roads 190:8	219:1 256:3
239:7,13,21	232:7 248:18	retired 193:3	<b>Rob</b> 2:4 6:11,12	257:12
250:11 251:16	249:1,2,3,8,14	retraining 145:7	87:22 89:14 91:20	rushing 107:1
252:11 253:2,16	252:17	return 124:15,20	92:1 100:17,22	Russia 195:6
254:13 259:13	respect 92:4 142:19	129:9 144:14	105:8 107:3,18	<b>R4D</b> 203:9 209:1
260:19 264:13	197:16	153:5 208:18,19	224:13 230:14,17	
			l	

S	sciences 131:11,13	209:8 213:14	252:21 262:1,8	209:7
sacrifices 127:1	206:2	217:10	265:3	<b>Senykoff</b> 254:18
sacrifices 127:1 saddled 126:9	science-based	Secretariat 5:3	seed 97:2,4 123:4	separate 80:22
safe 127:14	31:22	185:15	138:8 176:10	separating 251:13
	scientific 57:20	Secretary 254:19	197:1 208:17	September 172:17
safety 129:2 SAGCOT 72:16,22	63:18 86:18	sector 9:18 10:1	252:4	series 250:3
Sahara 45:21 69:10	120:18 129:17	15:8 22:10 46:18	seeds 128:21	seriously 5:17,20
Sahara 43:21 69:10 Sahel 93:10	147:13 148:8	48:14 71:3 97:3,4	seeing 30:8 85:11	11:7 140:8 189:19
	166:1 170:8 182:9	98:22 108:12,18	188:3	serve 16:18 160:22
Sahelian 74:11	252:20	112:5 113:20	seek 257:12	served 34:20 57:15
sake 210:5	scientist 7:12,15	114:1 117:2 134:8	seeking 23:21	serves 209:4
salaries 178:7	116:6 121:22	140:14,15,19	67:15 92:19	service 12:7 53:22
salient 207:7 214:16	154:22 156:8	178:11 182:17	seen 74:17 89:19	56:4 114:4 117:17
Salons 1:10	scientists 10:6 32:2	186:1 193:19	93:6 94:5 102:11	121:16 155:5
salubrious 96:10	39:22 42:4 67:2	203:4 254:11	114:6 181:12	services 100:1
	93:5 120:16	255:1,2 256:11	sees 13:7	114:5 218:9
sandwich 216:16 244:14	130:10 133:13,16	257:2,5 262:7	segue 18:7	serving 16:6 34:13
	134:2,6 138:17	sectors 11:14	seize 258:9 260:5	35:6,16 36:5
Savannah 74:13 savings 172:15	146:14 150:21	234:22	selected 158:11	sesquicentennial
210:11	155:14,21 175:20	security 1:2 6:14	208:2 213:6	48:19
saw 15:22 45:15	178:20 183:12,13	7:2,15 9:19 10:16	selecting 104:9	session 5:12 6:20
130:6 133:20	194:9,16,18	17:9 18:5 24:7	self 126:10 169:12	7:6 8:1 9:13 22:6
198:16	196:11 197:15	57:2 63:14 64:10	206:3	43:7 45:17 49:3
saying 13:6 23:2	205:4 237:7 245:1	69:6 73:11 92:15	semester 240:20	55:2 115:17,19
24:13 93:21	scope 4:11 203:7	108:6 111:20	seminars 155:17	116:5 151:15
134:16 169:2	screening 80:14	116:7 121:9	198:13	163:14 234:12
219:21 243:22	season 93:16	183:11 186:3	<b>Senate</b> 23:11	236:7 241:13
264:2	seasoned 161:22	187:16 191:22	166:20 167:16	265:21 266:2,9
says 165:13 222:22	Seattle 102:19	see 4:3 5:22 6:8 9:7	<b>Senator</b> 53:20,20	267:14,18
226:2 239:4	251:22	11:14 12:13 33:9	167:19	set 13:2,17 28:17
scale 11:4 224:18	<b>Sebuwufu</b> 122:5,18	35:3 36:11 47:8	Senators 167:18	33:17 44:3 74:9
scaled 15:20	124:18 125:1,4	54:12 55:3 56:10	sending 50:9,10	103:6 190:5 250:7
126:13	144:7 153:14	58:16,21 59:18	153:6	251:10 254:20
scarcity 197:10	154:6,19	60:4,7 61:8,13	senior 5:7 7:16	257:22
SCARDA 180:13	second 3:4 12:3	62:9 63:8 64:17	116:7 120:10	sets 202:17 250:17
182:4,4	63:12 71:8 83:8	67:8 68:17 69:19	138:7 154:21	setting 6:4 9:1
scattered 179:20	86:13 90:19	70:1,8,12 72:22	259:7	106:7 153:16
schedule 39:11	103:22 108:3	74:3 76:2 79:15	sense 23:19 55:13	217:8
scheduling 33:13	142:18 155:6	80:21 81:21 82:1	55:17 61:1 63:15	settings 121:10
scholarly 186:17	165:17 166:5	84:13 85:1 91:7	68:1 72:9 75:12	seven 13:5,10
school 53:22 56:4	182:12 203:15	102:20 108:8	77:18 78:10 82:8	187:14
195:16	secondary 74:9	113:9,14 135:8	98:4 142:14	seventh 13:16
science 9:4,15 13:8	184:1 247:19	137:3 142:14	152:12 198:5	severely 11:2
13:14 83:20 93:20	256:7	143:22 151:13	214:12 219:3	<b>Shah</b> 13:3 15:1,3
13.14 83.20 93.20	secondly 111:19	152:13 168:5	232:11 260:5	15:10 16:12 29:6
200:5 236:21	201:21 204:17	184:10 204:3	sensitivities 87:17	30:1 78:14 115:4
237:4	206:15 207:18	236:6 246:2	sent 123:20 194:8	235:8 236:8
237.5				
		·	ı	1

<b>Shah's</b> 18:5	signing 140:21	smart 197:3	113:12 114:11	specially 119:19
shape 237:16	similar 79:11	snart 197.3 snobby 197:16	125:6,7 144:4	specific 39:11
shape 237.10 share 5:13 14:20	Simmons 104:16	sobering 248:3	191:14 193:4,16	63:13 67:22 70:6
20:17 39:13 46:20	104:16	social 67:1 83:20	197:12 203:22	79:12 80:3 87:19
50:15 122:19	simple 126:15	93:20 131:11	205:10 206:20	88:22 90:12 111:3
135:20 149:16	simple 120.13 simply 15:20	187:16 188:14,18	208:8 209:4,14,15	215:6 242:17
155:19 165:6	133:22 193:10	societies 138:13	208.8 209.4,14,13	245:17 246:18
190:11 216:9	simultaneously	189:13	214:17 215:21	specifically 27:13
254:6	220:17	society 11:5	246:12 252:13,13	30:3 31:21 32:12
shared 160:17	single 162:5	sociologist 187:11	252:20	50:13 83:3 106:10
239:12	sit 222:1	sociologists 187:12	sorts 110:6	115:17 124:8
	sit 222:1 site 67:22	190:14	sought 29:21	238:1
<b>sharing</b> 193:9 210:13 238:10		sociology 121:2	sought 29.21 soul 115:2	
239:18 249:8	<b>sitting</b> 117:13 219:18	186:11 195:16	sound 82:17	specificity 85:12 specifics 88:22
	situation 29:15		source 67:12 154:1	spectrum 182:7
<b>sharpen</b> 20:9 <b>shed</b> 247:7	148:22 174:1	socio-ecological 106:16	156:17	speech 169:15
shed 247:7 shocked 102:8		soft 176:11	sourced 127:20	speed 257:17
short 24:19 32:7	175:2 220:6,7 226:22 261:15	soil 71:14 77:11	south 61:22 69:2	_
			71:21 98:11 113:4	<b>spelled</b> 136:2
106:5 109:21 187:4 192:8	situations 63:20	sold 140:18		spend 60:6 77:22
	149:13 190:19	solely 204:4	113:15 136:17	240:20 241:3,12
shortages 11:4	six 126:3 six-seven 128:2	<b>solutions</b> 109:19	218:12	245:12
shortchanges 48:2		191:16	southern 8:14 54:2	<b>spending</b> 109:7,9
48:3	size 178:15 219:17	solve 137:20	71:10 72:8 74:12	238:17
short-lived 31:7	skill 140:6 170:5	162:20	158:20 Santhanat 122:0	spent 20:12 30:7
short-term 121:19	177:11 204:22	<b>solving</b> 196:7	Southwest 132:9	172:4,10 218:13
177:19 204:17,19	skills 118:1 120:18	somebody 161:18	south-south 208:9	240:8
214:13 215:3	124:6 140:5	somewhat 104:22	sovereignty 191:22	spices 127:21 128:8
260:2	142:17,19 143:2	son 258:15	<b>Spanish</b> 131:17	spillover 73:1
show 26:18 39:15	148:5 154:11	sons 256:4 258:19	speak 5:16 7:3	<b>spillovers</b> 74:6
89:18 95:6 108:19	176:11 188:10,13	soon 33:14,17	12:22 34:22 42:22	spoke 160:5 222:4
180:3 199:9 230:8	skip 78:11	250:20	107:1 164:14	227:20 235:4
244:3	sleeping 220:4	sorghum 63:6,7	234:9 254:5	sponsored 166:20
showed 87:3 243:5	slept 220:2	74:19 93:11,13,15	speaker 7:3 56:20	spring 28:14 81:9
showing 103:10	<b>slide</b> 61:7,16,19	93:17	199:22 200:8	<b>squeezed</b> 14:16
shows 24:20 220:9	66:16 77:20 216:7	sorghum-legume	218:8 261:17	Sseguya 2:9 120:22
220:10,18	slides 165:1	93:14	speakers 219:7	130:20 142:10
shrinking 52:2	slightly 60:11	sorghum-millet	220:18 234:8	156:14
side 102:11 228:20	<b>slipped</b> 190:21	62:22 83:6 266:22	speaking 4:10 56:3	St 80:12
229:3,7,8	slow 161:20	sorry 41:13 49:17	81:7 141:20 216:5	stability 177:16
Sierra 172:5,9,21	small 45:1 47:17	52:19 58:7,8	229:11	staff 5:4 13:19 16:4
signal 231:10	126:13 131:14	199:5 259:3	speaks 163:12	19:22 37:21
signed 181:8,9	176:4 178:16,18	sort 6:3 24:21 38:3	special 12:8 16:21	204:21 205:1,2,6
<b>significant</b> 28:3	196:21 223:7	42:20 55:13,15	16:22 62:2 76:7	205:14 212:4
156:16 159:4	231:8 251:3 252:6	56:13 61:7 62:2	117:20	staffing 82:16
176:8	254:15 256:5	66:8 78:1 94:6	Specialist 218:9	staffs 5:5
significantly 27:15	258:15	101:6 102:9 105:3	specialists 240:6	stage 92:16 150:13
178:12	<b>smaller</b> 252:10	105:20 106:1	specialized 171:9	153:8 218:2

staggeringly 224:2	statement 89:1	169:2 179:3,13,15	229:1	substantial 15:21
225:7	statement 65.1 states 8:18 17:21	180:22 184:15	struggling 242:6	66:11 77:9 83:10
stagnant 170:1	30:12 48:6,8 52:1	202:6 213:16	student 44:1,21,21	84:3,4 177:6
stakeholders 90:4	60:16 63:16 128:2	222:6,21 234:15	122:7 131:2,10	substantially 94:2
137:8 138:5	169:6 170:7	235:11,16 264:11	143:9 198:12	substantiany 54.2 substantive 20:5
142:13,20	stay 54:16 145:15	strength 37:16	students 44:2 121:7	42:10
standard 171:17	145:21,22 147:12	strengthen 148:8	146:6 193:17	sub-regional 97:11
217:3	162:4 169:18	165:15,19 183:17	194:3 196:1,1	success 90:22 114:7
standardized 250:7	188:6 229:7	185:3,14 186:1	198:3,17 199:17	182:2,10,18
standards 189:11	240:16	189:4	207:17 211:9,14	255:15 265:4
189:19	stayed 166:5	strengthening 10:6	212:7 213:3,14,20	successes 211:19
standing 219:18,21	stayed 100.5 staying 135:1	21:2 23:6 167:10	212.7 213.3,14,20	successful 212:12
standing 219.18,21 standpoint 39:5	136:16 147:10	173:18 175:1	215:22 216:5,14	successfully 231:2
69:6 119:5,5	164:5	176:17,21 177:8	217:1,6,13 219:18	Sudano 74:10
242:3	stem 80:11	177:15 178:5	220:1,16 238:19	Sudano-Sahelian
staple 109:11	sten 80.11 step 11:8 33:5 42:7	177.13 178.3	239:6 240:2,6	74:3
<b>staple</b> 109:11 <b>staples</b> 99:6	58:10	180:10,13,16,22	243:6 246:5	suffering 170:6
staples 99:0 start 3:12 58:12	steps 17:17 27:8	181:13,19 182:3	248:11,13 255:11	suffice 115:7
104:9 120:4	28:12 40:8 43:4	183:14,19 184:8	255:21 257:12,16	sufficient 115:11
122:15 142:10	55:14	184:15 185:6,18	258:19	180:19,20
160:9 164:10,22	Stillwater 125:15	247:15 263:4,8	students/staff	sugar 98:14
171:22 190:15	stop 22:15 102:17	264:6,14,20	204:15	O .
197:8 232:3	225:8 251:12	, ,		suggest 47:9 150:22 253:3
		strengths 35:19,21 36:12 199:8	studies 16:1,22	
250:15 256:15	stopped 193:4		19:17 20:3 97:13	suggested 197:12
258:9 266:11	storage 123:3	stress 62:20	97:14 122:16	suggesting 19:18
started 97:8 116:4	127:16 128:12	stressed 235:8	144:13 145:6	suggestion 43:18
204:8 226:10	253:15	stressing 236:8	146:19 148:4	44:8
starter 144:8,19	store 170:16	stress-tolerant	150:14,19 156:20	Sumatra 45:3
starting 27:7	stored 121:15	69:14	study 167:15	summarizes 138:20
173:12 230:10	122:2 125:19,22	strictly 152:9	175:13 211:10	summary 6:3 56:13
starts 197:22	126:6 128:11	striga 74:18,22	239:6,17 267:3	61:8
startup 230:19	stories 182:3 265:4	striga-resistant	studying 122:8	summative 38:4
state 4:2 8:15 35:1	story 32:6 182:18	74:19	142:22	summer 37:20
48:22 56:1 65:16	straight 7:20	strong 29:9 63:16	stuff 124:1 157:12	59:13,20 68:20
65:20 72:3 73:17	strains 127:7	68:18 71:2 76:16	stunned 101:9	124:19
79:2 82:6 103:16	strategic 51:18	135:9 185:22	stunting 66:21 86:4	supermarket 133:4
106:5 107:17	60:16 64:6 194:10	stronger 51:1	86:14 92:4,8	supplement 41:5
121:3 122:7,9,11	197:18 206:1	strongly 50:22	subcommittee 19:6	suppliers 140:3
122:22 124:19	213:9 247:6	81:12 89:20	19:7,8 25:6 44:12	<b>supply</b> 111:1 191:9
125:14,16 129:7	strategically 55:15	struck 25:7	subject 53:3 173:16	supplying 114:1
129:11 132:4	strategies 21:8	structure 31:20	174:20	<b>support</b> 5:6 12:4,7
140:10 149:20	26:16 58:5 104:19	129:5 130:16	submit 29:22	14:14 15:6 16:10
150:1 168:8	strategy 17:10 18:7	176:1 239:13	submitted 29:20	16:14,20 17:5
186:12 200:2	21:7 24:6 58:10	263:5,6	32:7 37:3	20:11,14 26:20
238:18 240:4	58:15 61:3 97:8	structured 124:17	submitting 194:18	44:6 64:11 65:15
241:5,9 258:18,20	103:19 105:1,20	structures 185:3,4	subscription	72:18 110:22
<b>stated</b> 36:20	107:8 110:16	185:5,10,13,15	145:17	121:6 122:6 128:5

121.2 0 142.5		40.2 60.2 0 61.14	110.9 10 122.15	229.16.220.0
131:2,9 143:5	surviving 145:6	40:2 60:3,9 61:14	119:8,10 122:15	228:16 239:9
144:4,5 151:20	Susan 5:2 33:15	64:10 67:12 68:6	130:15 173:7	teams 196:8 239:6
152:5,7,20 154:16	39:1 41:1 52:17	69:2,15 71:9	185:14 223:18	teamwork 140:5,5
165:14 167:4,11	234:5,9	72:18 79:19 81:4	227:4,6 230:16	196:7
174:15 177:14	suspect 258:16	84:2 89:2,5 90:21	231:19 235:2	Tech 35:3
178:3,5 191:1,13	sustain 99:6	91:4 94:17 96:5,8	236:20 240:1	technical 57:15
194:11 202:16	sustainability	97:2 111:4 113:12	264:14	170:12,16 205:1
208:11 211:5	29:16 60:20 64:21	143:19 175:12	talked 46:4 53:18	225:18
218:16 219:4,13	163:12 171:16	176:11 186:13	66:17 84:3 178:17	technicians 176:5
221:3 226:1	206:4	192:17	180:11 182:3,19	techniques 170:9
229:10 230:2,8	sustainable 61:17		182:20 188:10	technocrats 263:1
261:4 262:9,13,21	68:3 78:5 84:1	$T_{228:16}$	189:1 192:22	technological 171:5
262:22	92:11 95:11 96:13	table 82:11 84:5	198:7 227:10	technologies 61:13
supported 45:1,3	121:2 124:10	117:14 180:7	232:19 234:14	101:6 113:9,13
80:18 121:3	132:5 235:21	224:11 234:7	238:7 240:17	114:10 136:12
122:10 184:3,19	236:5	262:11	244:12,13,14	137:4,10 140:17
211:2	sustained 19:19	tackle 112:14	255:13 261:17	205:20 254:14
supporting 4:5	sustaining 71:17	tackie 112.14 tact 37:1	talking 18:8 34:20	technology 9:15
79:18 177:21	swept 44:22	tag 90:20	59:18 62:14 70:2	13:8,14 109:5
191:18 207:14	sworn 19:2	take 3:8 5:17,18	96:1 97:6 140:2	111:11,11 114:1
226:5 253:21	<b>Symposium</b> 117:11	14:2 19:3 26:15	152:16 177:9	120:10 121:18
supportive 157:19	synergies 104:2,13	27:7 35:11 38:3	178:14 180:22	135:10 136:14
supports 155:11	209:14 251:15	39:9 55:14 62:13	184:14,16 187:18	137:5 179:8 197:5
183:21 184:1	<b>synergy</b> 63:11	90:15,16 94:17	195:21 196:19	216:18
219:1	184:11	99:9 110:11	215:2 231:22	teleconference
suppose 243:6,10	synthesis 27:6,21	112:13 115:21	241:13 250:12	19:22
supposed 129:13	266:3	120:12 159:22	talks 75:15 78:3	tell 53:15 55:20
139:2 142:12	synthetic 126:17	164:21 169:14	tall 58:14	82:12 100:20
147:17 157:16,18	128:16,20	192:3 195:11,15	tangible 113:14	118:5 133:15
suppress 74:21	Syracuse 4:17	212:14 216:18	tangibly 96:7	175:19 176:3
253:15	system 29:13 34:14	222:17 224:3,4	<b>Tanzania</b> 61:22	187:10 243:22
sure 5:9 25:13 46:8	47:20 49:7 54:1 57:12 62:4,10	227:16,22 228:8	72:15 73:5,18	244:21 247:2
54:3 67:10 86:12	,	232:12 254:4	104:8 <b>Tanzanian</b> 104:4	telling 82:18
90:11 91:7 99:7	63:2 70:13 71:8	260:5 262:2		temporal 62:5
106:21 107:11	71:11,14 72:22	takeaways 125:8	tap 42:12	ten 133:12 178:19
125:1,4 148:7 151:2 155:12	74:2 75:2,5 77:14	taken 19:14 35:4	target 10:10 51:10 60:3 90:12 92:20	229:17 231:7 tend 25:18 187:12
	79:7,8 84:7 93:13	40:9 47:5 84:19	00:3 90:12 92:20 217:9	190:16,17 197:15
160:10,14 173:8 181:18 183:3	98:12,15,17 106:12 112:4	90:3,17 115:15	targeted 64:8 227:7	190:16,17 197:15 tends 162:10
191:17 207:13	156:15 157:13	178:9 226:17	targeting 123:9	tenus 162:10 tentative 85:15
		227:13 261:21	targeting 123.9 task 11:21 18:10	
234:15 263:7,16 surplus 137:14	176:17,18 177:2	takes 11:6 42:22		term 24:17,20 26:20 29:16 62:6
_	178:13 192:18	161:12	29:11,21 37:6	
surprised 19:16 105:12	194:11 197:9 200:5 202:3 243:7	talent 13:12 17:22	teacher 196:4	62:8 104:22 105:22 106:2
	255:16 260:17	221:8	teaching 238:2 239:7	185:1 192:14
surprising 179:11 survival 137:13		talk 26:16 28:20	team 3:20 84:19	185:1 192:14
145:11	systematic 250:7 systems 18:2 38:9	49:18 84:13 101:1	90:20 228:15,15	202:15 226:20
143.11	<b>Systems</b> 10.2 30.9	17.10 0 1.13 101.1	70.20 220.13,13	202.13 220.20
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I		1	I
246:14	234:5 241:16,18	102:15 107:5	153:19,22 154:6,8	256:21 258:6,11
terms 22:10 30:7	241:21,22 247:9	133:7 139:1	155:10 157:21	<b>third</b> 12:6 95:9
31:5,19 32:13	251:18,19 253:22	142:22 146:7	158:1,2,10 159:1	122:22 203:15
39:12 47:15 58:22	254:1 260:20	147:8 151:18	163:15,21 165:4	231:4
60:19 63:4 64:20	265:12,14 266:15	157:15 166:4	169:3,7 173:16	thirdly 206:22
64:22 67:15 69:16	267:7,7,13,20	169:9,17,18 178:9	175:9 177:3,10,12	thirds 95:12
69:18 80:9,14	thanking 164:6	182:1 187:14	179:3 180:1,8,19	thoroughly 8:19
83:3 88:12 92:16	thanks 29:6,6	191:21 195:8	180:20 181:21	51:14 181:11
93:8 96:12 103:11	41:19 50:20 84:16	196:20 198:10	183:18,20 184:2,4	thought 35:20
105:22 167:12	89:14 106:12	210:10 212:17	184:9 185:2,5,8	38:11 47:2 57:6
168:6 187:14,20	116:1 124:13	227:2 233:3 248:4	187:8 188:2,3,16	60:18 86:20
210:14 213:16	125:3 129:3 146:2	251:7 253:19	189:7 190:8 191:5	120:12 145:3
214:18 243:7	151:10 156:10	259:9	193:7,21 194:4,6	165:6 168:7
244:13 245:12,22	158:22 159:21	<b>think</b> 9:1 11:6	195:18 198:10	188:21 217:18
246:2,7,13,20	173:9 221:21	12:21 16:17 23:1	199:4,7,8,11,15	thoughts 135:20
247:3 248:16	243:3 259:5 261:1	24:5,11 26:14,19	207:9 209:16	200:19 216:9
terrible 64:3	267:12	27:1,9,12 33:12	216:6 217:12,12	248:3
tertiary 219:5	<b>theme</b> 117:10	34:17 37:8,9	219:6,9 220:6,9	thousand 92:10
228:15 247:21	118:12	39:21 40:15,18	220:10,17,18	<b>thousands</b> 232:5,18
253:7 256:8	themes 46:1 80:3	47:4,7 48:1,15	221:3,6,9,17,18	232:18
testified 208:12	thesis 210:3	49:1,4 50:2,21,21	221:22 222:12	thread 73:9
<b>Texas</b> 3:16 100:22	thing 14:1 24:21	52:1,15 55:1 58:2	223:4,10,11,11,13	threat 170:2
thank 3:21 4:5,19	27:22 28:3 40:22	62:5 63:9 64:7	223:14,18 224:6	three 19:10,10
5:5 7:21 18:21	66:5 70:22 75:17	65:7 66:2 70:11	224:12 228:19	35:16 61:2 69:11
22:16,19 33:11	80:13 86:13 94:3	70:15 73:21 79:13	229:6 230:14,17	76:21 78:5 83:17
35:13 37:12,14,14	95:18 96:3,6 98:7	79:20 80:3,19	230:19 231:4,12	94:16 95:1,17
41:21 44:7,11	101:6 139:4 145:2	81:15,19 82:13	231:16 232:16,21	116:14 129:13
49:11 51:4 53:12	147:2 153:5,6	84:10,21 85:2,11	233:3,11,22	191:13 202:17
53:12 55:9 56:11	156:4 157:17	88:14,20 90:3,13	236:16 241:14	203:5 206:8
57:22 58:20 85:7	158:8 161:8	93:15 94:22 96:2	243:21 244:11,17	210:20 229:14,16
85:9,16,17,20,22	166:15 168:16	96:3 99:1,3,13	245:8 247:10,11	three-year 214:21
87:19 88:17 92:3	190:13 211:7	100:12 101:15	248:2 249:11,15	thrill 8:13
100:16 104:14	225:7 227:22	102:3 103:9	251:12 252:15	thrilled 116:12
106:3,13,16,18	233:2 242:11	104:11 105:7,9	253:19 255:13,14	throw 34:5 254:7
108:22 109:1	253:4 257:11	106:6 107:3,12	256:19 257:3,4,8	thrust 31:8
110:13 114:16	things 4:11 20:2	109:15,20 110:2	257:19,20 258:1	tie 46:2
115:21 122:18	26:3,22 37:4,9	111:21 113:2	259:13 260:13	tillage 70:6
125:11 129:2	42:2 53:15 54:4	114:6 115:3,5	261:6,12,17,19,20	time 3:11,12 6:7
130:14,20 135:2,3	58:21 61:14 62:7	117:10 119:6	262:15 264:1,7,8	8:10,18 10:13
135:17,18 139:3	62:10 66:4,22	123:16 124:10	264:10,21 265:10	16:15,16 20:13
148:9 151:9,16	67:3,4,13,18	135:5 138:20,21	thinking 92:21	25:9,9 29:11 44:5
152:14 156:9	68:11,20 70:9	141:11 142:21	95:2 101:21 112:6	49:22 50:8 51:7
159:19 160:7	72:10 76:14 77:12	144:9,19 145:10	119:21 139:20	54:19 55:8,11
163:3,14,19 173:9	78:12 80:21 82:16	145:20 146:11	140:8 146:4,5	60:6 64:15 70:8
173:14 174:18	82:21 83:11 89:10	147:20 148:1	157:3,4,11 162:15	76:6 78:1 87:7
186:4,7 199:20	89:16 93:19 98:15	149:7 150:22	187:22 193:6	93:8 97:19 100:10
200:9 218:7,19	98:20 101:18,22	151:19 153:1,17	239:16 246:13	106:21 109:21

110:14 115:20	245:20	104:10,11 120:17	travel 239:10,11	two 14:22 32:17
124:15,19,20	told 122:21 133:19	123:21 124:6,7	traveled 240:3	50:12,14 58:17
128:12 130:11	216:7 226:11	145:3 146:15,17	traveling 254:17,20	69:3 76:20 86:1
134:8 141:11	tolerance 62:21	149:6,9,10,11	Treaty 57:17	92:9 95:12 119:11
143:7 159:2	65:11 89:6,7	152:3 155:11	tremendous 8:19	131:20 135:15
162:18,18 164:15	tolerant 65:13	158:14 162:10	9:4 34:13 39:19	139:10 167:3
164:17,19 167:8	71:16	192:2 201:9,16	42:1 48:16 101:15	168:1 176:14
167:14 169:10,14	tomorrow 22:5	204:13,18,19,21	Trends 6:22	201:15 210:10
170:4 178:1	265:21 266:9	207:6,11,16,18	trials 252:12	212:3 214:21
181:22 182:14,21	267:9	208:1 209:3 210:4	tribal 36:7	231:15
184:16 186:9	tomorrow's 237:16	210:11,17 212:6	trick 249:12	type 27:13 104:13
193:1 198:22	tool 227:16	213:2,13 214:8	tricky 111:9	246:7
199:4 211:7 212:8	tools 9:22 126:16	216:8 217:6,12,13	tried 85:22 99:13	types 118:3
218:1,1,13 219:3	225:15,17 228:5	217:22 244:19	222:10 224:17,19	types 110.5
219:12 223:11	top 44:2 61:9	245:19 246:5,20	225:3 244:22	U
229:21 230:1	185:10,11	250:8,17 251:16	trilateral 21:17	UC 57:7 109:5
234:1 241:4	topic 19:5 104:20	267:3,3	trimmed 80:1	Uganda 121:1
243:13 246:8	118:16	trains 206:13	trips 198:9	122:13 123:6,8,20
248:5 249:18	topics 121:9 198:2	trajectory 105:22	<b>Tropical</b> 131:16	124:16,20,22
250:13	topnotch 133:9	242:5	true 74:1 81:13	125:1 131:17
timely 15:12	145:18	transect 74:11	253:1	132:3,10 134:18
times 25:18 34:4	total 126:14	transfer 66:11	truisms 191:14	134:20,22 158:1
37:17 47:15 166:5	totally 44:22	120:11 136:15	<b>Truman's</b> 165:10	226:11 227:17
197:15 201:5	227:12 242:14	137:3,5	187:6	Ugandan 132:6
202:15 211:9	touch 43:5 153:7	transferred 138:18	trust 159:22	<b>UILTCB</b> 217:21
213:5,18 217:5,12	153:12 164:2,5	transferring	try 20:20 81:10	254:21,22
221:7	touched 42:19	135:10	97:15 99:4 100:5	ultimately 60:17
timing 39:12	trace 137:2	transform 120:2	136:8 165:3	umbrella 72:10
title 11:19 12:15	track 85:2 245:9	transformation	179:12 180:11	265:11
13:15 27:13 36:18	tradeoff 246:9	76:15 77:6 157:10	199:17 221:3	unaffordable
37:18 38:5,7	tradeoffs 214:10	transformational	225:8,9 228:17	126:21
40:17,21 41:3	traditionally 207:9	64:9	232:12	unanimous 44:6
117:10	train 149:22 154:8	transformed 263:7	<b>trying</b> 14:13 20:9	<b>uncommon</b> 219:16
today 5:1 6:19 9:8	154:22 157:12	transient 96:16	20:10 24:22 57:10	219:22
10:4 12:1 14:6	213:20 257:21	transition 56:12	73:8 93:2 113:5	uncoordinated
15:16 22:5 55:2	trained 145:9	116:10	137:19 141:21	232:22
95:6 115:6 166:17	161:14 162:15	translate 213:1	148:20 152:22	underdeveloped
168:19 169:10	192:22 195:4,5,6	translates 263:1	160:18 219:20	166:3
173:17 201:6	211:1,3,18 212:4	translating 240:11	220:12	underpinned
202:15 215:3	212:7 245:1,4	240:14	Tuesday 1:7	254:12
219:2,15 223:22	261:10	translational 60:1	<b>tuition</b> 210:15	understand 17:16
247:18 253:13	trainees 155:16	61:12	turn 18:18 38:15	51:2 52:17 88:19
255:7 258:15	208:2,5,18,20	transparency	53:10 81:16	147:8 191:12
259:10 260:15	209:7,8 211:1	105:5	101:14 119:14	222:10 235:11
262:16 264:10	213:5	transpire 22:2	130:15 135:4	243:1 245:7
today's 237:16	trainers 153:9	Transportation	151:12	understanding
TOEFL 216:2	<b>training</b> 9:5,10,17	6:21	twice 123:20	24:17 33:12
L				

164:18 165:7	255:4,5,7 256:8	205:9	126:17 127:15,17	136:10
235:5 237:18	257:18,21 259:9	<b>upped</b> 80:2	128:8 131:11,13	veterinary 135:7,9
understood 222:13	261:9 264:13	<b>upshot</b> 58:11	148:6 159:2,12	<b>viable</b> 104:22
222:16	university 3:7,14	upstairs 267:10	170:10 183:1	vice 216:17
undertake 11:21	3:17 4:2,18,22 7:4	upstream 69:15	190:11,15 216:18	<b>victims</b> 169:22
14:17 20:15 53:2	8:4 22:7 32:1	<b>upwards</b> 101:10	265:7	view 23:2 38:4 98:9
undertaking 29:3	34:21 35:2 41:4	<b>urban</b> 256:2	<b>useful</b> 82:14 119:22	100:8 182:1
45:5 51:20 159:16	45:2 47:12 48:2	<b>USA</b> 215:11	146:19 161:5	views 200:19
171:6	51:12 52:2 54:1	<b>usage</b> 126:18	162:8,16 187:8	Virginia 35:1,3
under-investment	57:8,8 59:10	<b>USAID</b> 1:1 2:3	usually 93:13	virtually 87:2
176:16	66:15 73:17,19	6:11,14 7:2 10:4	177:19	<b>virtue</b> 141:16
undoubtedly	84:17 103:16	11:10,15 12:13	<b>US-based</b> 134:1	<b>virus</b> 64:1
187:11	106:5 107:17	13:4,10,19 14:11	143:5 215:7	<b>vision</b> 15:4,9 173:6
unfaltering 165:14	112:4 117:3 121:1	14:15 16:4,11,18	utilization 67:5	237:18
unfortunately	121:11 122:22	17:14,20 18:3	69:19 178:2	<b>visionary</b> 166:8,10
15:15	125:15,17 126:2	21:9 22:22 23:19	<b>T</b> 7	visioning 28:4
UniBRAIN 182:12	132:4,6 135:7	24:2 27:18 29:6	V	visit 198:12 219:14
182:13	140:10 144:20	29:10 33:14 34:12	<b>valuable</b> 27:10	visited 55:19
unified 46:2	149:20 150:2	36:1,13,16,20,22	74:22 153:3	visiting 146:13
<b>Union</b> 156:18	153:15 155:3	37:3 39:20 42:3	value 71:17 72:18	visits 16:3
173:3 262:19	156:15 157:1,2,7	45:10,12,18 46:14	72:22 75:6 98:16	<b>vital</b> 10:16
unique 35:15	186:12,14 192:9	47:7 51:19 55:4	99:10 100:2 106:8	vitally 255:14
123:16 208:14	192:10 194:2,3	56:17 57:2,4,21	106:10,16 110:18	voice 5:19
unit 193:19 218:22	200:2 202:21	79:18 88:9 116:21	110:22 111:15	vulnerable 9:21
<b>United</b> 8:18 17:21	209:20 215:17	119:1 135:19	123:14 137:8	11:2 187:19
30:12 60:16 63:16	216:3 217:15	141:6 145:8	140:1 157:13	<b></b>
165:14 169:6	218:12,14 219:8	146:12 155:12	184:11 217:11,16	<u>W</u>
170:7 171:9	219:15 220:12	158:16,17 165:3	<b>valued</b> 65:1	wait 49:17
unites 95:16	235:12 238:18	167:8 168:7,11	values 189:10	waiving 210:14
units 203:11	240:5 241:6,10	190:22 198:11	varieties 74:19,20	walk 58:9
universe 117:15	246:17 248:13	210:11 217:21	97:1 252:4	walking 185:7
universities 8:20	255:11 256:21	222:1,4 230:3,8	variety 26:5 32:20	walls 25:19
8:21 10:1 13:15	266:6	231:12 232:9	46:7 114:7 199:18	want 11:7 14:7
20:22 31:12 36:4	University-Stillw	234:18,19 235:22	various 25:11 40:1	24:15 25:13 37:8
46:18 48:9,17,18	129:11	245:1,4,6 248:21	51:1,2 58:21	41:20 44:8 49:18
57:13 73:18 104:3	unleashes 202:1	251:4 261:9	101:7 104:2 110:6	50:16 51:15 52:17
143:19 152:6,7,8	unsatisfactory	USAID's 256:20	138:17 175:14	53:2 54:1,3,5,13
152:9 156:21	177:4	<b>USDA</b> 31:14 39:20	245:7	55:13 56:7,14
158:19 168:3	unskilled 127:3	57:13 58:4 64:4	<b>VEDCO</b> 132:7	62:9 63:3 66:5
178:4 180:17	unsustainable	66:6 80:9,9,13,19	vegetables 75:6	67:2,8 68:17
182:5,16 189:14	177:4	84:18 102:21	vein 17:6	70:11,18 72:9,13
203:1 204:8,16	unusual 256:1	121:14,16 233:19	ventures 184:22	72:16 73:20 76:6
208:7 210:14	<b>upcoming</b> 50:11	234:1,6,10,20	versa 216:17	78:1 84:16 86:16
212:2 217:4	update 18:18 29:18	237:3 243:14,19	versus 214:3 246:4	87:5,6,8,22 88:2
219:11 220:14,15	35:10	use 67:9 68:9,10	246:11	91:15 92:11 94:21
239:14 245:16,19	upgrades 206:13	70:5 113:22	vertical 94:6	104:5,5 107:1
248:9,12 249:6	upgrading 204:22	125:21 126:3,15	veterinarian	115:8 119:19

				I
120:1 134:14,16	45:22 46:10,21	198:12 240:4	182:21 184:13,15	151:9 220:5
135:4 139:4	52:5 61:1,20	weren't 225:9	187:18 191:19	women's 93:8
141:12 143:10,13	68:11,17 71:22	242:18 256:13	192:17,19 195:17	won 172:2
143:13,18 145:14	75:17 76:3,17	West 62:1 72:8	195:17 196:8,18	wonder 129:4
146:3 151:12	78:19 79:15 87:13	74:4 172:14	196:20 197:10	220:7 242:5
156:12 160:8,22	96:14 101:21	Westbrook 102:19	222:2 224:21,22	wondered 151:22
160:22 163:1,18	110:9 111:17	<b>we'll</b> 6:10,15 7:20	225:1 226:13	wonderful 7:19
168:16 169:14	113:16,21 127:16	7:22 8:10 37:15	242:5 250:12,14	11:16 44:7 45:7
190:20 201:2	129:8,20 131:1	37:22 38:13 55:6	257:3 267:9,16,18	87:21 104:12
221:20 233:2	136:2 137:4	63:8 70:7 75:11	<b>we've</b> 6:1 24:8	152:13 265:13,14
241:21 244:6,8	139:13,14,17,17	78:7 81:1,10 82:4	34:18 40:16 51:22	wondering 101:13
246:14 250:22	139:19 143:15	91:21 95:16 100:9	52:5 56:12 58:10	101:19 108:12
254:1,4 258:8	144:21 150:17	100:10 102:7	61:6 75:9 81:17	244:16 259:15,18
259:2 262:10	158:6 163:15	110:21 113:14	81:18 84:22 85:16	260:4
264:17,18 265:8	164:2 194:15	125:3 165:13,17	95:2 99:5 102:11	word 3:10 61:12
wanted 14:1 25:5	207:22 213:6	165:19 186:8	110:3,3 113:15	119:11
35:9 38:17 42:20	223:16 224:6	207:22 250:20	114:6 164:12	words 67:10 119:16
43:5,11 49:10,21	225:21 226:12	266:11 267:19	181:18 182:11	129:5 213:2
56:15 78:10 82:7	229:5 243:21	we're 4:3,22 9:8	188:9 192:21	work 4:12 5:6 11:1
104:17 118:4	245:9 262:22	14:6,13 17:15	222:13 229:21	11:13,13 14:6
148:17 159:4,7,14	ways 5:6 29:12	18:8 20:10 25:1	232:14 247:13	15:21 16:5 17:19
160:15 161:6	33:3 41:7 42:9	27:2,4 28:9 32:21	252:15 261:21	18:19 29:5 35:17
163:2 165:3 187:5	46:22 80:16 98:5	33:16 34:3,11,15	263:5 265:1,3	36:1,16,21 37:1,5
200:11 211:8	171:20 190:10	46:20 47:1 48:7	<b>whatnot</b> 256:16	37:10 41:4 43:1
212:14 216:9	197:12 244:18	50:13,16 51:16	wheat 62:20 83:5	44:17 45:2,7,9,16
217:19,20 241:4	249:7 252:8	52:2,3,4,9 54:7,7	95:19 98:12,19	46:17 47:7,13
243:2 251:22	258:21	54:10 56:15 61:4	99:8 159:17	49:6 54:7 62:10
wants 228:21	weakness 178:14	62:14,18 63:22	Widders 65:19	62:22 63:8 66:13
242:12	weaknesses 176:12	64:2 66:20 67:15	254:1,3	66:18 67:2 72:16
<b>warmly</b> 171:7	wealthier 60:11	68:2 70:2,16	wide 103:6 199:17	73:2 74:5,18 77:5
Washington 28:5	weather-related	71:22 73:3,8	207:11	77:13 79:12 80:19
39:18 102:19	10:12	75:17,19 76:1,17	<b>widely</b> 216:11	82:5 83:14 85:4
222:5 231:17	<b>web</b> 39:1	77:7 79:6,17,20	widen 206:21	85:13 90:6,9 93:7
wasn't 103:1	website 12:12	81:16,19 82:10	WILLIAM 1:16	95:3 106:6 107:11
160:14 230:15	week 22:3 41:18	85:2 87:6 91:14	willingness 48:16	109:18 110:21
235:6,8 242:14	101:1	92:19 93:2,11,12	wins 111:6	112:9 114:3
258:15	weeks 32:9 192:7	95:1,7 96:2,4,6,19	win-win 148:22	116:13,19,21
<b>waste</b> 110:4	240:8	97:20 99:3,19,21	152:17	118:9 123:5 124:9
watched 110:3	weevil 128:13	100:5,7,13 101:18	<b>wisdom</b> 133:18	126:3 130:7
watches 219:9	Weisenfeld 7:1	105:12,14 106:20	wise 190:6	132:15 134:14,16
water 67:20 68:10	45:19	106:22 109:16	<b>wisely</b> 190:3	135:15 136:3
70:5 77:11 191:9	<b>welcome</b> 3:3 5:11	110:11,14 111:7	wish 84:21	138:3 142:12
watered 74:14	6:6 57:21 99:15	111:17 112:6,14	woman 151:6	143:5,6,10 150:3
waters 75:7	115:18 223:6	113:5,17 117:18	women 10:6 64:18	154:12,12 156:1
way 17:13 24:18	welcomed 171:7	119:21 141:15,20	93:3,9,10,14	156:19 157:16
28:10 30:13 31:9	went 49:18 59:4	164:10 178:14	102:13 120:14,18	158:17 171:8
33:4 40:20 44:9	90:10 193:3	179:14 181:22	150:12,16 151:1,6	172:13,22 173:5

	1	1	İ	Ì
187:15 188:6,19	250:19 257:20	239:1	<b>09</b> 12:14 25:10	165:10 181:9
190:15 192:6,20	258:7,21 263:2,2	<b>T</b> 7	37:20	207:10 214:16
194:9 195:20	264:4 265:19	<u>Y</u>		225:12,19 243:16
197:20,21 199:10	works 5:4 96:9	year 3:5,15 30:2	1	<b>20th</b> 189:16
200:7 203:18,19	112:15 121:14	37:19,22 38:13	<b>1</b> 1:22 2:7 266:1	<b>200</b> 2:18
207:20 209:8	155:20	41:6 76:22 84:19	<b>1:00</b> 7:6 115:15,18	<b>2000's</b> 230:10
210:3 211:17	workshop 39:17	94:16 122:22	<b>10</b> 12:15 37:20	<b>2001</b> 172:17
216:16 218:21	68:21 78:4 97:17	133:22 167:2	157:22 158:1	<b>2002</b> 173:1
221:2 222:2 223:5	workshops 78:3	181:8 227:2,18	162:4 214:16	<b>2002-2004</b> 57:19
224:9,15 228:3,14	98:1 100:11,15	240:4,20 244:1,1	220:3 243:15	<b>2004</b> 172:3,19
230:20 231:2	155:17 161:17	267:2	<b>10:00</b> 265:22	<b>2006</b> 175:9
237:8 250:12,15	204:20	years 4:9 9:6 14:5	<b>10:15</b> 6:15	<b>2007</b> 200:21
250:15 251:4,5,5	world 4:12 9:20	19:15 23:18 31:3	<b>100</b> 84:11	<b>2008</b> 130:22
251:6,8,11,13,14	10:9,19 11:3,14	32:17 33:20 35:2	<b>11</b> 1:8	<b>2009</b> 26:12 130:22
257:18 261:18,19	18:3 34:18 40:1	36:20 37:20 57:5	<b>115</b> 2:6	167:14 190:21
262:6 263:12	47:7,19 48:10	69:10 70:21 92:9	<b>116</b> 2:9	<b>2010</b> 13:4 29:8
<b>worked</b> 15:17	49:2 57:9 64:12	94:5 96:17 106:9	<b>12</b> 11:19 12:15	190:22
34:19 57:9 122:12	65:17 80:15 83:7	109:8,10 144:17	13:15 27:13 36:18	<b>2011</b> 1:8
131:15,20 132:8	108:2,3,14 115:4	145:19 162:4	37:18 38:5,7	<b>2012</b> 53:9
132:19 134:8	117:11 119:6	166:12 168:8	40:17,21 41:4	<b>2050</b> 10:17
186:14 200:4	162:7,19 165:17	172:10 211:11	83:15,21 166:11	<b>21st</b> 189:17,20
218:15 220:21	169:20 170:21	214:16 229:10	<b>13</b> 83:9	194:12 238:8
240:10 261:22	172:3,6 185:7	242:4 243:16,16	<b>130</b> 2:9	<b>218</b> 2:19
workers 176:6	205:11 209:5	243:16 247:20	<b>14th</b> 129:12	<b>22</b> 4:9 172:10
239:9	210:7 218:10,15	254:17 260:6	<b>14-15</b> 181:10	<b>24th</b> 19:1
workgroup 39:12	218:22 221:16,18	261:7,13 263:14	<b>146</b> 2:10	<b>25</b> 12:17 207:10
working 4:10 16:22	221:19,20,22	265:4 267:3,11	<b>148</b> 2:10	<b>267</b> 2:22
18:10 35:1 36:22	222:6,16,21,22	year's 117:11	<b>15</b> 109:12 162:4	<b>28</b> 83:4
37:21 38:14 40:1	223:7 225:2 226:2	yesterday 12:20	<b>151</b> 2:12	<b>29</b> 181:8
40:7 46:15 47:14	226:22 227:15	43:7,18 45:15	<b>16</b> 84:3	
51:22 63:6 64:1,2	228:14,20 229:11	46:3 47:3 49:3	<b>164</b> 2:15	3
75:15 86:9,19	230:6 231:1,6	93:22 238:17	<b>17</b> 84:9 109:12	3 2:2
90:15 113:3	248:20 251:10,14	yields 64:15 123:6	<b>173</b> 2:16	<b>3,500</b> 211:3 259:16
115:12 116:16,21	259:1 261:6	123:10	<b>18</b> 109:14	<b>30</b> 101:10 214:16
118:16 119:3	worldwide 109:9	<b>Yohe</b> 266:16,19,21	<b>187</b> 2:17	243:16 260:6
122:5 124:14	138:16 171:11	267:9	<b>1890</b> 36:2	267:3,11
133:8 134:17	world's 10:20	young 161:2,10,16	<b>19th</b> 189:15	<b>34th</b> 266:1
138:17 139:12	worse 62:18	162:2 247:16	<b>1949</b> 165:10 169:9	<b>350</b> 211:4
140:12 163:5,10	<b>worth</b> 47:4	7	<b>1961</b> 166:12	<b>3550</b> 243:5
172:11 179:21	worthy 256:12	$\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Z}}}}}}}}}}$	<b>1975</b> 166:18	
185:8 190:18	wouldn't 258:14	<b>Zambia</b> 73:2	<b>1978</b> 250:13 259:19	4
192:17,19,19	write 28:11	zeroing 88:21	<b>1990's</b> 186:22	4 158:2 165:9,21
194:15 196:13,13	<b>writing</b> 145:4,9	<b>zone</b> 74:3,14 79:10	237:2	179:7 225:16,17
197:13 208:21	152:18 195:12	<b>\$</b>		<b>4,000</b> 211:1
226:10 228:12	written 38:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	<b>4:30</b> 267:22
232:14 236:10	wrongly 147:9	<b>\$300</b> 231:2	<b>2</b> 1:18 2:14	<b>40</b> 101:10 265:4
238:11,20 243:8	wrote 14:21 15:1	0	<b>2:15</b> 8:1	<b>40-50</b> 261:6
			<b>20</b> 33:20 57:4 162:4	<b>43rd</b> 167:13
	•	•	•	•

				Page 300
	1	1	1	
5				
<del>5</del> 109:8				
<b>50</b> 224:22 225:5				
<b>54</b> 12:15				
<b>57</b> 2:4				
6				
<b>6</b> 157:22 220:3				
7				
<b>7:00</b> 49:17				
<b>700</b> 1:10				
<b>75</b> 176:22				
8				
<b>8</b> 220:3				
<b>8:30</b> 265:22,22				
<b>8:53</b> 3:2				
<b>80</b> 126:14				
<b>85</b> 2:5				
9				
<b>9:00</b> 1:12				
<b>9:45</b> 6:10 53:14				

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: US AID BIFAD

Before:

Date: 10-11-11

Place: Des Moines, IA

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &